1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
3	United States of America,)		
4	Plaintiff,) Criminal Action) No. 21-cr-175		
5	vs.) JURY TRIAL		
6	Ethan Nordean,) Day 37 Joseph R. Biggs,)		
7	Zachary Rehl,) Washington, DC Enrique Tarrio,) February 22, 2023		
8	Dominic J. Pezzola,) Time: 1:30 p.m.		
9	Defendants.)		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL		
11	HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE Judge TIMOTHY J. KELLY		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT Judge		
13	APPEARANCES		
14	For Plaintiff: Jason McCullough		
15	Erik Kenerson 601 D Street NW		
16	Washington, DC 20530 Email: Jason.mccullough2@usdoj.gov		
17	Email: Erik.kenerson@usdoj.gov Conor Mulroe		
18	DOJ-CRM 1301 New York Avenue NW, Suite 700		
19	Washington, DC 20005 Email: Conor.mulroe@usdoj.gov		
20	Nadia Moore U.S. Attorney's Office		
21	271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201		
22	Email: Nadia.moore@usdoj.gov For Defendants:		
23	Nordean Nicholas D. Smith David B. Smith, PLLC		
24	1123 Broadway Townsend Building, Suite 909		
25	New York, NY 10010 Email: Nds@davidbsmithpllc.com		

1	Biggs:	John Daniel Hull, IV
2		Hull McGuire, PC 1420 N Street, NW
3		Washington, DC 20005 Email: Jdhull@hullmcguire.com
4		Norman A. Pattis Pattis & Smith, LLC
_		383 Orange Street, First Floor
5		New Haven, CT 06511 Email: Npattis@pattisandsmith.com
6	Rehl:	Carmen Hernandez
7		Law Office of Carmen Hernandez 7166 Mink Hollow Road
8		Highland, MD 20777
9		Email: Chernan7&aol.com
10	Tarrio:	Nayib Hassan Law Offices of Nayib Hassan, P.A.
11		6175 NW 153 Street, Suite 209 Miami Lakes, FL 33014
12		Email: Hassan@nhassanlaw.com Sabino Jauregui
		Jauregui Law, P.A.
13		1014 West 49 Street Hialeah, FL 33012
14		Email: Sabino@jaureguilaw.com
15	Pezzola:	Steven Alan Metcalf, II Metcalf & Metcalf, P.C.
16		99 Park Avenue, Sixth Floor
17		New York, NY 10016 Email: Fedcases@metcalflawnyc.com
18		Roger Roots Roger Roots, Attorney at Law
19		113 Lake Drive East Livingston, MT 59047
		Email: Rogerisaacroots@outlook.com
20		
21	Court reporter:	Janice E. Dickman, RMR, CRR, CRC U.S. District & Bankruptcy Courts
22		Email: Janicedickmandcd@gmail.com
23		
24		
25		

```
1
                         *P R O C E E D I N G S*
2
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We are back on the record in
       criminal matter 21-175, United States of America versus Ethan
 3
       Nordean, et al.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to everyone.
 6
                 We'll bring back in the witness and the jury and
 7
       proceed.
                 MR. KENERSON: Can I just address one point before we
 8
 9
       bring the jury in, Your Honor?
10
                 THE COURT: Yes.
11
                 MR. KENERSON: I just wanted to apologize to Your
12
       Honor and counsel for the issue with the exhibit earlier today.
13
       It was unintentional, but still something I should have caught,
14
       so, apologies for that.
15
                 THE COURT: All right, apology accepted. I'm glad --
16
                 MR. PATTIS: We're not so quick on that. Will they
17
       drop a charge in exchange for the apology?
18
                 THE COURT: I'm glad I caught it, anyway.
19
                 Ms. Harris, if you would retrieve the jury and
20
       we'll -- if we retrieve the witness, we'll proceed.
21
                 (Whereupon the jurors enter the courtroom.)
22
                 THE COURT: Everyone may be seated.
23
                 Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen.
24
                 Mr. Kenerson, you may proceed.
25
                 MR. KENERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                             JEREMY BERTINO,
2
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION (Contd.)
 3
       BY MR. KENERSON:
       Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bertino.
 4
 5
       A. Good afternoon.
 6
       Q. I think before the lunch break, we were talking about you
 7
       having felt patriotic at some point during the day. Do you
       remember that?
 8
 9
         Yes.
       Α.
10
           Did you stay with that feeling of patriotism throughout
11
       January 6th?
           The basic feeling was there, for the most part, yeah.
12
13
           Did you ever start feeling less patriotic than you had at
       the point we were talking about before the break?
14
15
                 MR. METCALF: Objection. Leading, Your Honor.
16
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Relevance.
17
                 THE COURT: Sustained as to leading.
18
       BY MR. KENERSON:
19
       Q. Did you or did you not feel less patriotic, at some point,
20
       than you did at the point we were talking about earlier?
21
       A. Later on that --
22
                 MR. METCALF: Objection.
23
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
24
       A. Later on that evening, I started to feel a bit less
25
       patriotic about what had happened, yeah.
```

```
1
       BY MR. KENERSON:
2
       Q. Why?
 3
       A. Felt like it was a complete waste of time and failure.
 4
       Q. Let me ask to bring up, just for the witness,
 5
       Exhibit 510-40.
 6
              And, Mr. Bertino, you see the messages on your screen?
 7
           I do.
       Α.
       Q. That from a chat you participated in on January 6, 2021?
 8
 9
       A. It is.
10
                 MR. KENERSON: Move for admission of 510-40.
11
                 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted. And
12
       permission to publish.
13
       BY MR. KENERSON:
14
       Q. Mr. Bertino, can you read us the first message in that from
15
       the user I am Neegan, at 4:25:17 p.m.?
16
           "Trump just told everyone to go home. What the fuck?"
       Α.
17
           What was your response 20 seconds later?
       Q.
       A. "Fuck that."
18
19
       Q. Why was that your response?
20
       A. Because I felt like it wasn't about him anymore. It was
21
       about the people. And we weren't there for him. We were there
22
       for ourselves.
```

Q. And can you scroll down to -- Ms. Rohde, to a message from a user named Michael Priest at 4:29:37 p.m.

25

MS. HERNANDEZ: Just for the record, Your Honor,

```
1
       objection, hearsay, to these statements.
2
                 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
 3
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 4
           Can you read the message Michael Priest posted at 4:29:37?
 5
           "If anyone is at there now, get out now. National Guard
 6
       and police force mobilizing."
 7
           What was your response to that?
       Q.
       A. "Fuck that."
 8
 9
       Q. And the message just below that?
10
           "Don't be pushed out. We are on the cusp of saving our
       nation."
11
          Why was that your response to that statement?
12
13
       A. It's because --
14
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Relevance to "why."
15
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
16
       A. Because the way I felt at the moment, like, if we gave that
17
       building up, we were giving up our country.
18
                 MR. KENERSON: And, Ms. Rohde, if you could scroll up
19
       to the top for us.
20
       BY MR. KENERSON:
21
           What message group is this posted in?
       Q.
22
       A. Ministry of Self-Defense, Main 2.
23
           All right. Screen just for the witness, again, please.
24
       Can we have 509-37.
25
              Do you recognize -- recognize this statement,
```

```
1 Mr. Bertino?
```

- 2 A. I do.
- 3 Q. And is this a chat group you were involved in?
- 4 A. It was.
- 5 Q. January 6, 2021?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MR. KENERSON: Move to admit 509-37 and ask to
- 8 publish.
- 9 THE COURT: It will be admitted. And permission to
- 10 publish.
- 11 BY MR. KENERSON:
- 12 Q. Can you read us the message that you posted in New MoSD at
- 13 6:07:55 p.m.?
- 14 A. "We failed. The House is meeting again."
- 15 Q. What were you referring to there?
- 16 A. The revolution had failed.
- 17 Q. Why did you believe that?
- 18 A. Because the House was still going to go on and certify the
- 19 election.
- 20 Q. At 6:08:02, what was your post?
- 21 A. "That woman died for nothing."
- 22 Q. What were you referring to there?
- 23 A. Referring to Ashli Babbitt's death, and how she was shot.
- Q. Why were you saying she "died for nothing"?
- 25 A. Because they had given up all the ground they gained that

```
1
       day by walking back out of the building.
       Q. Can we have, again, just for the witness, 510-43.
2
 3
              If you would scroll down just a few, Ms. Rohde.
              You recognize this as some chats you participated in
 4
 5
       again on January 6th?
 6
       A. That's correct.
 7
                 MR. KENERSON: Move for the admission of 510-43.
                 THE COURT: I believe -- can I have counsel at
 8
 9
       sidebar?
10
                 MR. KENERSON: Keep scrolling.
                 THE COURT: I take it back. I misunderstood.
11
                                                                 Yes,
12
       it will be admitted. And permission to publish.
13
                 MR. KENERSON: Thank you.
14
       BY MR. KENERSON:
15
       Q. Ms. Rohde, if we could play the first message from
16
       President Elect Leo Kuznetsov.
17
                 (Audio played.)
                 And the second one from Goat Farmer.
18
19
                 (Audio played.)
20
                 And, Ms. Rohde, if you could scroll down just a
21
       little bit more.
22
                 6:27:31, what did you post?
23
           "Exactly. Today was a disgrace when they walked away."
       Α.
24
           Why did you think that?
       Q.
25
           Because I felt like, just in agreeance with what those
```

```
1
       other messages had said, that, you know, once I took that step,
2
       there was no coming back from it. And they decided to,
 3
       basically, balk and walk away after creating all that chaos up
 4
       there.
 5
       Q. Ms. Rohde, if we could scroll down a few more messages.
 6
              Can we scroll up just a bit. Play the 6:28:53 message
 7
       from President Elect Leo Kuznetsov.
 8
                 (Audio played.)
 9
                 What did you post at 6:29:18 p.m.?
10
           "Half measures mean nothing."
       Α.
           What about 6:29:30?
11
       Q.
12
           "Fuck fear. They need to be hung."
       Α.
13
           Why did you post those messages?
       Ο.
14
           That's how I felt at that moment.
       Α.
15
           What did you mean by "Half measures mean nothing"?
       Q.
16
           Basically, that going halfway into the Capitol and then
17
       relinquishing it did absolutely nothing but, obviously, create
18
       a lot of problems for the country and a lot of problems for
19
       people that went in there, and they didn't accomplish anything.
20
       Q. If we could have now, again, just for the witness, 509-38.
21
              Do you recognize this as --
22
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, before we go forward,
23
       could we have a sidebar?
24
                 (Bench discussion:)
25
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I believe all of these
```

1 are the ones I objected to. Those are the posts. 2 THE COURT: Right. MS. HERNANDEZ: Again, I know we've been going back 3 and forth on a limiting instruction. I submitted a limiting 4 5 instruction earlier this week, I believe. I really do believe 6 that a limiting instruction needs to be given. Most of these 7 people on the message that was just read, they weren't even in 8 D.C. on January 6 -- all of them -- none of them were in D.C. 9 on January 6. 10 THE COURT: Right. Almost everything that has 11 been -- we've been talking about so far has been because it's 12 for the effect on this witness who is responding to these 13 things. So, I don't know what you want me to say. The --14 MS. HERNANDEZ: It doesn't come in against any of our 15 clients. 16 THE COURT: Well, it depends on what -- how it's --17 it depends on whether it's being -- what it's being admitted 18 for. But, to the extent it's coming in to show a 19 co-conspirator's state of mind, I'm not in -- we've been around 20 and around on this quite a few times -- there is no limiting 21 instruction appropriate in that circumstance under the Anderson 22 case. 23 MS. HERNANDEZ: The Anderson -- yeah, I disagree with Anderson says: Unless it's a coconspirator statement 24 25 that fits the 801(d)(2)(e), it doesn't come in. Only --

Anderson says: Actions of co-conspirators come in, but statements, unless they're 801(d)(2)(e), it doesn't come in against the others.

And besides that, Mr. Kenerson is reading the statements of other persons, not this defendant.

THE COURT: Right. Again, he's reading them because this defendant -- this individual is responding to them. And, again, I remember -- I recall, actually, having had in front of me your email which you laid out the limiting instruction and -- I don't -- I recall thinking that it was not an appropriately crafted limiting instruction.

Again, I'm open to giving a limiting instruction, if the parties propose one and I think it is -- and it is appropriate -- lawfully appropriate. But, I recall looking at what you had proposed and believing that it was not -- it did not reflect the state of the law.

MS. HERNANDEZ: So, I mean, this is the second one I've proposed. The government has not come back with an alternative or with an objection. I believe under Rule 105, for the fairness of evidence, I'm entitled to a limiting instruction. I mean, we've been -- I understand the Court's -- I don't know exactly what the Court -- I don't know how to draft one that meets the Court's requirement, is what I'm trying to say, so, if the Court wants to modify it.

But, I think I'm entitled -- I mean, a lot of the

1 evidence that's been coming in for the last -- through the 2 Special Agent Dubrowski and through this gentleman, I think, 3 requires a limiting instruction and --4 THE COURT: With regard to the other agent, I agree 5 with you. That's why I had the -- I asked you all to work on 6 one that would reflect my ruling, and that's why I did a very 7 detailed ruling, and no one has come back. 8 MS. HERNANDEZ: Well, I --9 THE COURT: I haven't had -- so --10 MS. HERNANDEZ: I thought I had come back. And, 11 again, the government -- I filed them with the Court, or 12 emailed to the Court, and the government has not yet come back 13 with an alternative. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Look, as far as what we're doing 15 now, these are not even the kinds of things -- the kinds of 16 statements that are at issue here are only relevant insofar as 17 they're -- this witness is responding to them. So, this is a 18 particular area where I don't think there's the -- quite the 19 same danger. But I'll give one, but we've got to -- I mean, 20 let's just save this to talk at the end of the day. 21 Ms. Hernandez, all I'll say is, I do have what you 22 proposed. I remember going through it and believing that under 23 Anderson, it was not appropriate. Again, I'm open to an 24 appropriate limiting instruction that is crafted to reflect the

25

law.

1 Mr. -- let me let Mr. Kenerson get a word in 2 edgewise, if he would like. 3 MR. KENERSON: Just to note that I believe we did 4 object on the record, and certainly, I think, to the first 5 iteration of what Ms. Hernandez proposed. But, we certainly 6 agree with the Court that it is not an accurate statement of 7 the law. We remain willing to engage with the defence on crafting an appropriate limiting instruction, and that has 8 9 remained the case, but I do not think what Ms. Hernandez has 10 proposed is an accurate statement of the law. 11 MS. HERNANDEZ: I merely -- okay. Again, I propose 12 something. They say they're willing to work with us, but if 13 they don't come back with an alternative, I don't know --14 anyway --15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MS. HERNANDEZ: -- I just think I'm entitled to a 17 limiting instruction. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to ask -- I'm not going to ask, 19 I'm going to order the parties to talk about this at -- once 20 we're done today. So if there is something appropriate -- and, 21 Ms. Hernandez, I'll hear from you first thing tomorrow. If there's something -- I mean, we can do this two different ways. 22 23 I understand you want something now, but on the other 24 hand, a lot of different statements have come in for a lot of 25 different ways and -- for a lot of different reasons and that's

```
1
       why I thought the parties were -- it made sense for you to
2
       globally come up with something.
 3
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: It is --
                 THE COURT: But, I understand. If you want something
 4
 5
       more interim, and I'll hear from you on it first thing tomorrow
 6
       morning, after you all have had a chance to talk about it
 7
       overnight.
 8
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. I mean, it's very difficult to
 9
       craft something based on the Court's ruling. I looked at it.
10
       I mean, the only way to do it based on the Court's ruling is to
11
       literally list exhibit numbers and say -- so, I think it has to
12
       be a more generalized --
13
                 THE COURT: I don't see how that's possible. But,
14
       I'm not going to -- this is not appropriate for us to be doing
15
       this now, in the middle of the witness's testimony.
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Sure.
16
17
                 (Open court:)
18
                 MR. KENERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                 I think we left off with me having moved for the
       admission of 509-38.
20
21
                 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
                                                               And
22
       permission to publish.
23
       BY MR. KENERSON:
24
       Q. Mr. Bertino, what date are these text messages -- or, these
25
       messages from? Excuse me.
```

```
Case 1:21-cr-00175-TJK Document 962 Filed 04/22/24 Page 15 of 193
1
           January 6, 2021.
       Α.
2
       Q.
           In what group?
 3
           New MoSD.
       Α.
           And can you read aloud your message at 7:32:12 p.m.?
 4
 5
           "God, I hope their eyes burn forever."
       Α.
           And, Ms. Rohde, can we play the message below that.
 6
       Q.
 7
              (Audio played.)
              Mr. Bertino, does that accurately capture your mindset
 8
 9
       at about 7:30 p.m. on January 6?
10
          Yes.
       Α.
11
       Q. Why do we need fucking war?
12
       A. Just felt like the country had been taken from us by a
13
       outside entity, either the elites or whoever we were blaming it
14
       on at that moment. That's -- I just felt like we didn't have a
15
       future left for this country after that.
16
       Q. 7:37:23 p.m., Johnny BlackBeard posts a message that says:
17
       Are you ready to go full fasc?
18
              Are you familiar with the term "full fasc"?
19
       A. Yes.
```

Q. Ms. Rohde, if you could have 510-49, just for the witness,

Mr. Bertino, do you recognize this as a message you

20

21

22

23

24

25

please.

Q. What does that mean?

posted on January 6, 2021?

A. It means going full fascist.

```
1
       Α.
           I do.
2
                 MR. KENERSON: And I would move for the admission of
 3
       510-49.
 4
                 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted. And
 5
       permission to publish.
 6
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 7
           What chat group was this in?
       Q.
           Ministry of Self-Defense, Main 2.
 8
       Α.
 9
           What time did you post this message?
10
          9:42 and 20 seconds in the evening.
       Α.
11
       Q. Ms. Rohde, can we play the message, please.
12
              (Audio played.)
13
              Did that also capture how you felt on the evening of
14
       January 6?
15
       A. Yes.
       Q. Did Enrique Tarrio reach out to you and say anything like:
16
17
       Hey, you took that a little too far?
18
       A. Never.
19
       Q. Any other MoSD leader?
20
          Never.
       Α.
21
           Any other member of the Proud Boys?
       Q.
22
       A. Never.
23
       Q. Ms. Rohde, can we have, just for the witness, 509-40.
24
                 Do you recognize these chats as chats you
25
       participated in?
```

```
1
       A. It is, yes.
                 MR. KENERSON: Move for the admission of 509-40.
2
 3
                 THE COURT: It will be admitted. And permission to
       publish.
 4
 5
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 6
       Q. Mr. Bertino, what date are we in now?
 7
       A. January 7, 2021.
       Q. And what chat group?
 8
 9
       A. New MoSD.
10
       Q. And, Ms. Rohde, if we could scroll down, give the witness a
11
       chance to read the messages himself.
12
              (Pause.)
13
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Hearsay and relevance.
14
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
15
       BY MR. KENERSON:
16
           Did you have a chance to look at those, Mr. Bertino?
17
           I have.
       Α.
18
           What are folks talking about here?
       Q.
19
           Talking about the January 6th riot.
       Α.
20
           If you could scroll back up, Ms. Rohde, please.
       Q.
21
                 When you say, "There's definitely not enough planning
22
       for this rally. We are trying to set this thing up," what are
23
       you talking about?
24
       A. I was talking about how there was -- we didn't plan
25
       thoroughly for what we were going to do, step by step, at the
```

```
1
       rally. And we were too busy trying to set up the MoSD as a
2
       chapter to be able to focus enough on that aspect of it.
 3
       Q. Scroll down a little bit further.
 4
              (Pause.)
 5
              Can you play the message from Johnny Blackeard at
 6
       9:55:17 a.m.
 7
                 (Audio played.)
 8
                 What was your response?
 9
           "Everything you said times about 10 million."
       Α.
10
           I want to ask you about the statement: Proud Boys can't
       bear this burden.
11
12
              Why did you agree with that particular portion of the
13
       statement?
14
       A. Because it was too much of an undertaking for us to be able
15
       to do it all ourselves. We couldn't do it.
       Q. What was the burden?
16
17
           Taking back the power for the people.
18
       Q. Ms. Rohde, can we have, just for the witness, 509-41.
19
       can we scroll through 509-41 and -42, please.
20
              (Pause.)
21
              Do you recognize those chats as chats you participated
22
       in on January 8th, 2021?
23
       A. Yep.
24
                 MR. KENERSON: We would seek to admit 509-41 and -42.
25
                 THE COURT: They will be admitted. And permission to
```

```
publish.

MR. KENERSON: We can go back to 509-41, please.
```

- 3 BY MR. KENERSON:
- 4 Q. There's a message --
- 5 MS. HERNANDEZ: Same continuing objection to these
- 6 posts, January 6 texts.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 BY MR. KENERSON:
- 9 Q. The message at 7:23:48 from Aaron Wolkind saying, "They got
- 10 Nick," followed by a message about "Journalist Nick Ochs has
- 11 been arrested," who is Nick Ochs?
- 12 A. Nick Ochs is one of the Proud Boys.
- 13 Q. What did you respond to that?
- 14 A. "Fuck."
- 15 Q. Why was that your response?
- 16 A. Because, number one, I like Nick; number two, they arrested
- him, and I just -- I was pretty upset at that.
- 18 Q. Can we scroll down, please.
- 19 What does Johnny BlackBeard say at 10:31 a.m.?
- 20 A. The first message?
- 21 Q. 10:31:08. Yes. Thank you.
- 22 A. "It's probably time to clean up the chats from D.C."
- 23 Q. And the following message?
- 24 A. "We don't need the Boots on the Ground one."
- Q. And, Ms. Rohde, can we play the message from Noblebeard at

```
1
       10:32:43.
2
              (Audio played.)
 3
              When you say "I left it and I can't delete it," what are
 4
       you talking about?
 5
       Α.
           The Boots on the Ground chat.
 6
           Did you try to do something to Boots on the Ground chat?
       Q.
7
       A. Yeah. I tried to delete it.
          Why?
 8
       Q.
 9
           This is why. We're -- you know, I didn't want anybody to
10
       get in trouble, and if we said anything in there that could
       have been illegal, if we deleted it, there's a good chance that
11
12
       there would be no evidence of it.
13
       Q. Can we go to the next, 509-42, please.
14
              Now, on January 13th, 2021, for this second chat, what
15
       chat are we in?
16
       A. New MoSD.
17
           And what is your question that you ask at 3:50:54?
       Q.
          "Who owns this chat?"
18
       Α.
19
          How did Zach Rehl respond?
       Q.
20
          "@YutYut owns it."
       Α.
       O. Who is @YutYut?
21
22
       A. Charles Donohoe.
23
           When Charles Donohoe says "Want me to nuke it," what is
24
       your understanding of what "nuke" means?
25
       A. It means erase, delete, destroy the chat.
```

```
Q. What was your response to that?

A. "Yes."
```

- Q. What happened after you said "yes"?
- 4 A. He left the group.
- Q. And what was Johnny BlackBeard's response?
- 6 A. "That didn't nuke it. LOL."
- 7 Q. What did you do after that?
- 8 A. I invited YutYut back.
- 9 Q. And what did you tell him he needed to do?
- 10 A. He had to nuke it.
- 11 Q. Why?
- 12 A. Because he didn't do it right the first time.
- 13 Q. Ms. Rohde, can we have 516-1, please. Just for the
- 14 witness.
- Do you recognize those chats as chats you participated
- 16 in?
- 17 A. Yes.
- MR. KENERSON: I would move for the admission of 516-1, and seek to publish.
- THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted. And permission to publish.
- 22 BY MR. KENERSON:
- Q. What's the date of these chats?
- 24 A. January 20th, 2021.
- 25 Q. And what's the title of this chat?

- 1 A. MoSD Leaders, January 9th.
- Q. What's the first message at the top, at 2:14:02, from Rufio
- 3 Panman?
- 4 A. "Everyone needs to just shut the fuck up."
- 5 Q. What about the second one, at 2:14:48?
- 6 A. "There's way too many videos and pictures and words being
- 7 shared."
- 8 Q. What did Mr. Rehl respond?
- 9 A. "Yeah, exactly."
- 10 Q. And what was your response?
- 11 A. "Yes."
- 12 Q. Did you agree with that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Why?
- 15 A. Just too much talking. Too much -- again, too many videos
- 16 | were being shared everywhere. Didn't want anything getting out
- 17 | too far or the wrong people seeing it.
- 18 Q. And who is Rufio Panman?
- 19 A. That would be Ethan Nordean.
- 20 Q. Mr. Bertino, on the evening of January 6 of 2021, did you
- 21 record a podcast?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23 Q. Video or audio?
- 24 A. Both.
- Q. Have you had a chance to watch clips from that podcast?

```
Case 1:21-cr-00175-TJK Document 962 Filed 04/22/24 Page 23 of 193
1
       Α.
           I have.
           Did those clips fairly and accurately capture your words
2
 3
       that night?
 4
       A. Yes.
 5
           Did those words capture your state of mind?
 6
       A. Yes.
 7
                 MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, at this point, pursuant to
       prior rulings, we move for the admission of 606-B as in boy, -F
 8
 9
       as in frank, -G as in gamma, -K is in kilo, -L as in light
10
       year, -O, -P as in Paul, -Q as in queen, -S as in Sam, -T as in
11
       today, -U as in ukulele, and -V as in Victor.
12
                 THE COURT: All right. They will be admitted. And
13
       permission to publish.
14
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection -- continuing objection to
15
       these out-of-court statements, Your Honor.
16
                 THE COURT: Very well. The objection is overruled.
17
                 MR. KENERSON: Can we have 606-B as in boy, please,
       Ms. Rohde.
18
19
       BY MR. KENERSON:
```

Q. And before we start playing, do you recognize that to be video from this podcast?

A. I do.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. Ms. Rohde, can we play 606-B, please.

(Video played.)

Can we have 606-F, please.

```
1
              (Video played.)
2
              "Half measures do nothing," we heard that before,
 3
       correct?
 4
       A. Correct.
 5
           What did you mean by that?
 6
           Going halfway through a revolution doesn't get you a
 7
       revolution.
       Q. 606-G, please.
 8
 9
              (Video played.)
10
              606-K, please.
11
              (Video played.)
              Let me ask you, Mr. Bertino, about that clip. When you
12
13
       say "they escalated," what did you mean by that?
14
           Meaning that the law enforcement had escalated the conflict
15
       and made it physical. That they had gotten physical and
16
       eventually shot somebody and killed them.
17
       Q. 606-L, please.
18
              (Video played.)
              What did you mean by, "If we don't have a voice in the
19
20
       law, should those laws apply to us anymore?"
21
       A. I believe I was referring to the feeling of disconnection
22
       between lawmakers and the citizens of the country, and how we
23
       didn't feel like our input was actually taken into
24
       consideration before laws were made. So if -- you know, if you
25
       feel like you just never had a chance to even voice your
```

```
1
       opinion about a law, why should you have to follow it, is,
2
       basically, what I was thinking.
 3
       Q. 606-0 please.
 4
              (Video played.)
 5
              Does that accurately capture your mindset on January 6?
 6
       A. Yes.
 7
       Q. 606-P, please.
 8
              (Video played.)
 9
              Made reference to "1776" in there. What was that
10
       reference?
11
       Α.
           I'm sorry?
12
          What was your reference to 1776 in there?
       Q.
13
           Again, revolution -- American Revolution.
       Α.
14
       Q. 606-Q, please.
15
              (Video played.)
16
              You said, "My really good friend Enrique," there.
17
       were you referring to?
18
       A. Enrique Tarrio.
19
       Q. 606-S, as in Sam.
20
              (Video played.)
21
              606-T, please.
22
              (Video played.)
23
              You said "Good" in relation to fear. Why was fear good,
24
       in your mind, at that point?
25
       A. Because the idea that the government should fear the
```

```
1
       people, not the people should fear the government, was an idea
2
       that, you know, I believed and was shared amongst pretty much
 3
       my -- all my inner circle.
       Q. May I have 606-U, please.
 4
 5
              (Video played.)
 6
              Mr. Bertino, I think used the words, "You were fucking
 7
       around for years. Today, you found out."
 8
              Is there significance to that language?
 9
       Α.
         Yes.
10
       Q. What's the significance?
11
       A. Felt like the government --
12
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Speaks for itself.
13
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
14
       A. Felt like the government had been screwing with us for
15
       years. And, you know, that old -- the term we used in the
16
       club, the "FAFO," just kind of felt like it fit there. That
17
       they finally found out what a bunch of pissed-off patriots
       could do.
18
19
                 MR. KENERSON: Ms. Rohde, could we have 606-V, as in
20
       Victor, please.
21
                 (Audio played.)
22
                 What was your statement in there about leadership,
23
       Mr. Bertino?
24
           If there was some actual leadership on the scene of --
25
       inside the Capitol, that maybe things would have been different
```

```
1 and we wouldn't have given up.
```

- Q. Ms. Rohde, can we just put an image back on the screen,
- 3 | without playing, of Mr. Bertino.
- 4 You mentioned, I think, earlier -- earlier in your
- 5 testimony, about some laurels, if I'm -- circle what's on your
- 6 hat. What's that (indicating)?
- 7 A. That's a laurel.
- 8 Q. What about the laurels around the skull and the "Dangerous
- 9 Times" flag?
- 10 A. Same thing. Proud Boys laurels.
- 11 Q. What about on your sweatshirt?
- 12 A. Laurels.
- 13 Q. Mr. Bertino, in the course of your testimony, have we
- 14 | covered every single thing that you said in your chats in and
- 15 around January 6?
- 16 A. I don't think so.
- 17 Q. Did you sometimes say violent things in chats?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How did you feel about that now?
- 20 MR. PATTIS: Objection. Relevance.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 BY MR. KENERSON:
- Q. How do you feel about that now?
- 24 A. I regret it.
- Q. Did you go to Miami after January 6?

```
1
       Α.
           I did.
2
           Who did you see in Miami?
 3
           I saw Enrique and a bunch of the other guys from the Miami
 4
       area chapter.
 5
           Did you talk about January 6?
 6
       Α.
           I did.
 7
           Did you talk to Enrique about January 6?
       Α.
          I did.
 8
 9
           Did he tell you whether he was in D.C. or not?
10
          Yes. He told me he was not.
       Α.
11
           Did you talk about what he would have done if he was there?
12
                 MR. HASSAN: Objection.
13
                 MR. METCALF: And speculation.
14
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
15
       BY MR. KENERSON:
16
           Did you talk about what he would have done if he was there?
17
       Α.
           Yes.
18
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: And hearsay, Your Honor.
19
                 THE COURT: Let me hear from the parties at sidebar.
20
                 (Bench discussion:)
                 THE COURT: Ms. Hernandez, why isn't this admitted,
21
22
       at the minimum, a statement of party opponent?
23
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Well, he's going to say whatever
24
       Tarrio said to him sometime -- I don't know when -- sometime
25
       long after January 6th. That's classic hearsay.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: No. It's a classic statement of a party
2
       opponent, isn't it?
 3
                MS. HERNANDEZ: You mean -- it is hearsay as to my
       client. It is admission by Mr. Tarrio, but it's hearsay as to
 4
 5
      my client.
 6
                 THE COURT: Well, it would require a limiting
 7
       instruction as to your client. It would not come in as to your
 8
      client, that's correct.
 9
                MS. HERNANDEZ: So, at a minimum, I would ask the
10
      Court to give that limiting instruction.
11
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pattis, you have your
12
      hand raised.
13
                MR. PATTIS: Briefly. What he would have done sheds
14
       light on his state of mind at the time of the declaration. It
15
       can't be imputed back. So, I would say it's irrelevant and
16
       speculative.
17
                 THE COURT: No.
                                  I think he's -- his statement about
18
      what he would have done if he hadn't been ordered out of D.C.
19
       is relevant, and it's a statement --
20
                 Is the government eliciting it for -- on any other
21
      basis, other than it being a statement of a party opponent?
22
                MR. KENERSON: I mean, I think it would also come in
23
       as to Mr. Tarrio's state of mind. So, I mean, I think, whether
24
      a limiting instruction were crafted for those types of
25
       statements would be covered by it. But, I think those are the
```

```
1
       two basis of admission.
2
                 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean --
 3
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, when is the statement
      made?
 4
 5
                                I'm happy to lay further foundation on
                 MR. KENERSON:
 6
       the timing.
 7
                 THE COURT: Ms. Hernandez, complete your thought.
 8
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Well, I think the Court should give a
 9
       limiting instruction right now, however you want to say it, it
10
       comes in against Mr. Tarrio alone. Period. I'm not sure what
11
       date this was given.
12
                 THE COURT: So, you know, I was -- Ms. Hernandez, I
13
      was looking back at what you were requesting about a -- your
14
      proposed limiting instruction as to the podcast and the other
15
       state-of-mind evidence, and I think the problem with it is --
16
      what an instruction will say is: It comes in for the
17
       declarant's state of mind. Period.
18
                 A proper instruction in this regard doesn't say, "And
19
       you may not consider it against any other defendant," because
20
       the reality is, the jury can consider the state of mind as to
21
       one co-conspirator as to the other. So, you just say -- the
22
      proper instruction is: You can only consider it for the
23
      purposes of Person A, the declarant's state of mind. Period.
24
       You don't say anything else.
25
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't think that's what Anderson
```

```
1
       says. But, again, if Mr. Kenerson would tell us when
2
      Mr. Tarrio supposedly made this statement, that would help.
 3
                 THE COURT: No. No. We're not having discovery in
       the middle of -- the statement is going to come in one way or
 4
 5
       the other. We can talk about whether it -- what limiting
 6
       instruction is appropriate afterward, but this is -- this is
 7
       crazy. This is -- I mean, the statement is relevant and
 8
       admissible. Let's proceed.
 9
                 Mr. Pattis, you had your hand up.
10
                 MR. PATTIS: I didn't want to be disrespectful.
                                                                  I'm
11
       sorry. I thought we were done.
12
                 THE COURT: Sorry. No. That's fine.
13
                 MR. PATTIS: I might agree with the characterization
14
      of "that's crazy," but for different reasons.
15
                 What he would have done had he been there is -- you
16
       know, is counterfactual, and his state of mind at the time, you
17
       know, is -- you know, may or may not relevant. But, absent
18
      more -- I mean, "if I was there, I would have blown up the
19
      building. You know, I would have used the material that we had
20
      hidden away in the corner," those kinds of things might be
21
       relevant.
22
                 But, to just say you couldn't be there and adopt an
23
       argumentative posture, "if you hadn't been ordered off the
24
       grounds," that's really -- you know, that's really not relevant
25
       either.
```

```
1
                 So, if anything, it suggests a further reason why it
       ought not to be admissible. So, I don't think it's crazy. I
2
 3
       think at some point into this exercise of Jonah swallowing the
 4
      whale -- the whale swallowing Jonah has to stop. The
 5
      government has to produce evidence of a plan. You just can't
 6
      paint a whale and say: Jonah must be tucked away in there
 7
      somewhere.
 8
                 THE COURT: So, the question about what else you
 9
       think the government should prove or not prove doesn't really
10
      have anything to do whether this statement is admissible.
11
                 MR. PATTIS: Speculative and no foundation.
12
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kenerson, what's the
13
       answer going to be?
14
                 MR. KENERSON: The answer will be something --
15
                 THE COURT: If you know.
16
                 MR. KENERSON: -- something along the lines of that
17
      he would have been there screaming: Go. Go. Go.
18
                 THE COURT: All right. I mean, that's -- I'm going
19
       to allow the question.
20
                 (Open court:)
21
      BY MR. KENERSON:
22
      Q. Mr. Bertino, let me just back up before we ask you the
23
       question I was about to ask you.
24
              You said you went to Miami at some point after
25
       January 6, correct?
```

```
1 A. I did.
```

- 2 Q. Approximately about how long afterwards are we talking?
- 3 A. About a week or two.
- Q. Okay. And I think the question I had asked you was what
- 5 Mr. Tarrio told you he would have done if he were there.
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. What did he say?
- 8 A. He said he would have said: Go. Go. Go (indicating).
- 9 Q. And for the record, you made a motion with your hand,
- 10 | pointing -- moving it forward, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Mr. Bertino, did anyone in the Proud Boys ever tell you a
- specific plan to storm the Capitol ahead of January 6?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did you, nonetheless, plead guilty to seditious conspiracy?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 | Q. If you didn't know of a plan, why?
- MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection as to "why," Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 A. Because there doesn't have to be a specific plan for an
- 21 objective. And I looked at everything that I said --
- MR. SMITH: Objects to legal.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained as to a legal conclusion. Let
- 24 me have counsel at sidebar.
- 25 (Bench discussion:)

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kenerson, I don't think 2 it's appropriate for him to be talking about what's legally 3 required and not required. Why don't you just ask him about -you know, why can't you ask him this question in an open-ended 4 5 way? I mean, for him to be saying, well, this is required and that's not required, isn't that a legal conclusion? 6 7 MR. KENERSON: Yeah. And I did not think the question elicited -- I understand that's what he said. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Why -- I 11 don't understand why he pled guilty is -- how is that relevant to the case -- to any facts at issue in this case? 12 13 THE COURT: I understood the question not to be -- I 14 mean, you can interpret that question different ways, but, 15 Mr. -- in any event, the answer is what it was, and I sustained 16 the objection as to calling for a legal conclusion. 17 Mr. Kenerson, just, you know, you need to elicit the 18 facts from which -- you need to elicit the facts from which he 19 concluded he was in the conspiracy in a nonleading way, and not 20 talking about what would be required and not required under the 21 law. 22 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I don't believe that 23 that's the objection I have. How is that relevant to the case? 24 I don't understand. 25 THE COURT: Right. Again, the question of -- he's

1 going to -- Mr. Kenerson is about to ask him to explain the 2 contours of the conspiracy, so he can do that. But, I don't 3 think it's appropriate for the witness to be saying this or that is required for purposes of a legal conspiracy. 4 5 Mr. Pattis, why do you look confused? 6 MR. PATTIS: I thought I heard you say -- and I 7 didn't raise my hand because I just wasn't sure I heard it --8 that the witness was about to explain the contours of the 9 conspiracy. The conspiracy is a legal conclusion. He can 10 explain the context of his conduct, and the trial has to 11 persuade the jury they meet the elements of a conspiracy. 12 THE COURT: Well --13 MR. PATTIS: So it struck me -- and I'm sorry, sir. 14 I just want to get it out. And you called on me. I didn't 15 raise my hand. 16 It struck me that you were telling the -- signaling 17 to the government that this man could say why he thought there 18 was a conspiracy. I don't think that's his role. 19 THE COURT: No. No. No. No. No, that's not 20 what I said. He's going to giving factual testimony -- factual 21 testimony, right, and that's my point. I don't think it's 22 appropriate for him to say this or that is required. And I 23 don't -- so, he can give factual testimony from which it can be 24 inferred, and you all will challenge that inference, that there

25

was a conspiracy.

1 But, that's what he can give, not: Well, I -- you 2 know, I found out that this or that is or is not required. 3 MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry, Your Honor, but I think there's no way to ask this question that isn't going to elicit 4 5 the kind of answer that the Court finds objectionable. THE COURT: We're off this question. 6 7 MS. HERNANDEZ: He's been talking about -- for the last day or whenever, however long he's been on it, that's what 8 9 he's been doing, is giving us factual statements. To ask this 10 wrap-up question of why, I don't know that there's any way to 11 ask that question that won't elicit the kind of objectionable 12 response that the Court is identifying. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we're off that question now, 14 so we don't have to worry about that question. 15 Mr. Kenerson, does all that make sense to you? 16 MR. KENERSON: I think so, yes. 17 THE COURT: I mean, I don't think it's -- I mean, of 18 course, why you pled guilty, I want to take responsibility, I 19 wanted to take responsibility, that's a different -- those are 20 a different sets of questions. But, I don't think it's 21 appropriate for him to be saying: Well, I researched it, and I 22 found this was -- this --23 You know, they're going to get an instruction on what 24 a conspiracy is and what they have to find. All right. Very 25 well.

1 MR. METCALF: Your Honor, really quickly, on that 2 point specifically, the cat is now out of the bag. The jury 3 just heard this individual say that there doesn't need to be a 4 plan, so on and so forth. I ask that there be a specific 5 limiting instruction -- I don't know how we could even craft it 6 at this point in time -- specifically to this witness's 7 testimony and what the jury can consider. THE COURT: All right. I don't -- you know, there 8 9 was such an objection so quickly, and I don't think it's -- I 10 don't think that's necessary at this point. He hasn't really 11 given any testimony. And, look, to the extent he's saying 12 he's -- so, I don't think that's necessary at this time. 13 Mr. Kenerson, you may proceed with a new question, 14 again, that elicits -- that stays away from the legal 15 conclusions about what is or is not required for a conspiracy. 16 (Open court:) 17 BY MR. KENERSON: 18 Q. Mr. Bertino, in the lead-up to January 6, did you have any 19 reason to believe that the other leaders of the Ministry of the 20 Self-Defense felt differently than you did about the state of 21 the country? 22 MR. SMITH: Objection. Compound. 23 MR. METCALF: And leading. 24 MS. HERNANDEZ: And irrelevant. 25 MR. PATTIS: And speculative, I might throw in.

```
1
                 MR. KENERSON: Can we add some more?
2
                 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule all of them.
 3
          No, I didn't.
       Α.
 4
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 5
       Q. And why do you say that?
 6
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection.
 7
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 8
 9
           Why do you say that?
10
       A. Because I was never told of anybody feeling any different.
11
       Nobody ever told me that's not the right way to feel, that's
12
       not what's going on. Everyone that I spoke with agreed with
13
       pretty much every sentiment that I would spit out.
14
                 MR. METCALF: Objection as to vague and hearsay in
15
       that answer, Your Honor. I move to strike a portion of that
16
       answer as nonresponsive.
17
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
18
       BY MR. KENERSON:
19
       Q. Mr. Bertino, how close did you feel to the other leaders in
20
       the Ministry of Self-Defense?
21
       A. Pretty close to most of them.
22
           Can you just describe a little bit the nature of that
23
       closeness?
24
                 MR. METCALF: Objection. Asked and answered.
25
       gone through this now for some time.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
2
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 3
           Can you describe for us the nature of that closeness?
           Shared a brotherhood between all of us. Especially those
 4
 5
       that were in a leadership position, we felt like the men looked
 6
       up to us. We looked up to each other. We knew that we had
 7
       each others' backs. And they -- you know, there was loyalty
       there, and there was -- most of us would have taken a bullet
 8
 9
       for the other person.
10
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Move to strike.
11
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
12
       A. At least, that's the way I felt about it.
13
       BY MR. KENERSON:
14
           And what led you to feel that -- like, what types of
15
       interactions led you to feel that way?
16
       A. For example, when I was stabbed.
17
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor --
18
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
19
       A. For example, the way that everybody looked out for me when
20
       I was stabbed -- just, there was -- there was countless --
21
       countless things that we had all been involved in together. We
22
       all shared some sort of drama within the club, a trauma.
23
       Something had happened. Somebody had been arrested. It was
24
       always something that always brought everybody close together,
25
       and definitely felt that way with these guys.
```

```
1
       BY MR. KENERSON:
       Q. Do you feel like you could speak freely amongst that group?
2
 3
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. I'm sorry. Objection.
       Relevance.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
 6
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 7
           Did you feel like you could speak freely amongst that
 8
       group?
 9
       A. Yes.
10
       Q. Why?
11
           Because they wouldn't judge me for how I felt. And, more
       than likely, they wouldn't disagree with what I had to say.
12
13
       Q. What about, from your perspective, the other members'
14
       ability to speak freely with you?
15
           100 percent, they could.
       Α.
16
                 MR. METCALF: Objection as to vague, "all members."
17
                 THE COURT: It's sustained as to vagueness, if you're
18
       asking about these defendants or members of the club generally.
       BY MR. KENERSON:
19
20
           Leaders of the Ministry of Self-Defense.
       Q.
21
           Did they feel like they could speak freely with me?
       Α.
22
       Ο.
          Yes.
23
       A. Yes.
24
           What about whether you had to have explicit discussions
25
       about things?
```

```
1
           I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.
       Α.
2
           Within that group, the MoSD leaders --
 3
       Α.
           Okay.
 4
           -- did you need to have explicit discussions about things
 5
       with them all the time?
 6
       Α.
         No.
 7
                 MR. PATTIS: Objection. Judge, may we be heard?
                 (Bench discussion:)
 8
 9
                              I didn't think that I would live long
                 MR. PATTIS:
10
       enough to see the Salem Witch Trials replayed in American
11
       courthouses, but you may recall the use of so-called "spectral
12
       evidence" in those trials, where occult properties were used so
13
       that we could determine peoples' affiliation with the spirit
14
       world. And this is a foundation for him to say that:
15
       didn't have to have explicit discussions to, nonetheless, have
16
       agreements.
17
                 THE COURT: Right.
18
                 MR. PATTIS: And that just cannot be proper. And --
19
                 THE COURT: Why can it not be proper?
20
                 MR. PATTIS: Because --
21
                 MR. SMITH: It's calling for a legal conclusion.
22
                 THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. Mr. Smith, please.
23
                 MR. PATTIS: Judge, I will yield to Mr. Smith. I
24
       didn't mean to steal the -- if that's all right with you.
25
                 THE COURT: Whatever -- you had the floor. Whatever
```

```
1
       you would like.
                 MR. PATTIS: I will yield to my brother.
2
 3
                 THE COURT: But, I cannot have individual lawyers
       jumping in when I have, you know, seven or eight of you on that
 4
 5
       side.
                 Mr. Smith?
 6
 7
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
                 There's several problems. One, it's vague.
 9
       Mr. Kenerson asked the witness: Did you need to have explicit
10
       conversations with them to agree to something? That's a very
11
       vague question, Your Honor.
12
                 The second question -- the second problem is, it's
13
       calling for a legal conclusion. He's asking Mr. -- the witness
14
       to explain to the jury that one can reach -- have a conspiracy
15
       without reaching an explicit -- it's called "tacit conspiracy,"
16
       Your Honor. That's a legal conclusion, whether an agreement is
17
       a tacit conspiracy.
18
                 THE COURT: How is he supposed to build the
19
       foundation for asking those questions without saying: Are
20
       these people of a certain type that you were able to reach
21
       implicit agreement?
22
                 MR. SMITH: That's an improper question, Your Honor,
23
       because that question is calling -- there's no answer for that,
24
       that it's not a legal -- so, Your Honor, the question is:
25
       you reach a tacit agreement?
```

```
1
                 That is the question, Your Honor, and that is a legal
2
       question, whether there is a tacit agreement.
 3
                 THE COURT: Right. You're formulating in terms of
       legal jargon. But, isn't he allowed to ask factual questions
 4
 5
       in support of that legal conclusion? And if not, how in the
 6
      world is he supposed to be able to elicit facts?
 7
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, the other problem is it's
 8
       calling for speculation of another person's mind. A tacit
 9
       agreement is a meeting of the minds between two parties. So
10
      Mr. Kenerson is trying to elicit from him: Did you have a
       tacit agreement with several other individuals?
11
                 That is not for one party to say, whether there was a
12
13
       tacit agreement.
14
                 THE COURT: So you can never prove a tacit agreement
15
      without two people saying it, I guess. There's no possible way
16
      to prove it?
17
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, the government has been doing
18
       it circumstantially this entire case.
                 THE COURT: Right.
19
20
                 MR. SMITH: Well, you had a conversation with Tarrio
21
       about X, Y, and Z. What did Mr. Tarrio say?
22
                 THE COURT: Right.
23
                 MR. SMITH: It's for the jury to conclude whether
24
       that's a tacit agreement. It's not for the witness to say:
25
       reached an unexplicit agreement.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: He's asking about the nature of the
2
       relationship. He's asking about the nature of the
 3
       relationship.
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, he asked: Isn't it true -- I
 4
 5
       don't have the exact words like Your Honor does, but he said:
 6
       Isn't it true that you sometimes would reach unexplicit --
 7
       inexplicit agreements with one another?
                 That is not about the question that goes to the facts
 8
 9
       of the nature of the relationship. That is calling for him to
10
       say whether they had tacit agreements with one another.
11
                 THE COURT: In the past, right.
12
                 MR. SMITH: But, that is a legal conclusion. He can
13
       ask him -- for example, here's a way of establishing the facts.
14
       You and Mr. Tarrio -- this is hypothetical, for the record.
15
                 You and Mr. Tarrio were in a room together.
16
       Mr. Tarrio said this. You said this. Then, what happened
17
       after that fact? Did you and he do something after that fact
18
       that gives evidence of having --
19
                 So, asking about "do you have inexplicit agreements"
20
       is a legal conclusion.
                 THE COURT: And he can't talk about whether he
21
22
       believed he had reached an agreement?
23
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: That's not what he's asked.
24
                 THE COURT: I realize that. But, is that what you're
25
       saying also?
```

1 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I think that would be an 2 appropriate question. But, again, like Ms. Hernandez said, 3 that's not what he was asked. He was asked: Do you reach inexplicit agreements? 4 5 THE COURT: Let's do this: We're doing this early, 6 but this is -- we may as well plow this ground while the -- we 7 may as well plow this ground now. So, let's -- I'm going to 8 tell the jury we're going to take a ten-minute break and we 9 will talk about this. All right. 10 (Open court:) 11 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're 12 going to take our ten-minute break a little bit early. And for 13 you, it may be a little bit longer than ten minutes because we 14 do need to give the court reporter her break and we need to 15 discuss something outside your presence. So, we'll take that 16 quick break. We'll be back with you as soon as we can. 17 (Whereupon the jurors leave the courtroom.) 18 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you may step down. 19 All right. Everyone may be seated. 20 And, so, let's -- we may as well go ahead and just 21 have this discussion. 22 Mr. Smith, the -- well, we're going to end up going 23 beyond the pending question here, I think, in terms of talking 24 about what's appropriate, but -- and, you're right, I do have 25 it with me, but we've gone so far past it, I don't know what it

1 was. 2 Mr. Kenerson, what do you have as the pending -- at least as the pending question that was objected to? 3 4 MR. KENERSON: I'm also doing this from memory 5 because I had -- based on prior Court's ruling, going off what 6 my outline had said. But, what I'm trying to get at is the 7 closeness of his relationship such that they have the ability 8 to form unspoken agreements. 9 THE COURT: Right. So, basic questions of how close 10 they are, I think, are, clearly, fair game. I think your 11 question -- I think where we left off was, you know: Have 12 you -- have you -- have you had unspoken agreements with him in 13 the past? Or something along those lines, maybe? 14 MR. KENERSON: I think it was -- well, what I 15 recall -- and, again, the transcript will control --16 There you go. Mr. McCullough has it -- had it. 17 "Within that group, the MoSD leaders, did you need to 18 have explicit discussions about things with them all the time?" 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MR. SMITH: So, the first objection, Your Honor, was: 21 Vagueness. Do you need, according to -- where is the concept "need" coming? "Need" in order to do what? Do you need to 22 23 have explicit agreement? Conversations? 24 So, Your Honor, there's no relevance to this line of 25 inquiry except to establish that they have tacit agreements.

1 THE COURT: Right. MR. SMITH: Mr. Kenerson can simply ask whether 2 3 they're close. He's been doing that. The rest is argument --4 is legal argument that they're trying to filter through a fact 5 witness. Whether something -- whether Mr. Tarrio 6 hypothetically saying something to Mr. Bertino and Mr. Bertino 7 saying something back and then they're taking action, whether 8 that constitutes an agreement is an argument, not something for 9 a witness to talk about. 10 THE COURT: I don't know. He can't testify as to --11 let me pull it up here. 12 MR. SMITH: And that's true, Your Honor, if it's a 13 contract or a criminal conspiracy. If this were a contract 14 case, a witness couldn't get on the stand and say: Yes, jury, 15 we had a contract. That's not --16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. "Did you need to have explicit discussions about things with them all the time?" 17 18 I mean, that is just a question of: Is -- is your 19 relationship such that you could have, you know, unspoken --20 there were things you didn't need to speak to each other 21 directly about to know you were on the same page? 22 Why isn't that just a way of characterizing a 23 relationship that's an appropriate -- he can say: Yeah, we had 24 that kind of --25 I mean, for someone to say: Yeah, I had that kind of

```
1
       relationship with someone else that we didn't need to -- we
2
       could reach agreements without having to explicitly state them,
 3
       that's how close we were. Right?
                 We answered each others' sentences.
 4
 5
                 MR. SMITH: If he says his belief is that I believed
 6
       I had an agreement with someone, that's a different answer than
 7
       "I had an agreement with them. I know I had an agreement with
 8
       them," because an agreement is a meeting of the minds, and
 9
       that's a legal conclusion.
10
                 If he's going to testify that, "I said this,
11
       Mr. Bertino said that, and then we went somewhere. It was my
12
       understanding that we went somewhere pursuant to what I thought
13
       we agreed on," that's appropriate. But, for him to say, We
14
       reached agreements on -- inexplicit agreements is the case,
15
       that's not --
16
                 THE COURT: But I don't -- okay. You're bringing up
17
       a separate question that is not pending. So we can -- but, the
18
       question that's pending is --
19
                 MR. SMITH: Well, the question is couched as an
20
       agreement.
21
                 THE COURT: -- "did you have that kind of
22
       relationship with these individuals?" Put aside that it's --
23
                 MR. SMITH: Compound.
24
                 THE COURT: -- vague. But: Did you have a
25
       relationship with them such that you were able to form implicit
```

1 agreements? MR. SMITH: Your Honor, "implicit agreement" is a 2 3 legal conclusion. It's --4 THE COURT: No. Agreements without talking to each 5 other about it specifically, fine. 6 MR. SMITH: He could testify that that was his 7 belief, that's his understanding, but it's for a jury or it's for a factfinder to determine whether a thing is a meeting of 8 9 the minds. That's a legal question. 10 THE COURT: Sure. But he's not -- again, that's not 11 the question. The question is: Did you have a relation -- as 12 I word it slightly differently, but, I think the question he's 13 getting at is: Did you have the kind of relationship with 14 these individuals such that you could agree without having a 15 specific discussion about something? 16 Now, it's obviously all from his perspective. 17 saying: Yes, I think I had -- I assume he'll say, "Yes. I had 18 that kind of relationship with these people." 19 I don't understand why that's objectionable. 20 MR. SMITH: So, another objection is when we say 21 "these" -- when the government or the Court's saying "these 22 people," I think that's -- there's a compound and vagueness 23 problem. What is -- on foundation. What is the nature of your 24 relationship with Mr. Biggs that would allow you to believe 25 that you had an agreement with him generally?

```
1
                 THE COURT: So put aside the compound question.
                                                                  But,
2
       otherwise, why isn't -- I think that is appropriate.
 3
                 MR. SMITH: So, we're saying it's inappropriate
      because there's jury confusion. The whole issue in the case is
 4
 5
      whether the defendants reached a tacit agreement.
 6
                 THE COURT: Right.
 7
                 MR. SMITH: So, for him to testify: My relationship
      with them gives me to understand we had a tacit agreement
 8
 9
      generally, or something. So, that's -- to me, Your Honor,
10
       that's like a witness saying: Yeah, it's my understanding that
11
       the defendant was guilty of murder when I saw him do that.
12
                 That's what we're here -- that's what the jury is
13
      deciding --
14
                 THE COURT: Right.
15
                 MR. SMITH: -- not a fact witness saying: I have a
16
       special ability that allows me to say there's a guilt.
17
                 THE COURT: It's not a special ability. It's his
18
       relationship with them. That's the special ability. And I
19
      don't -- to more fundamentally, I don't understand -- you seem
20
       to be objecting to the idea that he can say: Based on
21
       conversations we had, based on our relationship -- based on
22
      whatever you want to put in that box -- based on conversations
23
      we had, the circumstances, our relationship, I believe we had
24
       an agreement to do X.
25
                 You're saying he can't say that?
```

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: No. No.
                                       That would be true in a
2
       criminal conspiracy case, a contract case because that is the
 3
       issue at dispute that the jury is deciding.
                 THE COURT: I get it.
 4
 5
                 MR. SMITH: So the facts are different than the
 6
       conclusion.
 7
                 THE COURT: I get what you're saying. I get what
       you're saying. And, I quess, I -- I wouldn't have him frame it
 8
 9
       as a conspiracy, but whether the layperson would call it an
10
       agreement, I don't understand how -- I mean, that's what he --
       that's -- that's the factual basis for his --
11
12
                 MR. SMITH: Here's the jury confusion, and I didn't
13
       put it very clearly, but I think I can now: Because an
14
       agreement is the crime in this case, a criminal agreement,
15
       right, and an agreement is a meeting of the minds, for the jury
16
       to be told "I understood there's an agreement," it leads --
17
       it's sort of like an instruction saying: If one person between
18
       two parties says there's agreement, there's agreement.
19
                 But that's not the law. The law is a meeting of the
20
               So, for him to say "we could have these tacit
21
       agreements," it's implying that it's for him to say whether
22
       there's an agreement, but it's not. It's for at least two
23
       parties to say whether there's an agreement.
24
                 THE COURT: Sure.
25
                 MR. SMITH: So he testifies to what facts lead him to
```

1 believe there might have been an agreement. I said this to him, he said this to me, and then we did something. Not, we 2 3 had a -- a relationship that gave us tacit agreements. That's 4 just not --5 THE COURT: Okay. I think your -- there's two 6 separate things here. 7 But, Ms. Hernandez? MS. HERNANDEZ: Along the lines what Mr. Smith is 8 9 saying, he's being asked to give opinions, not facts. "In your 10 opinion, was your relationship so close that you could have" --11 blah, blah, blah. No. He's only here to testify about facts, 12 not to give an opinion about what that relationship was, number 13 one. 14 Number two, again, the questions are compound. Your 15 Honor, he had no conversations with my client. Multiple times 16 he said: I don't recall. I don't remember. 17 No calls. There's no text messaging with my client, 18 or most of the other defendants. There's no phone calls with 19 the other defendants. There's no meetings with the other 20 defendants. So, in addition to the compound nature of these 21 questions, which the government continues to do throughout this 22 case, there is the problem of he's being asked for an opinion, 23 whether it's a legal opinion or a general opinion.

He can testify to facts, but he cannot testify to an opinion, particularly on the question at issue. He can say,

24

25

Case 1:21-cr-00175-TJK Document 962 Filed 04/22/24 Page 53 of 193 1 I -- I agreed, yes, I had an agreement with Terry or I had an 2 agreement with Biggs or with Rehl or whoever. You know, he can 3 testify to the facts, not to the conclusion. Whether it's legal or just an opinion, he cannot testify to that. 4 5 And this whole nature of why, why, why, why he did 6 what he did is irrelevant to the facts at issue in this case. 7 It's what he did. I don't care why he pled quilty. That 8 doesn't make our clients any more or any less quilty. If -- if 9 he believed he was quilty, that's great. 10 He believed he was guilty or he thinks it's good for 11 him to plead guilty or he thinks all the garbage he said on 12 January 6 makes him quilty, that's great, but it doesn't --13 that does not put any issue in fact -- any fact at issue in 14 this case any further to the truth. 15 THE COURT: All right. You are -- Ms. Hernandez, 16 17 He is allowed, he is -- he's an alleged co-conspirator, and by

just for me to say it, I just totally disagree with that point. his terms an admitted co-conspirator, who is absolutely allowed to explain why he took the actions he did. I mean, I'm just --I'm stunned, I'm totally stunned that this is controversial.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't see that he -- I don't think that it's relevant why he pled quilty. Why? Who cares why he pled quilty?

THE COURT: Sure. Well, that's a separate question. But, more broadly, you're talking about him not even being able to explain his actions, and I find that bizarre.

Let's -- Mr. Pattis, I'll hear from you, and then let the government have a word in edgewise.

MR. PATTIS: I think the law of conspiracy is that if two or more people agree to commit some unlawful act and a person acts in furtherance of it, that's the crime. And I think the standard charge is that these agreements are rarely made in a formal way, and that they can be proven by inference — that is, by the surrounding facts and circumstances, the conduct and the inferences that can reasonably be drawn therefrom, and from conduct.

When you apply the law of evidence to that, you get certain things that are admissible for certain reasons. Verbal acts are things that are done that have legal significance, and they're held to be reliable because of what -- the context in which they take place.

Sometimes, as the Court has ruled here, the nature of the relationship is necessary to show an extension of trust.

But it seems to me a bridge too far for him to say: I knew these guys. We were a band of brothers. And we did this, that, and the other thing, and, therefore, we could agree, without stating, to do certain things.

That's almost -- that's almost, like, an unconscious agreement, and I'm not aware of any case that says conspirators can reach an agreement absent a meeting of the minds. And to

```
1
       suggest that they do so implicitly, I adopt the arguments of my
2
       co-counsel -- or, fellow counsel, that that is a legal
 3
       argument.
 4
                 You know, I agree, there's no contract here. We've
 5
       gone through as many statements as the government can find in
 6
       the last five or six weeks, and thus far, Waldo has not
 7
       appeared. And so we are entitled to say: Where's Waldo?
 8
                 The government doesn't get to say: Well, it was
 9
       implicit.
10
                 THE COURT: Well, they do get to argue that.
11
                 MR. PATTIS: They get to argue that, but their
12
       witnesses don't get to say that.
13
                 THE COURT: Okay.
14
                 MR. PATTIS: And that's the difference.
15
                 THE COURT: So, taking that part out of it -- I mean,
16
       you were -- your argument is, in a typical case -- let's say
17
       they had a conversation in which they -- which -- let's say
18
       this was the atypical case in which two alleged criminal
19
       conspirators had a conversation in which they said: Okay. We
20
       agree.
21
                 I quess I --
22
                 MR. PATTIS: There would have to be a course -- I
23
       think I know where the Court is going. May I, sir?
24
                 THE COURT: Well, let me just ask the question, just
25
       in case, so that we are on the same wavelength for sure.
```

1 And your argument to me is, that person would not be 2 able to take the stand and say: We agreed because --3 MR. PATTIS: I think that when there's a course of dealings -- so, for example, two shrimp salesmen, you know, a 4 5 boat pulls up and somebody says, I suddenly bought 20 tons of 6 shrimp, and I'm, like, I never said I bought that. Well, you 7 know, you've stood at this harbor with me any number of times 8 when the boat came in, and when you wink at me, that usually 9 means we got a deal. I saw a wink. 10 THE COURT: Right. 11 MR. PATTIS: There'd be a course of dealing that 12 places this in context. There was nothing like this in the 13 Proud Boys' history. 14 THE COURT REPORTER: Please speak into the mic. 15 MR. PATTIS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. 16 There was nothing like that course of dealing in this 17 It's a sui generis sort of arrangement. conduct. 18 THE COURT: Right. But the final question would have 19 been based on, again, whatever. In your mind, sir -- because 20 that's all you can say -- had you reached an agreement? 21 MR. PATTIS: That's a different question. 22 THE COURT: It is a different question. But, it's 23 sort of lurking back behind what some of you are arguing, that 24 they're not allowed to ask the question based on A, B, C, D 25 circumstances, right, relationships, shared goals, whatever you

```
1
       want to say: Did you believe you had reached an agreement?
2
                 I'm not sure, but I'm -- I take some of what you all
       have said to suggest the government can't ask that question.
 3
 4
                 MR. PATTIS: I might not have objected to that
 5
       question. The question I heard was, you know: Were there
 6
       circumstances -- did you have to have an explicit agreement at
 7
       all times?
 8
                 That's what my notes reflect.
 9
                 THE COURT: Okay.
10
                 MR. PATTIS: In which case, that was the predicate.
11
       And the conclusion would have been: In this case, did you
12
       think you had an agreement?
13
                 Yes. It wasn't explicit.
14
                 What was the agreement?
15
                 To storm the Capitol. Save the republic at whatever
16
       cost -- or whatever the dramatic flourish will be that ends the
17
       direct exam.
18
                 That, I think, is improper. I think that he can say,
19
       you know, that he thought he shared certain goals with them,
20
       but I don't think he can say -- and I began the objection, you
21
       know, talking about occult properties and the Salem Witch
22
       Trial. I mean, we try cases based on competent evidence in
23
       this courtroom, and implicit agreements are not a thing.
24
       People -- the circumstances that --
25
                 THE COURT: Well, first of all -- no. No. To be
```

```
1
       clear, they absolutely are a thing.
2
                MR. PATTIS: Not from a witness. A witness can
 3
       testify about his observations, his conclusions, and a party
 4
       can argue that there was an unstated agreement based on
 5
       admissible evidence.
 6
                 THE COURT: Right. His observations and his
 7
       conclusions. His conclusion is: Based on A, B, and C, we had
 8
      an agreement.
 9
                MR. PATTIS: To do what?
10
                 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. We'll find out.
11
                MR. PATTIS: And neither does he. But, absent some
12
       terms --
13
                 THE COURT: No.
                                  No. No.
14
                MR. PATTIS: No. I mean, Judge, suppose I go down to
15
       the cafeteria right now and I splurge on cookies and I say,
16
      well, based on the argument I had with the Judge, we had an
17
       implicit agreement that everybody needed a sugar boost. Are
18
       you going to pay the bill for those cookies?
19
                 THE COURT: Listen, listen, the question -- that's --
20
                 MR. PATTIS: Yeah, exactly. That's my point.
21
                 THE COURT: Like all the lawyers like to say, that's
22
       an inapposite analogy. Let me give just the government a
23
       chance to get a word in edgewise here, and then we'll take a
24
              But, look, I think, again, we started this conversation
25
       when, I think -- and fairly, you all objected to, well, I --
```

1 whatever the testimony was headed to, I found out that A was 2 required and B wasn't required for -- to meet the standard for 3 conspiracy, and I think that is -- and I sustained that objection, I think, appropriately. 4 5 But, again, for -- and I take your point, also, and 6 I -- you know, that for the government -- I mean, I don't know. 7 This is a double-edged sword about the question of whether it's 8 vague as to who he's referring to because, you know -- well, 9 it's a double-edged sword about how close you want them to ask 10 the question: Were you conspiring with each of your defendants? 11 12 But, I don't know why the government can't elicit 13 facts about a relationship and the circumstances, all these 14 other things, and then say: And based on all of that, in your 15 mind, had you come to an agreement about what you were about to 16 do? And what was your agreement? 17 Now, you all are free to say: Why, in the world, you 18 thought you had an agreement under those circumstances because 19 of A, B -- because of all these other things in -- that point 20 in the other direction? 21 MR. PATTIS: Those are different questions than the 22 question that was posed. 23 THE COURT: Fair. I just -- I just figure we may as 24 well flesh all this out while we're here. 25 Mr. Roots?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROOTS: Yeah. One of the most dangerous things that is going on is this: We've had more than one witness, this is the second one, the other was Matthew Greene, who has testified: Well, I didn't think I had done anything until it was explained to me by experts. And so this is very dangerous, and I think it fundamentally misinforms the jury. Here are these witnesses who pled quilty, and we all know why they did: Because they get benefits from pleading quilty. And then to stand there and say, well, my lawyer explained that I was guilty. I had experts explain that I was guilty. said: The Judge agreed that I was guilty.

And, of course, in Matthew Greene's case, he actually

So, this is very dangerous, and it just borders on just totally inappropriate evidence.

THE COURT: Mr. Roots, you recall, the reason that was, as I recall, only elicited after cross along those lines, as I recall, about: Well, did you really think you had --

You know, Mr. Pattis is smiling because he knows this So, my point is, for them to re -- I think what this was was the government rehabilitating a witness because Mr. Pattis had gone down that road. I don't think that was elicited on direct.

Let me just give the government a chance to get a word in edgewise here about what they think the proper contours are before we take our break.

MR. KENERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

I think our view is that the Court has it pretty much right on. The question that is pending is not an ultimate-issue question, which I take to be Mr. Smith's main objection to it. The word "agreement" certainly can have a legal meaning, but it also has a meaning to lay people. And the ability to form — the closeness of a relationship such that you have the ability to form implicit — again, we did not use the word "implicit." We're all lawyers and using legal jargon. The question posed to the witness did not have "implicit agreements" in it.

But, the closeness of relationship such that you have a person who is in the relationship has the ability to form those agreements, I think, is a proper foundational question for the jury to hear. I don't think it's improper opinion testimony for a witness to testify as to his or her own relationships, the depth of his or her own relationships, what those relationships may mean.

This is not any sort of expert testimony. He's not being asked to opine whether there was an agreement. He's being asked to opine on this question: Whether the relationship was such that he has the ability to form those agreements with the other folks in it.

THE COURT: What say you to -- just to press pause

1 for one moment, what say you about the issue of whether this is 2 a vague question? Because I think you're asking it in terms of the MoSD leadership, as opposed to these defendants. 3 MR. KENERSON: 4 I --5 THE COURT: I mean, it gets tricker because he probably wouldn't answer that question that way with regard to 6 7 Mr. Pezzola, I assume. 8 MR. KENERSON: Correct. I mean, yeah. I do not 9 think he would answer that question that way with regard to 10 Mr. Pezzola, but Pezzola is not in leadership. That's why we 11 asked the -- correct. I mean, that's the difference between 12 leadership and the defendants. So, I -- I don't -- obviously, 13 we asked the question. We thought it was proper. I don't 14 think it's vaque. I think that it is perfectly permissible for 15 them to explore on cross-examination, and, I think, you know, 16 we would be happy to lay out why he felt close with each of 17 these particular defendants. 18 But, I don't think that asking about MoSD leadership 19 as a whole is a vague question, especially given how much --20 how much talking that group did during the timeframe in 21 question here. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And -- sorry. Continue on the 23 points that you were going to make, Mr. Kenerson, before I put 24 you down that --25 MR. KENERSON: Sure. May I have one minute to confer

1 with co-counsel? 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 (Pause.) MR. KENERSON: So, I think I'm continuing on down the 4 5 I mean, his -- he is a fact witness to, kind of, his 6 perception of these interactions with these defendants. That's 7 what he's testifying to as a fact witness, and the other 8 leaders and co-conspirators of the Ministry of the 9 Self-Defense. So, just in terms of the way that -- to go back 10 to one of our favorite idioms in this trial, "the bologna has 11 been sliced here," I think asking about, generally, the ability 12 to form this type of question, which is the question pending, I 13 think, is perfectly permissible. 14 And if we go and ask the question about whether he 15 believed he had reached an agreement, I agree, we would cabin 16 it to his belief, and not to whether, in fact, there was one at 17 this point. But his ability to do so generally with these 18 defendants or the other leaders of the MoSD, I think, is a fair 19 question. 20 THE COURT: Right. Based on relationship --21 whatever -- whatever the -- whatever you would say. 22 Ms. Hernandez? 23 MS. HERNANDEZ: So, Your Honor, I think the 24 government has already asked these questions about the nature 25 of the relationship, right? They spent all day today asking

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phone, text messages, Telegram, conversations, interactions, so 2 they laid the groundwork. They can argue in closing argument 3 what that groundwork leads to, but they cannot -- I think what 4 they're trying to do now is elicit from this witness his 5 conclusion of what he thinks this relationship meant, and 6 that's the problem that we're having with this line of 7 questioning. 8 THE COURT: Right. 9 MS. HERNANDEZ: The facts -- did you meet with 10 Mr. Tarrio ten times? I think they already elicited that he 11 was having text messages with Tarrio every day for whatever

number of months. He never had any text messages with my client. He never had text messages with Pezzola or whatever.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. HERNANDEZ: So he's already laid the factual groundwork from which they can argue whatever they want. that next step that they're trying to get to that they can't.

And I will say, even the plea agreement doesn't seek the type of -- doesn't -- the statement of facts in the plea agreement doesn't include this conclusion that the government wants from this witness. The plea agreement says: Bertino was not given details of the plan referred to by Biggs, but he understood from discussions.

Not he understand because of his relationship to these people, he understood from discussions, because that's a

```
1
             What he understood might be a fact, what the discussions
2
      might be a fact. What the nature of the relationship, that's
       an opinion. That's not a fact.
 3
                 THE COURT: No, it's not. It's not.
 4
 5
                MS. HERNANDEZ: The nature of a relationship?
                THE COURT: Yes. The nature of a relationship --
 6
 7
                MS. HERNANDEZ: Is an opinion. It's not a --
                 THE COURT: No. No.
 8
 9
                MS. HERNANDEZ: You can't say, I have a close -- you
10
       know, the fact would be: I speak to him every day, that's a
11
       fact. Or, I see him every day, that's a fact. What that
12
      means, if you speak to someone every day, or whether you never
13
       speak to them, that's an opinion.
14
                 THE COURT: Whatever you want to call it, it's a
15
       characterization of the facts that's absolutely within a fact
16
      witness's ability to say. I mean, I can't testify as to I have
17
       a close relationship with my mother or I have a strained
18
       relationship with my mother? I mean, come on now.
19
                MS. HERNANDEZ: I think you can testify that I
20
      haven't talked to her in ten years or the last time we spoke I
21
      hung up on her.
22
                 THE COURT: No. No.
23
                MS. HERNANDEZ: I didn't, by the way, but --
24
                 THE COURT: I disagree with you there. Look, I think
25
       where we've left all of this is just, the thing that -- where
```

we left off and your objection is just to the -- did you have -- circling all the way back, I think we're clear on -- at least I'm clear on where the government can go and where they can't. I do think the one -- where we left off is just the question of: Was your relationship such that you could form implicit agreements?

Essentially, which is, I think, the pending question fairly construed, and that -- that is a little bit more than characterizing the witness, the relationship is close or not. So, I'll ponder that. But, I think, really, the rest of this way forward, I think, is actually pretty clear.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, hand in hand with that, can we get from the government not to ask these generalized questions? We've got people -- different people here on trial whose relationships with this particular witness are completely different. The nature of their relationships are completely different.

THE COURT: Right. I think what Mr. Kenerson just explained is the reason they're asking the question the way they are is it's excluding Mr. Pezzola and including the rest of the defendants.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Again, I would object to that because multiple times, when he was asked about different events with -- whether it be text messaging or telephone calls or whether he recalls having conversations, time and again, he

```
1
       said "no" as to Mr. Rehl. Sometimes "no" as to some of the
2
       other defendants, but time and again as to Mr. Rehl.
 3
                 I don't think it's appropriate to ask that
       generalized question, Your Honor, and I would object.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: It's a question that encompasses your
 6
       client and other clients. So, it's not vague. It's very --
 7
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: It is vaque.
 8
                 THE COURT: It's a question that encompasses a
 9
       defined group of people, of which your client is one.
10
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: But we're each individuals, Your
11
       Honor. And the conspiracy -- a conspiracy has to be found as
12
       to each defendant. It can't be just because they charged it as
13
       one -- again, they're not charged as the Proud Boys. They're
14
       charged as individual human beings, and there's no question
15
       that the evidence that has come out is that each of these
16
       persons has different relationships with him.
17
                 THE COURT: Okay.
18
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Some are closer than others.
19
                 THE COURT: Okay. I think that's something for you
20
       to explore on cross-examination.
21
                 Let's take our break for the court reporter, and come
22
       back in ten minutes.
23
                 (Recess.)
24
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We're back on the record in
25
       criminal number 21-175, United States of America versus Ethan
```

1 Nordean, et al. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Just so we don't have to talk 3 about this at the end of the day, let me just re-mention 4 something I mentioned while we were at sidebar on another 5 matter. 6 Ms. Hernandez, if you want to sort of draw a circle 7 around the Bertino podcast stuff and have a limiting 8 instruction directed at that, because that just happened and 9 you think that's particularly -- the risk of prejudice to your 10 client is particularly strong, as I said, I think the proper --11 under Anderson -- I didn't just review it over our break, but 12 under Anderson, I think the right instruction is: Look, all 13 that podcast stuff comes in for Bertino's state of mind and --14 period, and the jury should consider it for that purpose. 15 I think going beyond that, as I've said, and saying, 16 and you can't consider it against Mr. Rehl, I actually don't --17 I don't think that is appropriate because the jury can 18 inferentially consider the state of mind of one co-defendant 19 against another. 20 MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't believe that -- I understand 21 that's the Court's ruling. I would rather have that short instruction --22 23 THE COURT: Sure. 24 MS. HERNANDEZ: -- than nothing at all. So, I would 25 ask that the Court --

1 THE COURT: Okay. So, just -- what I just wanted to 2 put a button on is, you know, this evening, via email, confer 3 with the government. I don't know if the government will agree with what I just said or not, but come up with something, and 4 5 I'll give it first thing tomorrow so that you -- at least as to 6 the -- at least as to the podcast stuff. 7 I did -- I did admit it all for the same purpose, so 8 it's not the checkerboard that some of these other things are, 9 and I think you're particularly concerned about that. So we 10 can draw a circle around that, and I'll get you that limiting 11 instruction tomorrow. 12 MS. HERNANDEZ: I actually would like the Court to 13 just give it this afternoon, if that's all that -- just 14 statement. And the reference to a checkerboard, I was taking a 15 look at the Court's opinion on those -- on the Telegram. It is 16 a mess in terms --17 THE COURT: No. 18 MS. HERNANDEZ: -- not that the Court's opinion is a 19 mess, but in terms of separating out the limiting instruction, 20 it's not an easy task. 21 THE COURT: Agree. All right. That's number one. 22 Number two, I do think -- so, I think -- again, I 23 think we ended up in a place where, I think, we agreed on most 24 of the -- of where this is all headed in terms of this witness 25 and the agreement and testimony on that. I do think -- so,

where we left off was the pending question, and I do think the objection is well taken to the pending question.

You know, whether you consider it sort of leading, or baking in the -- the -- I really think, at the end of the day, it's sort of a -- leading is the best objection. I mean, if the question was, why do you think you came to that agreement, and the witness offered up out of their own mouth, well, you know, we had such a relationship that, like, that's the kind of relationship we had, where we were doing that all the time, that's one thing.

Maybe you all would object to that answer, but I think that -- if that was the answer, that was the answer. But, I think, baking in on the back end more than just "you had a close relationship," I think the defendants are right. You've elicited a close relationship. I won't preclude you from eliciting more about a close relationship. But, at the end of the day, after that, it's really about argument and about whether he would say, that's one of the reasons I thought we had an agreement, or not.

So, you know, I think -- look, you have leeway to get in all the facts you want and -- you know, and to ask him why you thought you had come to an agreement and what you thought the contours of that agreement are, what you believed the agreement was. I think we all agree on that, and we go from there. But, I -- I think --

```
1
                 And I know, Mr. Kenerson, you were operating on the
2
       fly there, so -- but, I think -- I think that question, as it
 3
       stands, probably is objectionable. So I'll sustain the
       objection as to that. If that makes sense.
 4
 5
                 Anything further before we bring the jury and the
 6
       witness in?
 7
                 (No response.)
                 THE COURT: All right. Let's do that, then.
 8
 9
                 (Whereupon the jurors enter the courtroom.)
10
                 THE COURT: All right. Everyone may be seated.
11
                 After sustaining the objection to the pending
       question, Mr. Kenerson, you may proceed.
12
13
                 MR. KENERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
       BY MR. KENERSON:
15
       Q. Mr. Bertino, I think you testified before the break that
16
       you got close with some of the Ministry of Self-Defense leaders
17
       after your stabbing. Did I hear that testimony correct?
18
       A. Yes.
19
          Can you tell us what about your interactions with that
20
       group led you to feel close to them after your stabbing?
21
       A. Just the way they greeted me when I got -- you know, when
22
       they heard from me after I survived. The way, you know, they
23
       took care of me when I was hurt, helped me out, it just built
24
       an even stronger bond between everybody.
25
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, could we have a
```

```
1
       specificity as to which defendants?
2
                 THE COURT: No. Your objection is overruled.
 3
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 4
       Q. How often would you participate in discussions in the MoSD
 5
       Leaders chat?
 6
           I would be willing to say, every day.
 7
       Q. By January 6, 2021, did you believe that you had reached an
 8
       agreement with respect to the events of January 6?
 9
                 MR. METCALF: Objection. Vaque.
10
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
11
       Α.
           Yes.
12
       BY MR. KENERSON:
13
           What was your understanding of that agreement?
14
           That we had to do anything that was necessary to save the
15
       country.
16
           Why is it you thought you had come -- why is it that you
17
       believed you had come to an agreement along those lines?
           It was the constant conversation in all of the MoSD chats.
18
19
       The lack of any detractors from that. There was a ton of the
20
       same rhetoric, the same things all being said by all the
21
       members of the MoSD, both the leaders and the regular chat.
22
       Q. Mr. Bertino, I'm going to ask you a few questions about
23
       your plea agreement in just a moment.
24
              But, did the FBI search your residence on March 8th,
25
       2022?
```

- 1 A. They did.
- 2 Q. Following that search, did you get a lawyer?
- 3 A. I did.
- 4 Q. Did you meet with FBI agents and prosecutors with that
- 5 lawyer a few days later?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Were you fully forthcoming in that first interview with the
- 8 FBI with regards to your actions on January 6?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Why not?
- 11 A. I was trying to protect myself. Trying to protect the
- 12 others. I was afraid of something like this happening.
- 13 Q. When you say "something like this," what are you talking
- 14 about?
- 15 A. Having to be in court. Having to face charges.
- 16 Q. Why did you want to protect yourself?
- 17 A. Um --
- 18 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection as to "why," Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 A. I guess natural instinct to protect yourself and protect
- 21 those you love.
- 22 BY MR. KENERSON:
- Q. Why did you want to protect the others?
- 24 A. I loved them. I didn't want to see anything bad happen to
- 25 them. Still don't.

```
Q. Mr. Bertino, I think you mentioned, earlier in your testimony, this idea of doxxing. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.
```

- Q. Did you participate in that type of behavior back in the timeframe when you were with the Proud Boys?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Why?

4

5

6

14

- 8 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection as to "why."
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. It was a tactic that was pretty much used by both sides, antifa. We didn't do it as much, obviously, but sometimes it just got to the point where our guys kept getting doxxed or fired or de-homed, canceled, that it felt like retaliation, to
- 15 BY MR. KENERSON:
- Q. How do you feel about having engaged in that type of behavior now, looking at it?

get back at them for what they had done to us.

- MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection.
- 19 MR. PATTIS: Objection. Relevance, Judge.
- 20 Bolstering.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 A. I regret it.
- 23 BY MR. KENERSON:
- Q. Now, at the time of your first interview with the FBI, how was your memory at that point as to the events between your

```
1
       stabbing and January 6?
                 MR. METCALF: Objection as to leading.
2
 3
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
       A. It's pretty hazy, and pretty much I had selective memory at
 4
 5
       that point.
 6
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 7
           Now, did you eventually enter a guilty plea?
          I did.
 8
       Α.
 9
          Do you remember what month that was?
10
       A. October.
       Q. If you weren't fully forthcoming in -- well, strike that.
11
12
              At the time of the FBI search of your house, did you
13
       have firearms in your house?
14
       A. I did.
15
       Q. Are you the same Jeremy Bertino who was convicted of
16
       reckless endangerment in New York state in 2004?
17
           I was.
       Α.
18
       Q. What's your understanding as to what affect that conviction
19
       had or did not have on your ability to possess firearms as a
20
       matter of federal law?
21
       A. I was not allowed to.
22
                 MR. METCALF: Your Honor, objection to that as far as
23
       goes to a legal conclusion.
24
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
25
       BY MR. KENERSON:
```

```
1
           So was it unlawful that you have those guns in your house?
       Q.
           It was unlawful.
2
       Α.
 3
           You said you entered a guilty plea, correct?
 4
       Α.
          Yes.
 5
           What judge was that before?
 6
       A. Before Judge Kelly.
 7
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection.
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
 8
 9
                 MR. KENERSON: Can we have the screen just for the
10
       witness, please. And then, Exhibit 1212.
       BY MR. KENERSON:
11
12
           Mr. Bertino, do you recognize the document on your screen?
13
           I do.
       Α.
14
       Q. What's that?
15
       A. My plea agreement.
16
                 MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, I move for the admission
17
       of Exhibit 1212.
18
                 MR. ROOTS: Objection. Sidebar, please.
19
                 THE COURT: All right.
20
                 (Bench discussion:)
21
                 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
22
                 MR. ROOTS: Your Honor, again, the law says they can
23
       introduce a guilty plea for purposes of the honesty or
24
       dishonesty of the witness or that kind of thing, not to go
25
       through and bolster the witness, bolster the credibility of the
```

1 charges. The guilty plea can only be entered, really, for --2 3 usually, they're entering it to stop us from attacking the 4 credibility of the witness. That's what they're really doing. 5 But, here, they're going way beyond that. They are using the 6 quilty plea aggressively and not defensively, but offensively, 7 to falsely inform the jury that there is quilt in this case. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Roots, this is very -- what 9 they're doing right now is done in virtually every case in 10 which there's a conspirator in this -- and which there is a 11 cooperator in this jurisdiction. I know Mr. Pattis had 12 indicated the practice is different in other circuits, but 13 this, what they're doing right now, is very common. 14 Ms. Hernandez? 15 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, there was no need to ask 16 what judge in front of the judge he entered his plea. 17 THE COURT: Right. I've already ruled -- I ruled on 18 this. 19 MS. HERNANDEZ: I understand you ruled. But, it 20 really was irrelevant to the actual plea. 21 THE COURT: I -- I don't think it's prejudicial. I 22 don't think it is of -- let's put it this way: I don't think 23 it has great meaning in either direction. I don't think it's

You may proceed, Mr. Kenerson.

objectionable.

24

25

```
1
                 (Open court:)
                 MR. KENERSON: All right. Your Honor, I move for the
2
 3
       admission of Exhibit 1212.
 4
                 THE COURT: It will be admitted. And permission to
 5
       publish.
 6
       BY MR. KENERSON:
7
       Q. And, Mr. Bertino, directing your attention to paragraph 1.
              And Ms. Rohde will make that bigger.
 8
 9
              What counts did you plead quilty to?
10
       A. Counts 1 and 2.
11
       Q. And what were those charges?
12
       A. Seditious Conspiracy and Unlawful Possession of a Firearm
13
       by a Prohibited Person.
14
       O. And, Ms. Rohde, if we could scroll down to paragraph 9?
15
                 And while she's doing that, Mr. Bertino, is this
16
       what's known as a cooperation plea?
17
       A. Yes.
18
       Q. Does that lay out responsibilities that you have under the
19
       agreement?
       A. Correct. It does.
20
21
           Do you see paragraph 9 is labeled "Cooperation"?
22
          I do.
       Α.
23
           Is there a fair amount of language on there?
24
          A lot.
       Α.
25
       Q. Did you have a chance to review these terms with your
```

- 1 lawyer prior to entering a guilty plea?
- 2 A. I did.
- Q. What is your layman's understanding of what the cooperation
- 4 terms require of you?
- 5 A. They require me to be fully and truthfully honest, meet
- 6 with the government, give them information about -- whatever
- 7 information I have about this case, and be fully and truthfully
- 8 honest about it. And it may require me to be a witness in
- 9 court.
- 10 Q. What are you hoping to get out of this plea agreement?
- 11 | A. I'm sorry?
- 12 Q. What are you hoping to get out of this plea agreement?
- 13 A. I mean, I -- I understood that I committed the crime, so I
- 14 | had to take the plea. There was -- you know, it just didn't
- make any sense to fight something that was inevitable.
- 16 MR. PATTIS: Objection. Move to strike.
- 17 Nonresponsive.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. And it's sustained. And the
- 19 answer will be stricken.
- 20 BY MR. KENERSON:
- 21 Q. What were you hoping -- well, let me ask you: In terms of
- 22 your ultimate sentence, are you hoping to get something out of
- 23 the cooperation aspect of this plea?
- 24 A. I would hope that cooperation would help.
- 25 Q. Has anyone promised you what your sentence will be?

```
1 A. No.
```

- 2 Q. Who decides your sentence?
- 3 A. The judge does.
- 4 Q. That's Judge Kelly?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection.
- 7 BY MR. KENERSON:
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with the term "substantial assistance
- 9 motion"?
- 10 A. Subs -- not directly.
- 11 Q. A 5K?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What's your understanding of what that is?
- MR. METCALF: Objection. Your Honor, again, calls
- for a legal conclusion or a legal definition.
- 16 THE COURT: He can give his lay understanding.
- 17 Overruled.
- 18 A. Basically, the government gets to decide whether or not
- 19 they'll file a 5K motion on my behalf, which would possibly
- 20 lead to a reduction in sentence.
- 21 BY MR. KENERSON:
- 22 Q. And if the government files that 5K motion, what's your
- 23 understanding as to who decides whether to grant it?
- 24 A. The judge would -- Judge Kelly would have to decide that.
- 25 Q. Now, do you have an understanding, one way or the other, as

- to whether the outcome of this case has anything to do with
 whether the government files that motion?

 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What's your understanding?
 - A. That doesn't matter.
 - MR. PATTIS: Objection. Judge, that may call for waiver of the attorney-client privilege. Objection.
- 8 THE COURT: If the witness can answer without -9 without waiving his attorney-client privilege, he may.
- 10 BY MR. KENERSON:

4

5

6

7

- Q. So, without referencing any conversations you may have had in private with your lawyer, what is your understanding of whether the outcome of this case has anything to do with whether the government files a substantial assistance motion?
- 15 A. It has nothing to do with it.
- 16 Q. What about any other case?
- 17 A. Nothing to do with it.
- Q. As part of your plea agreement, did you have to agree with what's called a Statement of Offense?
- 20 A. I did.
- Q. And without getting into what the Statement of Offense says, in general terms, what is that?
- 23 A. That I acknowledge that I took part in a crime and --
- Q. Did you review that Statement of Offense before you entered your guilty plea?

```
1
       Α.
          Yes.
       Q. Do you agree that it described facts establishing a
2
 3
       seditious conspiracy and unlawful possession of a firearm?
 4
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Relevance, based on
 5
       Tarantino.
 6
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
 7
       A. Yes.
                 MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, I do not believe I have
 8
 9
       any further questions at this time.
10
                 THE COURT: All right. Very well. We'll move to
       cross-examination.
11
12
                 While you are -- I'll give you a moment to --
13
       Mr. Smith, to take care of the technology. Let me know when
14
       you're done.
15
                 (Pause.)
16
                 MR. SMITH: I'm ready, Your Honor. Thank you.
17
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, let me just have a sidebar,
18
       very briefly, before you begin.
19
                 (Bench discussion:)
20
                 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Smith, I was hoping we
       wouldn't get to this point today. I know we've -- I want to
21
22
       try to avoid having to interrupt -- having the government
23
       interrupt. I assume -- are you planning on using prior
24
       inconsistent statements?
25
                 MR. SMITH: Well, that would depend on the witness's
```

answers.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, I guess -- all right. Well, there's nothing we can do to front this now.

We'll just deal with it as it comes. I just had hoped -- if we hadn't gotten to this point, I was going to try to have you disclose what you thought you would be doing, at least as far as those statements go, to the government, so we can try to, you know, get at this ahead of time. But, we are where we are, so you may proceed.

MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, if I may, just on that topic.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. KENERSON: One suggestion that I would have on that -- on that front, and the Court's, obviously, free to take it or leave it, is: I don't know whether Mr. Smith plans to use the audio recordings or the transcripts. There are both for many of the prior statements. But, if Mr. Smith is able to point to either a timestamp or a page and line of a transcript before he actually puts the question to the witness, that might allow us to solve anything before it gets before the jury.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, we plan to do one better for Mr. Kenerson, and give them a page number, a citation to a timestamp, and the Nordean exhibit number.

THE COURT: All right. So, hopefully, Mr. Smith, if you -- you know, you can do it as you see fit, but, the best, I

```
1
       think, then would be, even before you pose the question, at
2
       least alerting the government somehow to this so we can try to
 3
       do it as best we can without -- you know, without having to
 4
       interrupt your questioning.
 5
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, may I simply say:
 6
       planning to play a prior inconsistent statement, cite the
7
       exhibit number, the timestamp?
                 THE COURT: Okay. We just need -- they need a chance
 8
 9
       to be able to see what it is and object. That's my only point.
10
       It is what it is. We'll go forward as best we can.
11
                 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
12
                 THE COURT: All right.
13
                 (Open court:)
14
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
       BY MR. SMITH:
16
       Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bertino.
17
       A. Good afternoon.
18
       Q. I'm Nick Smith. I'm going to ask you some questions for
19
       Ethan Nordean about your testimony.
20
       Α.
          Okay.
21
       Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that before your testimony today,
22
       you've had some encounters with the government about the
23
       subject of your testimony?
24
       A. Yes.
25
       Q. A few meetings with them?
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You had meetings with FBI agents and prosecutors?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. How many meetings would you say you've had?
- 5 A. I don't recall an exact number.
- 6 Q. Over six?
- 7 A. Probably, yes.
- Q. And a few of those meetings were -- involved you working on
- 9 your testimony today, correct?
- 10 A. I wouldn't say "working on," but I definitely -- practiced
- 11 a little bit of testimony, yes.
- 12 | Q. Practiced. So, do you know that it's -- I believe you
- understand that it's a federal crime to give -- knowingly give
- 14 false information to FBI agents, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you understand that that's an offense that's
- punishable by up to five years of prison, correct?
- 18 A. I don't know the statute off the top of my head.
- 19 Q. Okay. So, you understand that your interviews with FBI
- 20 agents were recorded, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And you understand that those recordings were
- 23 | provided to the defense in this case, right?
- 24 A. I would assume so, yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. So, you testified right at the end of direct about

- 1 your plea agreement with the government, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And we'll get to the details of that in a minute. But, you
- 4 understand that despite that plea agreement, if you gave false
- 5 information knowingly to the FBI in your interviews, that is
- 6 still a criminal offense, despite your agreement. You
- 7 understand that, right?
- 8 A. I don't know. I'm not -- I don't know. I'm not a legal
- 9 expert.
- 10 Q. Okay. So, you understand that you could be prosecuted for
- each false statement you gave to the FBI, if you gave any,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. It's a possibility, yeah.
- 14 Q. Okay. You haven't been told that you wouldn't be
- 15 prosecuted for them, right?
- 16 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 17 Q. Okay. So, I think you also just indicated at the end of
- 18 your testimony that you -- when you first were interviewed by
- agents, they found firearms in your home, correct?
- 20 A. When I was first interviewed?
- 21 | Q. Before you were first interviewed by agents, agents found
- 22 firearms, they recovered them from your home, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And at that time, you indicated that you were a
- 25 | felon. You were -- you had a felony conviction in your record

- 1 at the time you owned the firearms, right?
- 2 A. I don't know if I indicated that to them directly or not.
- 3 I don't remember.
- 4 Q. Is it the case?
- 5 A. Is what the case?
- Q. Is it the case that you had a felony conviction before you
- 7 possessed firearms?
- 8 A. Yeah. I think we've already established that. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Oh, I thought you just said we hadn't. So, I
- 10 apologize.
- So, the felony conviction that you had was reckless
- 12 endangerment in the first degree; is that what it was?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, around the time the guns were found from your
- residence, you spoke with some FBI agents about January 6,
- 16 right?
- 17 A. A few weeks later, yes.
- 18 Q. A few weeks later. You had a meeting with an agent in a
- 19 | car, right?
- 20 A. Meeting with an agent in a car?
- 21 O. Yeah.
- 22 A. They originally grabbed me while I was at work, put me in
- 23 the car, asked me some questions. And then I left and went to
- 24 get an attorney.
- Q. Okay. What questions did they ask you?

- 1 A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
- 2 | Q. You don't recall?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall that they indicated there was some connection
- 5 between whether you might be charged and how you might be
- 6 charged with the guns and what you might have to say about
- 7 these defendants?
- 8 A. Could you ask that again?
- 9 Q. Do you recall that when the agents were talking to you
- about what you knew at January 6, they linked your exposure
- with the firearms to what you might tell them about January 6?
- 12 A. Are you talking about in the car?
- 13 Q. Yeah. Or after.
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Never?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Okay. So, let's -- I want to start with a document that's
- 18 | in evidence already. I'm going to put it up on the screen.
- 19 It's Government Exhibit 528-1A.
- 20 And, Ms. Harris, I would like to publish that to the
- 21 jury.
- Mr. Bertino, do you see that document on the screen?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize that?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1
           So, you were shown this document by some of the agents?
2
                 MR. METCALF: The screen is not working. Sorry.
 3
       BY MR. SMITH:
 4
       Q. All right. So, Mr. Bertino, you were shown that document
 5
       when some of the agents interviewed you after the seizure of
 6
       the guns from your home, right?
 7
       Α.
           Yes.
 8
           And they told you, the agents, that this reflected a plan
 9
       that Enrique Tarrio might have pursued on January 6, correct?
10
           I don't remember if that's the wording they used. They
11
       just said that he had possession of it.
12
           Okay. They actually told you that he created it, right?
       Q.
13
           I don't remember specifically.
       Α.
14
           Oh, you don't remember.
       Q.
15
       Α.
           No.
16
           Okay. So, would it help refresh your recollection if I
17
       played the section -- the relevant section of the interview for
18
       you?
19
       A. It might.
20
       Q. Okay.
21
                 MR. SMITH: So, Your Honor, I'm going to play what's
22
       been marked as -- what's been marked as Nordean Exhibit 506,
23
       and I'm going to play it at 1 hour, 15 minutes, and 49 seconds.
24
                 MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, if we may be heard?
25
                 THE COURT: Yes, I understand.
```

```
1
                 Mr. Smith, you can complete your sentence, but the
2
       government wants to be heard before we play the --
 3
                 MR. SMITH: Okay. Your Honor, I've completed the
 4
       sentence.
 5
                 THE COURT: -- exhibit.
                 All right.
 6
 7
                 (Bench discussion:)
                 MR. KENERSON: I think our request for refreshing --
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Ms. Harris, we need the husher.
10
                 MR. KENERSON: I think our request --
11
                 (Audio interruption.)
12
                 MR. SMITH: That was a mistake, Your Honor.
13
                 MR. KENERSON: I think our request for -- request for
14
       refreshing would be where there's a transcript available,
15
       Mr. Smith, use it just for the purposes of not playing whatever
16
       it is to refresh Mr. Bertino's recollection in front of the
17
       jury.
18
                 THE COURT: I think that is -- Mr. Smith, that is the
19
       issue, whether it's that, or whether we actually -- and I don't
20
       know technologically whether we have this or not -- to be able
21
       to put -- I mean, in theory, we could put headphones on the
22
       witness, if we knew know to do that. But, I do think it's --
23
       the normal way to refresh the witness's recollection is just to
24
       have the witness be exposed to whatever it is, rather than the
25
       jury.
```

```
1
                 So, I think, Mr. Smith, if you have the transcript
       there, that's sort of the easier and better thing to do because
2
 3
       it will refresh him without putting the matter before the jury.
 4
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I am happy to show the
 5
       witness a transcript.
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very well. Very well.
 6
 7
                 (Open court:)
 8
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mr. Smith, will you say the
 9
       exhibit again?
10
                 MR. SMITH: Excuse me?
11
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Can you tell me what exhibit
       this is? I was working with the monitor.
12
13
                 MR. SMITH: It's Nordean Exhibit 509.
14
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Nordean 509.
15
                 MR. SMITH: Correct.
16
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
17
       BY MR. SMITH:
18
       Q. So, Mr. Bertino, I'm putting up a transcript on the screen
19
       here. And -- so, I'm going to draw a line on the screen and
20
       ask you, in particular, about the shorter line on the
21
       right-hand side of the page (indicating). Let me know when you
22
       finish reading that.
23
       A. You want me to read it to myself?
24
       Q. Yeah, to yourself, and see whether you recall that
25
       conversation with agents during your March 2022 interview.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, while he's reading that, could
2
       you just let us know what page this is for the record?
 3
                 MR. SMITH: This is page 79 of the March 18th, 2022,
       transcript.
 4
 5
       BY MR. SMITH:
 6
       Q. Mr. Bertino, have you read that?
 7
       A. I have.
 8
       Q. Okay. Now, does that refresh your recollection about
 9
       whether agents told you that Enrique Tarrio created the 1776
10
       Returns document?
11
       A. Yeah, I see that they did. I didn't remember specifically
12
       in the moment.
13
       Q. So, let me just clarify what you said.
14
              When you say, "They did," you're agreeing that agents
15
       informed you that Enrique Tarrio created the 1776 Returns
16
       document?
17
           That's what it says there, yes.
18
       Q. Right. And now that I've refreshed your recollection, do
19
       you recall that that changed your opinion about what might have
20
       happened on January 6?
21
                The document itself changed my opinion.
       Α.
22
           The document itself changed your opinion?
23
       A. Yep.
24
           And when you had this conversation with agents, did you
       come to understand that that document might have been something
25
```

- 1 that was pursued on January 6th?
- 2 A. Did I believe that it was something that was pursued?
- 3 Q. Um-hum.
- 4 A. I believe it resembled something like what happened, so,
- 5 yeah.
- 6 Q. Right. Right. And, in fact, after agents told you that
- 7 Enrique Tarrio created that document, you indicated to them
- 8 that your understanding of Enrique Tarrio had changed, right?
- 9 A. Not after he created it. After I saw that he had it.
- 10 Q. Okay. So, I'm going to see whether another section of this
- 11 refreshes your recollection.
- So, I'm going to point you to one section of the
- 13 transcript. It's a paragraph that I've put a yellow line next
- 14 to. And let me know when you finish reading that.
- 15 (Pause.)
- 16 THE COURT: And, again, Mr. Smith, just for the
- 17 record, the page number.
- 18 MR. SMITH: The page number here, Your Honor, is 81.
- 19 BY MR. SMITH:
- 20 Q. So, now that you've read that paragraph, do you recall
- 21 | informing agents that you did not have any idea that Mr. Tarrio
- 22 had something like that reflected in the 1776 Returns document
- 23 planned?
- 24 A. Yeah, I definitely said I didn't know anything about it.
- 25 Q. Okay. And the phrase "Winter Palace," you recall telling

```
1
       the agents you weren't aware of what that meant either, right?
2
       Α.
           That's correct.
 3
           Okay. So, do you recall informing agents after that you
 4
       were very upset that a good friend like Enrique Tarrio wouldn't
 5
       bring you in on the 1776 Returns plan?
 6
                 MR. KENERSON: Objection to hearsay, what he told the
 7
       agents.
                 MR. SMITH: This is not offered for the truth, Your
 8
 9
               This is about his state of mind for -- if I can --
10
                 (Bench discussion:)
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, the question is --
11
12
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, hold on. Okay.
13
                 MR. SMITH: -- in this direction, and Mr. Bertino
14
       changed his mind about whether he recalled that he understood
15
       there were plans to storm the Capitol on January 6 after he saw
16
       this document. So, what I'm explaining here is the process by
17
       which he arrived at his testimony that he gave today, and it
18
       happens in this moment, Your Honor.
19
                 So, it's not being offered for the truth about what
20
       he understood about what Mr. Tarrio was doing, but his -- the
21
       change in his position, Your Honor, which is fruitful ground
22
       for cross-examination.
23
                 THE COURT: You get to -- Mr. Smith, you can't offer
24
       this for his state of mind. You can cross-examine him with
25
       prior inconsistent statements.
```

1 MR. SMITH: I'm not -- sorry. I'm not using a prior 2 inconsistent statement, Your Honor, right now. I'm just asking 3 him about his conversation with agents because this impeaches 4 his testimony, not through a prior inconsistent statement, Your 5 Honor, but because he initially believed one thing about 6 January 6 --7 THE COURT: Right. MR. SMITH: -- and then he changed his position. 8 So, 9 the explanation for why that happened involves this 10 conversation. I'm not offering -- asking him to recall what he 11 said to an agent to prove the truth of what he believed about 12 Enrique. In fact, that would be contrary to the defense 13 interest because I don't want to prove that Enrique Tarrio 14 planned January 6 with 1776 Returns. 15 So, it's not being offered for its truth, Your Honor. 16 It's being offered to show, Your Honor, the agents deceived 17 Mr. Bertino when they told him Enrique Tarrio created the 18 document. He still, to this day, doesn't know that he was 19 deceived. So, Your Honor, this is very relevant testimony for 20 its proof. 21 THE COURT: I haven't heard -- and, first of all, the 22 question of whatever the agents told him is totally irrelevant. 23 I mean, you've elicited that they told him whatever they did. 24 But, I guess I'm -- explain to me, again, the basis why --25 again, this -- his state of mind when speaking to law

```
1
       enforcement agents is not -- is not admissible.
2
                 MR. SMITH: True.
 3
                 THE COURT: So -- go ahead.
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, before he was shown this
 4
 5
       document in the transcript, he was informing the government,
 6
      many times, there was no agreement or plan to storm the
 7
      Capitol, in so many words. We can go through it. It's shown
       in many different ways. He's shown this document --
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Right.
10
                 MR. SMITH: -- and then informed that Enrique Tarrio
       created it.
11
12
                 THE COURT: Um-hum.
13
                 MR. SMITH: That's false information, Your Honor.
14
      And, so -- then, after he's told that, he says: Well, gosh, if
15
       I had known that, I see all the facts I've talked about in a
16
      different light, your Honor.
17
                 So, the fact that his opinion is based on inaccurate
18
       information is relevant to the testimony because it impeaches
19
      what -- it goes to the credibility of the testimony, Your
20
      Honor. Even if he's not giving false information, he has an
21
       inaccurate premise that's been given to him from the
22
       government. We have to be able to elicit this, Your Honor.
23
      And, it's not for the truth that Mr. Tarrio was storming the
24
       Capitol. We don't want to prove the truth of that.
25
                 THE COURT: Mr. Kenerson, your response?
```

```
1
                 MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, I still don't think that
2
       that entitles Mr. Smith to go straight to the transcript. I
      don't think that what -- I think that --
 3
                 MR. SMITH: I'm not going straight. I just want to
 4
 5
       ask the question, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Please.
 6
 7
                 Mr. Kenerson, you can continue.
                 MR. KENERSON: Mr. Smith did go straight to the
 8
 9
       transcript, and that's why I objected. I don't disagree that
10
      he has a right to explore this issue on cross-examination with
11
      Mr. Bertino, but I think he has to ask the question of
12
      Mr. Bertino first. He has all kinds of good faith basis to ask
13
      questions along the lines of what he's suggesting.
14
                 And I don't disagree that it's relevant for him to
15
       ask questions about it, but I don't think he gets to come
16
       straight out with, When you told the agents X, Y, and Z, unless
17
       it's inconsistent with what he says on the stand.
18
                 THE COURT: I think that's right, Mr. Smith. You
19
      have --
20
                 MR. SMITH: I don't disagree. I don't disagree.
21
                 THE COURT: You have --
22
                 MR. SMITH: I'm just trying to ask the question.
23
                 And I'm sorry this is still up on the screen. I can
24
      put it back up after --
25
                 THE COURT: That's all right. The point is, you have
```

```
1
       to ask: And once you learned that, you changed your tune
2
       before those agents, didn't you?
 3
                 And if he says, yes, I did, then that ends. And if
       he says, no, I did not, then you have it, then it's a prior
 4
 5
       inconsistent statement. But that's the way to do it.
                 MR. SMITH: I understand, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: Okay.
 8
                 MR. SMITH: And that's what I was going to do, but
 9
       there was an objection, so -- because it was still up on the
10
       screen, Your Honor. That's it.
11
                 Okay. Thank you.
12
                 THE COURT: All right.
13
                 (Open court:)
14
       BY MR. SMITH:
15
       Q. So, after you were shown this document, the 1776 Returns
16
       document, and you were told that Enrique Tarrio created it, you
17
       then told the agent interviewing you that you had a -- that
18
       changed your opinion of what happened on January 6, right?
19
       A. Again, I don't think I was talking about the fact that he
20
       created it. I don't even remember that part. The only part I
21
       remember was being shown that he had it, that it was in his
22
       possession, and that's what affected it.
23
       Q. Okay. Okay. And then I showed you a document and you
24
       indicated that that refreshed your recollection and that agents
25
       informed you that you had created it and you said, "wow,"
```

```
1
       right?
2
       A. Yes.
 3
           Okay. So, now that the -- after the agents showed you this
       document and after they told you that Enrique Tarrio created
 4
 5
       it, your opinion about what might have happened on January 6
 6
       changed in that interview, right?
 7
           In the entire interview?
       Α.
       Q. Well --
 8
 9
           Or are you linking it to that one part?
       Α.
10
           After you see the document, your position with the agents
       Q.
11
       about happened on January 6 changed, right?
12
       Α.
           Yes.
13
       Q. You told the agents that someone, if they were a good
14
       friend of yours, like Enrique Tarrio, they wouldn't hide
15
       something from you like the 1776 Returns document, right?
16
                 MR. KENERSON: Objection to hearsay.
17
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, we just went through this on
18
       sidebar.
                 This isn't being offered for its truth.
19
                 (Bench discussion:)
20
                 THE COURT: Mr. Kenerson, why isn't this what we just
21
       discussed?
22
                 MR. KENERSON: Maybe I'm confused. I thought it was
23
       what we just discussed. I don't think that that statement is
24
       inconsistent, and I think he's able to explore with Mr. Bertino
25
       what his --
```

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, this isn't being offered as a
2
       prior inconsistent statement. I'm asking him a question.
 3
                 MR. KENERSON: He's asking a question about what
       Mr. Bertino told the agents, if -- that the -- he had asked
 4
 5
       Mr. Bertino about why he changed his version of events, I
 6
       think, all day long on the stand. The only way he gets to ask
 7
       him about why Mr. Bertino told the statements is if what he
       told the agents is different than what he says on the stand.
 8
 9
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor --
10
                 THE COURT: Right. But he's asking -- but, Mr. --
11
       how can Mr. Smith set this up unless he asks: Well, then after
12
       that, you told them X?
13
                 If he says, yes, that's right, then --
14
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, may we just be allowed to
       continue? There's been an objection after every --
15
16
                 THE COURT: I understand. But, you're doing
17
       something, Mr. Smith -- in fairness to the government, this is
18
       an unusual way of using this, number one.
19
                 And, number two, I think you kind of -- well, you've
20
       kind of toggled between saying "I'm using it as a prior
21
       inconsistent statement" versus some other thing linked to his
22
       intent. So, in fairness to them, I think you've kind of
       shifted the basis here.
23
24
                 So, I think the --
25
                 MR. SMITH: I'm not getting into the truth of what
```

he's telling the agents, Your Honor. I'm getting into how he changed his position after he saw a document. I can't do that, as Your Honor points out, without asking about a conversation. But, the facts, what he says to the agent, is not being offered for the truth. It's being offered to show why he changed his position about a relevant matter. This is -- so, when the government puts a witness on, they'll ask him about why he took the position. Why? The government would ask: Why did you do this? And what did the --

THE COURT: Correct. It's not a comparable situation. You get to -- again, because his intent in the middle of this interview is not relevant, you can ask him -- use it as a prior inconsistent statement, but -- look,

Mr. Smith, this is -- you're seeking to do something that is outside the box here. What I would ask you to do is move on from this. We'll come back to it, and you'll have a chance to talk to me before we -- before the end of the day.

But, again, this is -- this is not the usual use of a prior inconsistent statement. And I want to hear you on it, but I don't want to -- and if I'd had the opportunity to hear this before now, we wouldn't be in this spot. So, I would just ask you to just move past it and we'll come back to it. And if I -- we can talk about it before the end of the day.

MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

```
1
                 (Open court:)
2
       BY MR. SMITH:
 3
           So, Mr. Bertino, we're going to get back to that document.
 4
              So, you testified on direct that on January 6, you
 5
       anticipated a, quote, All out revolution.
 6
              Right?
 7
           I don't know if that was my exact --
       Α.
 8
       Q. You anticipated a revolution on January 6. That was your
 9
       testimony.
10
           If that's -- I don't know if that's -- do you have it
       written that that's exactly the words I said? I don't --
11
12
           Sir, this isn't a game. I'm asking you if you recall
13
       testifying about anticipating a revolution on January 6. Do
14
       you recall testifying that?
15
           I don't think I said that exactly.
       Α.
16
       Q. What did you say?
17
           I said, once it started happening, that I was happy about
18
       it happening.
19
       Q. Are you sitting here right now and saying you don't recall
20
       that you anticipated a revolution on January 6 about an hour
21
       ago; is that what you're saying?
           I don't know if that's the exact wording I used. I would
22
23
       have to see the record.
24
           Do you recall using the word "revolution," sir?
       Q.
25
       Α.
           Yes.
```

- Q. Okay. What was your comment about "revolution"?
- 2 A. I don't remember specifically exactly what it was.
- 3 Q. As you sit here today --
- 4 | A. I said the word a lot.
- 5 Q. Yes. So, what did you --
- 6 A. You have to pick out which one you want me to quote.
- 7 Q. Okay. The use of the term "revolution." In connection
- 8 | with January 6, 2021, you used the word "revolution" in your
- 9 direct testimony.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And what was your comment about revolution? Do you
- 12 recall?
- 13 A. There was a few comments about it.
- 14 Q. What are they?
- 15 A. That we were looking forward to it, and that that was the
- 16 only option left.
- 17 Q. Okay. It -- so "it" being January 6 and revolution?
- 18 A. The American Revolution was the only option left.
- 19 Q. Yes. And were you referring to what happened on January 6
- when you referred to "it" and the "revolution"?
- 21 A. I don't understand your question.
- 22 Q. When you said "We're looking forward to the revolution,"
- are you referring to what happened on January 6?
- 24 A. I was -- I would assume that that would be the exact thing
- 25 | we're talking about today.

- Q. I'm not asking you to make an assumption. It was your comment. You said: We're anticipating looking forward to the
- 3 revolution.
- 4 Right?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- Q. Yes. And were you referring to January 6, 2021, the
- 7 subject of your testimony in this trial?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, you also testified, generally, that the Proud
- Boys should be considered the, quote: Tip of the sphere.
- Do you remember that testimony?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You testified that: After the Supreme Court
- 14 rejected the 2020 election challenges, all legal avenues were
- 15 closed off and people grew desperate.
- 16 Right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So, you testified that on January 4th 2021, you thought it
- 19 was "go time," right?
- 20 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Yeah. So, you testified that on January 6, you wanted the
- 22 city to be burned to ash, right?
- 23 A. I did say that.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I think I agreed with somebody else who said that, actually

- 1 Q. Right. And you adopted that position, right?
- 2 A. I guess you could say that.
- 3 Q. So, you also testified that the all-out revolution you
- 4 anticipated was consistent with what happened on January 6,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. The all-out revolution was consistent?
- 7 Q. Is the revolution that you're referring to consistent with
- 8 | what you saw happen on January 6?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. It's not?
- 11 A. No. That's not a revolution.
- 12 Q. Okay. You testified you saw video on a phone display, a
- chat window, showing what happened at the Capitol on January 6,
- and you were asked whether that video display of the chaos
- there was consistent with the MoSD leaders -- with your
- 16 | conversations with MoSD leaders. Do you recall saying that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And that's true, right, it's consistent with your
- 19 | conversations with MoSD leaders?
- 20 A. Consistent about us being up front, yes. Right at the
- 21 front lines, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. So, you've affirmed in court, on an earlier
- occasion, that it was true and accurate you entered into an
- agreement to use force to prevent the execution of a federal
- 25 law on January 6, right?

- 1 A. Did I say that earlier, directly?
- 2 Q. No. I'm asking you about whether you've ever appeared in
- 3 court and affirmed under oath that it is true and accurate that
- 4 you entered into an agreement to use force to prevent the
- 5 execution of law on January 6.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Is that true and accurate?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, the government asked you some questions about
- 10 | the moods and feelings and tenor of other Proud Boys. Do you
- 11 remember those questions?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Leading up to January 6, right?
- 14 A. I was asked those questions, yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. But, it didn't just ask you point blank: Did you --
- 16 do you believe you agreed with someone to use force to prevent
- the execution of law on January 6?
- 18 Did the government ask you that question point blank?
- 19 A. I don't believe so. I don't know. I don't remember.
- 20 Q. Okay. So, you weren't lying in court when you affirmed
- 21 that that was true, right?
- 22 A. I was not.
- 23 Q. Okay. So, you're testifying today, taking all this
- 24 together, that prior to January 6, you anticipated violence on
- 25 | January 6, right?

```
1 A. Yes.
```

- Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Bertino, you have assured FBI agents, on
- 3 many occasions, that all of those things I just said were not
- 4 true, right?
- 5 A. I don't know if all of them --
- 6 Q. You told the FBI, on pain of prosecution for false
- 7 statements, that none of what you just said is true, right?
- 8 A. On which occasion are you talking about?
- 9 Q. March 2022.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You told them all of those things I just said were not
- 12 true, correct?
- 13 A. I don't know, I would have to look line by line to figure
- 14 out if I said that specifically.
- 15 Q. I'm going to help you do that.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. I'm going to help you do that.
- 18 | So, you told the FBI -- you were asked: Were you
- 19 aware that the --
- MR. KENERSON: Page and line, please.
- MR. SMITH: This is Nordean Exhibit 506, March 18,
- 22 2022 transcript, page 79.
- 23 BY MR. SMITH:
- 24 Q. You told the FBI --
- MR. KENERSON: Line, please.

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: 5 to 12.
2
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 3
           The agents asked you: Were you aware that the Proud Boys
       were initially going to go to the Capitol at any point?
 4
 5
              And you said: No. I thought that they were -- the plan
 6
       was supposed to be that everyone would meet at the monument and
7
       you would go hear Trump's speech, and that's what it was going
 8
       to be. That's the plan that I was aware of.
 9
              Did you make that statement?
10
           If it's in there, then I did, yes.
11
       Q. Okay.
12
                 MR. SMITH: So, Your Honor, we are now moving in the
13
       prior inconsistent statement because this is -- under the best
14
       evidence rule. This is Nordean Exhibit 506, and we're playing
15
       it at 1 hour, 15 minutes, and 23 seconds to 1 hour, 15 minutes,
16
       and 40 seconds.
17
                 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
18
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                 (Audio played.)
20
       BY MR. SMITH:
21
           Is that true, Mr. Bertino?
       0.
22
           I did say that. Yes, that's true.
       Α.
23
       Q.
           I asked you if it's true.
24
           If that's true -- the statement is true?
25
       Q.
           Was that you, sir?
```

- 1 A. Yes, that was me.
- 2 Q. Was your statement to the FBI true?
- 3 A. Not fully.
- 4 Q. "Not fully" how?
- 5 A. It wasn't a full --
- 6 Q. What's the partial part that's true?
- 7 A. Well, they were supposed to meet at the monument, but the
- 8 plans for the rest of the day were supposed to be laid out
- 9 there, and I never was told what the rest of the plan was.
- 10 Q. And you were never told what the rest of the plan was?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Then, why is that statement partially true and not
- 13 | 100 percent true?
- 14 A. Because they were supposed to meet at the Washington
- Monument.
- 16 Q. That's what you said, sir.
- Do you want to hear the statement again?
- 18 A. Yeah. That's the part that was true.
- 19 Q. What was the untrue part?
- 20 A. That the only plan was to listen to Trump's speech.
- 21 Q. And what was the rest of the plan?
- 22 A. The rest of the plan was to -- I mean, I don't even know if
- 23 | it was a plan. It was just a -- the reason that they were
- there was to stop the certification of the election.
- Q. So, you bring up a good point, sir. You said: I don't

```
1
       know if it was a plan.
2
              Right?
 3
           Yeah. I didn't know the specific plan.
       Α.
 4
       Q. You said: I don't know if it's a plan, but -- but, then --
 5
              How did you finish?
 6
           I don't know if it was the plan, the specific plan.
       Α.
 7
           Oh. How do you know it's any plan?
 8
          Like I said, I wasn't told what the plan was from the
 9
       Washington Monument.
10
           Okay. So, you've pleaded guilty to using force to stopping
       Q.
11
       the execution of the law, right?
12
       A. Yes.
13
       Q. And you're saying you don't know what any plan was
14
       involving the defendants except from going to the Washington
15
       Monument on the morning of January 6, right?
16
                I didn't say that that's the only -- I said the plan.
17
       I don't know the exact plan of how it was going to get done. I
18
       know what the objective was.
19
       Q. Okay. So when you said here: That's all it was going to
20
       be, going to the meeting at the monument, and then go to
21
       Trump's speech.
22
              So, that was a false statement to the FBI, right?
23
       A. Yes.
24
           Okay. So, sir, do you recall telling the FBI that --
```

MR. KENERSON: Page and line, please.

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: This is March 18th, 2022 interview, page
2
       101, lines 6 to 15.
 3
       BY MR. SMITH:
       Q. Mr. Bertino, did you tell FBI agents --
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, I'm going to -- can I have you
       at sidebar, just for one second.
 6
 7
                 (Bench discussion:)
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, here's the issue: If the
 8
 9
       government -- you know, the government has -- and maybe they're
10
       not going to hear, but they have -- you have to pause a beat
11
       for them to be able to object. Because the whole point is, if
12
       they don't think, for example, that it's an inconsistent
13
       statement, they have the right to object.
14
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, that brings up a good point.
15
       I'm not actually moving it into evidence until I ask Your Honor
16
       to move it in. So, at that point, ideally the government would
17
       have seen it. But, at this point, Your Honor, I, obviously,
18
       have a good faith basis because I'm looking at a transcript.
19
       So, I don't need to pause a beat before asking a question.
20
       But, I will pause a beat, Your Honor, before moving it into
21
       evidence at that point.
22
                 THE COURT: Well, let me put it this way: We've been
23
       down this road with another witness before. And I'll just say
24
             There was a lot of trickiness about -- well,
25
       "trickiness," it sounds like -- too pejorative.
```

1 There was a lot of close calls about whether 2 something was truly an inconsistent statement or not. So, I 3 just ask you -- again, this could have all been avoided. 4 I understand you don't want to give the government a heads-up. 5 Fair enough. But, I would ask you to pause a beat just before 6 you ask the question to give the government an opportunity to 7 see what you're referencing. 8 MR. SMITH: And, so, Your Honor, just to be clear, 9 I'm asking the question first. And because I have a good faith 10 basis to ask the question, I don't need to, you know, identify 11 anything for the government. 12 But, then, Your Honor, before I move it into 13 evidence, I'm -- I plan on giving the government the citation, 14 the page number, and everything because, Your Honor, I don't 15 actually need the government's, kind of, approval to ask the 16 question first because I'm looking at a transcript. I'm just 17 asking about this prior statement. And if -- before Your Honor 18 accepts it into evidence or not, Your Honor can then determine whether it's an inconsistent statement. 19 20 THE COURT: Well, let me put it this way: I'm going 21 to order you to pause to give the government a chance to look. 22 You may think you don't have to, but I'm ordering you to do it. 23 MR. SMITH: Okay. Okay, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. All right. It's -- I'm talking 25 about --

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: How long should I wait, Your Honor?
                 THE COURT: I'm talking about five seconds.
2
 3
                 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: All right.
 4
 5
                 (Open court:)
 6
                 MR. SMITH: For the benefit of the government and the
 7
       Court, we are now looking at the March 18th, 2022 transcript,
       on page 101, from line 6 to 15.
 8
 9
       BY MR. SMITH:
10
       Q. Mr. Bertino, do you recall telling agents that: I can say
       100 percent that the target, if anybody was talking about the
11
12
       Capitol, I'm going to speculate that it was plan a protest
13
       there, because that's where everything was supposed to be
14
       happening, where protest was supposed to be planned there.
15
       don't specifically remember anyone saying, Go attack the
16
       Capitol. I just -- I don't remember that at all. But if
17
       anybody mentioned the Capitol -- because, again, to my
18
       knowledge, everything was supposed to happen that day was a
19
       peaceful protest.
20
              Do you remember saying that?
21
       A. Yes.
22
       Q. Thank you.
23
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I am now moving that
24
       statement into evidence as it's inconsistent with several
25
       things in his direct testimony.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Is this still 506?
2
 3
                 MR. SMITH: This is Nordean 506.
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
 4
 5
                 MR. SMITH: And I'm going to, Ms. Harris, 9 minutes
 6
       and 35 seconds.
 7
                 (Audio played.)
       BY MR. SMITH:
 8
 9
           Do you recall saying that to FBI agents, sir?
10
          Now I do.
       Α.
11
          Now you do. Was that true?
12
       Α.
          No.
13
       O. It wasn't?
14
       A. No.
15
       Q. Okay. But you're telling the truth today?
16
       Α.
          Yes.
17
       Q. Okay. So, you testified about how Enrique Tarrio was
18
       arrested on January 5th -- or, excuse me -- was arrested before
19
       January 6, and then was released on January 5th, right, 2021?
20
       A. Yes, I believe those dates are correct.
21
       Q. Okay. And, so, we're now looking at the transcript
22
       March 18th, 2022, page 59, at lines 7 to 23.
23
                 MR. KENERSON: 59, lines 7 to 23?
24
                 MR. SMITH: 7 to 23.
25
       BY MR. SMITH:
```

```
1
       Q. So, Mr. Bertino, do you recall telling agents that after
2
       Enrique Tarrio was arrested, quote: There was no rhyme or
 3
       reason at that point that I was aware of. There was no plan of
 4
       anything?
 5
           I probably did say that.
 6
       Q. Okay.
 7
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm moving into evidence
       Nordean 506 at 55 minutes and 9 seconds.
 8
 9
                 MR. KENERSON: We would object to this one as not
10
       inconsistent.
                 (Audio interruption.)
11
12
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if I may. Just, I think this
13
       one --
14
                 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.
15
                 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
16
                 This is at 55 minutes and 9 seconds, again,
       Ms. Harris.
17
18
                 Apologies for the delay, Mr. Bertino.
19
                 (Audio played.)
20
       BY MR. SMITH:
21
           Do you recall saying that, Mr. Bertino?
22
          Yes. I obviously said that, yes.
       Α.
23
       Q. Is that true?
24
       A. Is it true that --
25
       Q. Is it true --
```

- 1 A. -- once Enrique got arrested --
- 2 Q. -- there was no -- I'll read your quote: There was no
- 3 | rhyme or reason at that point, that I was aware of. There was
- 4 no plan of anything?
- 5 A. Yeah. Because Enrique was arrested, so nobody knew where
- 6 to go or what to do.
- 7 Q. And you agree with the sentiment. There was no plan of
- 8 anything at that point?
- 9 A. Yeah, because we hadn't anticipated that.
- 10 Q. Okay. But, you still had an agreement to comit a seditious
- 11 conspiracy?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. You had no plan, but you had an agreement?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Can you explain how you can have no plan, but you can have
- 16 | an agreement?
- 17 A. Okay. I can give you an example, if you like.
- 18 Q. Sure.
- 19 A. So, if my girlfriend and I wanted to have bacon and eggs
- 20 for breakfast that morning. We didn't have bacon, we didn't
- 21 have eggs. Would I have to lay out every bit of the plan and
- 22 what we had to do to get to the bacon and eggs to bring it home
- 23 and make it?
- Q. No. So, let's say someone asked you about your agreement
- 25 | with your wife -- or did you say your girlfriend?

- 1 A. Um-hum.
- 2 Q. Was it --
- 3 A. Girlfriend.
- 4 Q. Girlfriend. Someone asked you about your agreement with
- 5 your girlfriend to eat bacon and eggs, right?
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. And you tell them: You know what, at that point in the
- 8 morning, there was no rhyme or reason at all. We didn't have
- 9 any plan to do anything. There was no bacon. There was no
- 10 eggs. We didn't know where to get the bacon and eggs. There
- 11 | was no hope of getting bacon or eggs.
- So, you're saying you had an agreement with your
- girlfriend to make bacon and eggs?
- 14 A. If we both said, hey, you want bacon and eggs for
- breakfast? then we know that we have to do certain things to
- 16 get bacon and eggs for breakfast.
- 17 Q. What's another word for doing certain things?
- 18 A. What's that?
- 19 Q. What's another word for, you know, an agreement to do
- 20 certain things?
- 21 A. What's another word for an agreement?
- 22 Q. Yeah. When you agree with someone to do certain things,
- 23 what do you call that?
- 24 A. There's a lot of things --
- 25 Q. Is it a plan?

- 1 A. I mean, it could be.
- 2 Q. What else is it?
- 3 A. Yeah, it could be a plan.
- Q. When you agree with someone to do something in the future,
- 5 is that what a plan is?
- A. No. I would say a plan is the step-by-step, laid-out way
- 7 that you're going to get it done.
- 8 Q. So, like, you're talking about maybe theoretically eating
- 9 something, but not necessarily actually taking any steps to
- 10 pursue it, right?
- 11 A. I mean, do I have to discuss with her how we're going to
- get it done? Or she knows, hey, we're going to have bacon and
- eggs for breakfast. Do I have to lay out: Hey, I'm going to
- have to go to the store, go down this aisle, buy the bacon, buy
- 15 the eggs, get back in the car, drive home, put it on the oven,
- 16 and then cook it?
- I don't think we have to --
- 18 Q. Well, if you tell someone there's no rhyme or reason at all
- 19 to what you're doing and you don't have bacon and you don't
- 20 have eggs, yeah, you might have to discuss with somebody how
- 21 you are going to do something, right?
- 22 | A. I don't think I would need to discuss with her how we were
- going to get bacon and eggs for breakfast. I think she
- 24 would --
- 25 Q. Is making bacon and eggs --

```
1 A. -- ultimately know.
```

- 2 Q. -- in your mind, trying to interfere with the government's
 3 peaceful transfer of power?
- 4 A. No, I don't think that's anything like that. I'm just
- 5 trying to explain to you how you can do something without
- 6 having a specific plan.
- 7 Q. But, sir, you just said it's like -- nothing like the
- 8 analogy you just give me. You said, "Do you want an analogy?"
- 9 And I said, "Yes," and then you started talking about bacon and
- 10 eggs.
- 11 I'm talking about a plan to, effectively, topple the
- 12 government. You're saying you had an agreement to do it, but
- 13 no plan?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. So you testified about the Telegram transcripts --
- 16 | the Telegram chats, right?
- 17 A. Yeah. Obviously, yes.
- 18 | Q. Yeah.
- 19 A. There was a lot of them.
- 20 Q. Yeah. And, in your view, some of those chats -- some of
- 21 | those chats reveal your -- what you believe is your agreement,
- 22 | without a plan, to forcibly stop the execution of law, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, I'm directing the government to March 18, 2022
- 25 transcript, at page 75, lines 8 to 11.

```
1
              So, Mr. Bertino, did you say, referring to the
2
       defendants: Whatever planning they were doing --
 3
              Excuse me. So, this is actually the June 9th, 2022
 4
       transcript, page 15, 20 to 24.
 5
       BY MR. SMITH:
 6
       Q. So you had an interview --
 7
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, if you can --
                 MR. SMITH: I'm not going to ask him the statement
 8
 9
       yet. I'm just -- foundation.
10
                 THE COURT: All right.
       BY MR. SMITH:
11
12
       Q. Mr. Bertino, you had an interview with the FBI in June of
13
       2022, correct?
14
       A. Yes.
15
       Q. Okay.
16
       A. Two of them, I think.
       Q. Okay. So this is page 15, lines 20 to 24.
17
18
              Mr. Bertino, did you tell the FBI agent that, "If the
19
       Proud Boys had any plan, you know, plans they didn't want
20
       anyone to know about, they obviously weren't saying them in the
21
       Telegram chats"?
22
           I don't know if I said that or not, specifically.
23
       Q. Okay. So I'm going to bring up a copy of the transcript --
24
                 MR. SMITH: Well, actually, Your Honor, we'll just go
25
       with the impeachment at 16 minutes and 18 seconds.
```

```
1
                 (Audio played.)
2
       BY MR. KENERSON:
 3
           So, was that true?
       Q.
 4
       A. I did say that, yes.
 5
           I didn't ask you if you said it. I asked if it's true.
 6
           Is it true that they didn't put a specific plan in the
7
       Telegram chat?
       Q. I think you added the adjective "specific," so I'll read
 8
 9
       your your quote again.
10
              "If the Proud Boys had any plans, you know, plans they
       didn't want anyone to know about, they obviously weren't saying
11
12
       them in the chats."
13
              That was your quote?
14
       A. Yes.
15
       Q. Okay. Is that true?
16
                 They didn't put it directly in the chat, yes.
17
       Q. You keep -- do you notice how you keep adding adverbs and
       adjectives? I didn't ask you about "directly" or
18
       "specifically."
19
20
                 MR. KENERSON: Objection. Argumentative.
21
       BY MR. SMITH:
22
       Q. Is that statement true?
23
                 THE COURT: Overruled.
24
       BY MR. SMITH:
25
       Q. Is that statement true?
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. It's true. So, the Proud Boys did not have plans that they
- 3 put into the Telegram chats?
- 4 A. I did not see the plan in the Telegram chat.
- 5 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 6 So, just to take one step back, you testified, before I
- 7 played that clip for you, that you believe your agreement that
- 8 you entered into to use force at the Capitol was reflected in
- 9 the Telegram chats, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. So, now, one thing I want to drill down here is --
- 12 so, I was initially asking you about a March 2022 interview you
- did with the FBI, and I played you some audio clips from that,
- 14 right?
- 15 A. You did.
- 16 | Q. And the last one I just played was from a June 2022
- 17 | interview, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So --
- 20 A. If that's what you say. I don't have it on the screen,
- 21 so --
- 22 Q. Okay. Well, you --
- 23 A. -- I'm taking your word for it.
- Q. Okay. So, did you lie on multiple occasions to the FBI or
- 25 just in that initial interview, when your memory was bad in

```
1
      March?
           I think I was a lot less honest in the first one than I was
2
 3
       in the last ones, yeah.
 4
           So -- so, you were more honest in the June 2022 interview?
 5
      A. Yes.
 6
      Q. Okay. So, this is the June 9th, 2022 interview transcript,
7
      page 81, lines 4 to 7.
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Exhibit number?
 8
 9
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Ms. Harris. It's Nordean 509.
10
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.
      BY MR. SMITH:
11
      Q. So, Mr. Bertino, you were asked by agents: When the Proud
12
13
      Boys group gets to the Washington Monument on January 6, where
14
      were they going to go?
15
                 MR. KENERSON: Objection. It's not inconsistent.
16
                 MR. SMITH: I haven't --
17
                 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear you at sidebar.
18
                 (Bench discussion:)
19
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, the next statement is: I
20
      don't have any direct information on what they were planning.
21
                 So, Your Honor, again, this is not about quibbling
22
      with the word "planning." He says that he had an agreement to
23
      use force on January 6, 2021. It's not about the semantics
24
       over the word "planning." This is inconsistent with maybe a
25
       dozen statements he's made.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Mr. Kenerson?
                 MR. KENERSON: This is, in fact, inconsistent -- or,
2
 3
       not inconsistent with the statement that Mr. Bertino testified.
 4
       He's testified that he did not have any direct information on
 5
       what they were planning. This is exactly consistent with what
 6
       he's testified.
 7
                 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I think -- look, I think
       this is -- I understand the position the government is taking
 8
 9
       here, but I think -- again, to use a phrase we've used many
10
       times, that feels like it's slicing the bologna very thin.
11
                 I get what you're saying, Mr. Kenerson, but it's at
       least -- it's at least -- let's put it this way:
12
                                                         It's at
13
       least -- the argument that it is inconsistent is strong enough
14
       to let the -- I think, to let the defense use it in the way
15
       they're going to -- how they're going to argue it, and you all
16
       can ask questions on redirect, if you would like, making the
17
       point you're saying.
18
                 So you may proceed, Mr. Smith.
19
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
                 (Open court:)
21
       BY MR. SMITH:
22
       Q. Mr. Bertino, I'm pulling up Nordean Exhibit 509 at 20
23
       minutes and 5 seconds.
24
              (Audio played.)
25
              So this is an interview you gave in June 2022, when
```

```
1
      you're being honest, you said, right?
2
      A. Yes.
 3
      Q. Okay. So, you said here: I don't have any direct
 4
       information on what they were planning.
 5
           I don't think that's what I said. I think I said: I don't
 6
      have any direct information on where they're going to go.
7
      Q. Oh, well, that's not right. So, I'll just -- I'll play
 8
      that again for you so you can hear this one.
 9
              (Audio played.)
10
           Where they were planning on going?
      Α.
11
      Q. No. No. No. Okay.
12
              (Audio played.)
13
              I just hearing "planning" there, sir. Did you hear a
14
      silent "qo"?
15
      A. I'm pretty sure I heard myself say: Where they're planning
16
      on going.
17
      Q. Oh, okay. So there's an agreement that you entered into to
18
      use force on January 6, 2021, right?
19
      A. Yes.
20
      Q. And it involved the count of the Electoral College votes,
21
      right?
22
      A. Yes.
23
      Q. Where does that happen?
24
      A. At the Capitol.
```

Q. Hmm. So, you have an agreement to interfere with something

```
at the Capitol, but you don't know where the conspirators are planning on going?
```

- A. I didn't know exactly where they were going from there, yes.
- Q. I'm not saying "exactly," sir. You said you didn't know where they were planning on going.
- A. And I'm telling you, I didn't know exactly where they were going.
- 9 Q. No -- exactly?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 Q. Are you saying it's more specific than the Capitol
- 12 building?
- 13 A. It might have been.
- Q. So you're saying that when you were truthfully answering
 that agent's question and you said you didn't know where they
 were planning on going, you knew in your head they were going
- to the Capitol, but you had a silent reservation because he
- didn't say exactly where they're going?
- 19 A. No. I --
- Q. So you didn't want to tell him they're going to the Capitol
- 21 because you thought: Well, did he mean at East Wing, West
- Wing? He didn't specify, so I won't say the Capitol.
- Is that what you're saying?
- A. No. I'm just saying that I didn't have the direct idea of exactly where they were going, how they were going to get

- 1 there, what route they were going to take, I didn't know.
 - Q. You said you didn't know where they're going.
- 3 A. Yeah. I didn't know which way they were going, yeah.
- 4 Q. What? The agreement is to stop --
- 5 A. I don't know where they were going.
- 6 Q. Sir, the agreement is to stop something from happening in a
- 7 | specific place, right? Do you think -- was -- okay. Let me
- 8 | put it to you this way: Was the agreement not to use -- was
- 9 the agreement to use force, in your view, to stop the Electoral
- 10 vote count, would that have happened at Dunkin' Donuts?
- 11 A. Could have.
- 12 Q. How?

- 13 A. I don't know. I'm just saying, I didn't know how they were
- 14 | going to get to the goal. I didn't have the exact laid-out
- plans of how they were going to achieve the goal.
- 16 Q. So -- wait.
- 17 A. I didn't have to have the plan to know what the goal was.
- 18 Q. Didn't have to have a plan to know what the goal was.
- 19 You're being very -- I mean, this is getting kind of semantics
- 20 | right now. But, you're saying the agreement that you had could
- 21 have contemplated interfering with members of Congress at some
- 22 | place other than the Capitol building?
- 23 A. What I'm saying is, I did not know the specific plan that
- 24 they had to achieve the goal that we all had.
- 25 Q. But, what you said here to the agent is -- you didn't know

- 1 where they were planning on going, you said.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, you're saying your agreement to use force to
- 4 stop members of Congress from counting Electoral College votes
- 5 could have happened -- could have been executed anywhere?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. You don't -- well, what do you know about it?
- 8 A. I know that --
- 9 Q. You don't know where it was going to take place, right?
- 10 A. I do --
- 11 Q. You don't know where the agreement was going to take place?
- 12 A. Well, are you going to let me answer?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. Okay. I didn't need to know exactly where they needed to
- go to achieve the goal. That's what I'm trying to explain to
- 16 you.
- 17 Q. Okay. You're saying you didn't know where they needed to
- 18 go to achieve the goal. So --
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. -- I am asking you a second question, which is: How that
- 21 goal could have been achieved in any place other than the one
- 22 | specific place, the Capitol building?
- 23 A. There's a lot of places that could have been taken care of.
- 24 There was a plan with a whole bunch of other buildings
- 25 involved.

- Q. And how would they use force to stop the vote count in Dunkin', like you said? Dunkin'.
- 3 A. I don't know what the plan was. I don't know how they
- 4 could have done it. Again, I didn't have that information.
 - Q. It sounds like you don't know what the agreement is.
- 6 A. No, I know what the agreement was.
- 7 Q. Okay. So, I'm now -- I want to go to your point about
- 8 being more honest -- or, more honest in this June interview,
- 9 right? So we're going to June 9th, 2022, page 85, lines 10
- 10 to 24.

- MR. KENERSON: Lines again, please.
- MR. SMITH: 10 to 24, page 85.
- 13 BY MR. SMITH:
- Q. So, Mr. Bertino, did you tell agents, "I don't want to seem
- 15 like I'm being dishonest, but I did not have conversations
- 16 | about -- with anybody about going into the Capitol building
- 17 previously"?
- 18 A. I did say that.
- 19 Q. Is that true?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you recall telling the agent, "I can't remember
- ever saying, hey, is anybody going to go into the Capitol?"
- 23 A. Yes. I did probably say that, yes.
- Q. Okay. So -- so, the agreement, in your view, that you
- 25 | pleaded guilty to involved, again, no one going into the

```
1 Capitol building?
```

- 2 A. I didn't say that. I just said that nobody ever told me
- 3 directly how they were going to go in or when they were going
- 4 to go in or if they were going to go in.
- 5 Q. Okay. So, again, I guess, my question for you is: How did
- 6 you understand the agreement being executed, sir?
- 7 A. Because I didn't need to know the plan to understand that
- 8 everybody had the exact same goal in mind.
- 9 Q. So, if the agreement is to use force to stop a specific
- 10 activity, okay --
- 11 A. By any means necessary, yes.
- 12 | Q. -- you agreed to that much, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And that specific activity, you agree, happens in one
- 15 place, right?
- 16 A. I don't know specifically -- I'm not a tactician. I can't
- figure out exactly where everything needs to happen. I didn't
- 18 write a plan to do it, so, therefore, I don't know how the
- objective was supposed to get taken care of. I wasn't there in
- 20 D.C., so I wasn't given the ground information.
- 21 Q. Can you come up with some way, sitting here right now, that
- 22 that agreement would be executed without anyone going to the
- 23 | Capitol?
- MR. KENERSON: Objection. Speculation.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if he's saying, I had an
2
       agreement, there was no plan on -- I didn't have any conception
 3
      of where it would occur, I'm asking him to explain how he had
 4
       a -- an agreement with someone if there was no understanding of
 5
      how it would be executed.
 6
                 THE COURT: Um --
 7
                 MR. SMITH: And my question is what he thought would
      happen in his agreement.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Okay. Ask the question again.
10
      BY MR. SMITH:
      Q. So, sir, if -- you've testified that you had no aware --
11
12
       awareness of any plan of any kind involving the Capitol. You
13
      never had that conversation with anyone; is that --
14
      A. Correct. I did not have a specific plan, yes.
15
      Q. Right. So when you reached your agreement to use force to
16
      prevent members of Congress from counting votes and you didn't
17
      have a conception of where that would occur, did you think to
18
      yourself: How is this going to unfold?
19
      A. No.
20
      Q. No? Okay.
21
      A. I didn't need to know.
22
       Q. So that's -- that's something to ask your lawyer, not to
23
       testify about.
24
                 MR. KENERSON: Objection.
25
                 THE COURT: Sustained.
```

BY MR. SMITH:

- Q. Now, you've said, a couple of times, that you weren't aware
- of a plan. I mean, you're saying you didn't -- you had the
- 4 agreement, but you didn't know a plan, right? Is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So this is June 9th, 2022 transcript, page 14, lines
- 7 8 to 20.
- But, Mr. Bertino, you did know the plan. And, in fact,
- 9 in the honest interview you said -- you told the agent what the
- 10 plan was. Mr. Bertino, you said the plan was, "Tarrio told me
- 11 he was going to be speaking, and I said I would go if we could
- 12 | speak -- if I could speak. And, so, essentially, the --
- originally the plan was supposed to be a small group of guys
- were supposed to go and protect."
- And then you said, "So a small group of guys were
- supposed to be around, you know, us and getting us from stage
- 17 to stage.
- 18 Did you say that?
- 19 A. That was definitely an original plan.
- 20 Q. I thought you said you didn't know the plan.
- 21 A. That was the original plan, before everything went south.
- 22 Q. Okay. So --
- 23 A. That plan changed. Obviously, they didn't do that that
- 24 day.
- 25 Q. Oh, that plan changed, right. But, do you know how it

```
1 changed or when?
2 A. No. Because once I was not going, I
```

- A. No. Because once I was not going, I was not in on the actual planning anymore.
- Q. So, sir, do you understand that you've pleaded guilty to an agreement to use force on January 6, and the agreement was reached before January 6? Do you understand that?
- 7 A. Yes.

4

5

- Q. Okay. So here you're saying that before January 6 -- do you know when -- strike that.
- 10 Do you know when Enrique Tarrio was arrested?
- 11 A. January 4th.
- Q. Okay. So you're saying right here, the plan, until January
 4th, was supposed to be a small group of guys would go and
- 14 protect people giving speeches, right?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. That's not what you said there?
- 17 A. It happened -- whatever date Trump decided he was going to
 18 be speaking, that's when all those plans got thrown out the
- 19 window.
- Q. So I'm playing Nordean Exhibit 509, at 14 minutes and 24 seconds.
- MR. KENERSON: Is that what we just asked him about?
- MR. SMITH: Yes. He's -- this is the statement where
- he explains what the plan was, before Enrique Tarrio was
- 25 arrested.

```
1
                 MR. KENERSON: Object to that last characterization.
2
                 (Audio played.)
 3
       BY MR. SMITH:
       Q. So, that is the one plan that you are testifying you were
 4
 5
       aware of, correct?
 6
       A. Yes.
7
       Q. Okay. Now, I want to ask you about another statement you
       made. In March of -- the March interview, did you tell
 8
 9
       agents -- this is page 93, lines 3 to 8 -- "I mean, I'll be
10
       honest, I thought we were just making stupid social media posts
       and marching flags and, you know, protecting people"?
11
12
       A. I guess I did. I can't see it on my screen, so I don't
13
       know.
14
       Q. Okay.
15
                 MR. SMITH: So, Your Honor, I'm -- this is Nordean
16
       Exhibit 506. I'm playing at 1 minute to 1 minute and 25
17
       seconds.
18
                 (Audio played.)
       BY MR. SMITH:
19
20
         Do you remember saying that?
       Q.
21
       A. Yes.
22
                 MR. KENERSON: Your Honor, can we have a sidebar?
23
                 THE COURT: Yes.
24
                 (Bench discussion:)
                 MR. KENERSON: I will note that what was just
25
```

played does -- the last portion of it matches up with the page and line number that Mr. Smith identified for the government ahead of time. The first portion, where he's talking about "that right there just blew my mind," does not. So, in terms of what Mr. Smith was instructed to do for the government, it does not match up with that, number one.

Number two, I don't know if this was what Mr. Smith was trying get to earlier that the Court said we would come back to later, but, if so, this was not an appropriate way to do it.

MR. SMITH: I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Kenerson is alluding to there, Your Honor. I was just playing this clip for the statement that he believed that on January 6 he was just making stupid social media posts, marching flags, you know, and protecting people.

THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Smith, that -- I mean,
Mr. Kenerson, I mean, the "blew my mind" part -- I mean,
Mr. Smith, you should try to be more precise, although I'm not
sure that's very prejudicial one way or the other. I don't
sense -- this doesn't have anything to do with the issue we're
coming back to, does it, Mr. Smith?

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, it could because that -- what Mr. Kenerson was picking up on was the tail end of that earlier conversation, but it's really to splice up the quotes with, you know, military precision on this, so -- yeah.

```
1
                 THE COURT: Understood.
2
                 It's 5:10. So I'm going to -- this is the time I was
       going to let the jury go anyway, only because -- maybe a few
 3
       minutes earlier than I thought, only because I want to hear
 4
 5
       from you, Mr. Smith, on this issue before we break for the day,
 6
       the issue that you had wanted to do. So, let's just break now
 7
       and I'll hear from you on that and we'll come back in the
       morning.
                All right.
 8
 9
                 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
10
                 THE COURT: All right.
11
                 (Open court:)
12
                 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, it's 10
13
       after 5. We're going to break for the -- today. So we'll see
14
       you back here tomorrow morning, bright and early. Thank you,
       again, for your attention.
15
16
                 (Whereupon the jurors leave the courtroom.)
17
                 THE COURT: All right. Sir, you may step down.
18
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, so --
19
                 THE COURT: Please, you all may be seated.
20
                 And I just -- all right. Go ahead, Mr. Smith.
                                                                 Ι
21
       just wanted the witness to leave the courtroom.
22
                 MR. SMITH: So, what Mr. Kenerson picked up on there
23
       in that last audio clip that was played, is the -- is a piece
24
       of what I was trying to bring in through the witness earlier.
25
       So -- and I'll just play this for the Court right now.
```

```
1
       is --
2
                 THE COURT: Well, rather than play it for me, just --
 3
       look --
 4
                 MR. SMITH: Explain the relevance --
 5
                 THE COURT: My job is to enforce the Rules of
 6
       Evidence, and the Rules of Evidence are that, you know, the
 7
       witness gives testimony and that prior -- and that there are
       certain ways in which prior statements can be used to
 8
 9
       cross-examine, as you know.
10
                 Prior statements that are inconsistent can be used in
11
       the way we all know they can be used. But, the reason I asked
12
       you to wait a beat is because by asking the question and going
13
       into the prior statement, if it's truly not inconsistent, then
14
       it's not a proper question. And, so, that's why I had asked
15
       you to wait the beat.
16
                 Now, this -- what you plan -- what you had planned to
17
       do with the issue we were going to come back to, if you could
18
       just explain it conceptually to me, government can respond, we
19
       can retire for the evening, and I'll have -- I'll be able to
20
       talk to you about it in the morning.
21
                 But, go ahead.
22
                 MR. SMITH: So, Your Honor, in this clip, which Your
23
       Honor heard, Mr. Bertino is saying -- he's being shown the 1776
24
       Returns document.
25
                 THE COURT: Right.
```

1 MR. SMITH: And he says that it blew his mind and, The thought that your friend -- and he's referring to 2 3 Mr. Tarrio -- would involve you in something like this, referring to the document, is, he says: It's mind blowing. 4 5 Then he contrasts that. He says, I'll be honest, I 6 thought, before I -- he's saying, before I saw this document, I 7 thought we were just making stupid social media posts and 8 marching flags and, you know, protecting people. 9 So, what happens in this clip is -- and I don't know 10 if we need to get into all this complicated stuff about where 11 it fits -- which particular rule it fits in. But, he's seeing 12 the document, and he's saying: Wow. My understanding of what 13 happened that day changed based on what you're showing me right 14 now. 15 THE COURT: Right. 16 MR. SMITH: So, the reason I was asking him about, 17 you know, did the agents tell you Tarrio created this, is 18 because that, in the audio, is clearly a part of his surprise. 19 He says -- I can play it for Your Honor. He says: Wow. And 20 he says: Wait a second. I thought you just told me he 21 received this, not that he made it. 22 And the agents, they were, like: No. In fact, he 23 helped create this document. 24 Which is false. I mean, there is no evidence 25 indicating he created it. So -- but, that changes his position

1 on what he -- you can hear it in the audio. 2 THE COURT: Right. 3 MR. SMITH: So, we're trying to show him that his understanding of what happened that day is based on an 4 5 inaccurate fact. THE COURT: Tell me what Rule of Evidence permits 6 7 you -- I mean, I know you disclaimed: Well, do we really have 8 to talk about the rules? 9 But, I actually -- his perception of this and, again, 10 his position -- you know, you often talk about positions -- you 11 get to use prior inconsistent statements, if the position 12 someone has in their head is not really the relevant thing. 13 And I don't think there's a basis -- it, frankly, doesn't --14 the delta -- what matters is the delta between his testimony 15 here today and any other statements you have that you can 16 show -- you can use to show that, in fact, on a prior occasion 17 you said something different. 18 The fact that the -- he was shown something and told 19 something untrue about it in a prior statement, and then within 20 that prior statement his tone or his reaction to it changed, is 21 just of no moment. 22 MR. SMITH: So, Your Honor, the inconsistent 23 statement is this piece, quote, I thought we were just making 24 stupid social media posts and marching flags and, you know, 25 protecting people.

```
1
                 That's inconsistent with saying, I have an agreement
2
       to use force to -- okay.
 3
                 THE COURT: I think that's fair, and you just did
       that.
 4
 5
                 MR. SMITH: And then, when he says, I thought we were
 6
      doing that to get -- I guess, what you could characterize this
 7
       is as a complete statement issue. He's changing his position
 8
      here. He's saying, I thought -- I used to think X. Now that I
 9
       see this, I think Y.
10
                 THE COURT: Um-hum.
11
                 MR. SMITH: To leave out that part of the prior
12
       inconsistent statement is misleading. Why should the jury not
13
       know that the reason he's saying, I thought this thing which is
14
       inconsistent with my quilty plea and my testimony, the reason
15
       I'm changing my mind is a false fact?
16
                 I mean, that's --
17
                 THE COURT: Because it doesn't matter. It doesn't
18
      matter for his testimony here today.
19
                 MR. SMITH: It doesn't matter --
20
                 THE COURT: Look, his testimony today --
21
                 MR. SMITH: What about foundation?
22
                 THE COURT: -- you all think it's false. Look, you
23
       all think he's not telling the truth. You get to leverage
24
      prior inconsistent statements to do all the things the Federal
25
       Rules let you do with prior inconsistent statements.
```

```
1
       fact that in a -- it doesn't -- it is -- it has no bearing on
2
       his truth today that he was shown a document in an interview,
 3
       however long ago it was, and that based on that, his position
 4
       or his statements seem to change within that interview.
 5
       doesn't matter.
 6
                 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, can I use one analogy, and
 7
       then I'll let it go and I won't --
                 THE COURT: Yes. Or cite me a rule that allows you
 8
 9
       to do this. But, go ahead.
10
                 MR. SMITH: So, let's say there's a murder
11
       investigation and there's a witness who is being interviewed by
12
       the investigators and the investigators -- I mean, it's not
13
       illegal to lie when you're interviewing someone. That happens.
14
       And, so, let's say the investigators tell the witness: Look,
15
       we found the suspect's DNA on the weapon. We found it on the
16
       weapon --
17
                 THE COURT: Um-hum.
18
                 MR. SMITH: -- and it's not true. It's false
       information.
19
20
                 THE COURT: Um-hum.
21
                 MR. SMITH: And up to that point, the witness had
22
       been saying: Well, you know, I don't really know. I don't
23
       really have any information for you.
24
                 And then the agents tell them -- the investigators
25
       tell them, Well, the DNA is found on the knife.
```

1 THE COURT: Um-hum. 2 MR. SMITH: And then the witness says, and this is 3 recorded: Well, you know what? If the DNA was found on the 4 knife, then that -- I mean, maybe -- you know -- maybe -- maybe 5 that changes my position, maybe that --6 (Ms. Hernandez handed note to Mr. Smith.) 7 MR. SMITH: You know, Ms. Hernandez is characterizing this as effect on the listener, which is a fair statement. 8 9 THE COURT: But here's the problem: And that's 10 whether it's effect on the listener or intent. The Rules of 11 Evidence do not permit you to -- the whole point about things like intent or effect on the listener is, When is the thing 12 13 happening, and is that relevant to the case? 14 So, yes, in the middle of the conspiracy, someone's 15 intent could be relevant. In the middle of a conspiracy, the effect on the listener could be relevant. But, in the FBI 16 17 interview, unless you're going to show me a case in which a 18 court has ever said this, that is not the person's state of 19 mind in the FBI interview six months later, a year later is not 20 relevant to the case in the same way that these -- in these 21 other circumstances intent or effect on the listener can be 22 relevant. 23 MR. SMITH: So, Your Honor, Your Honor, I think, has 24 made the point yourself that this witness is characterizing 25 statements that others make. He's not just reading them off

1 the screen. He's hearing lots of statements, and he's 2 characterizing them. His -- the way he characterizes 3 statements is based on his understanding of facts and context. THE COURT: Um-hum. Um-hum. 4 5 MR. SMITH: If we can't show the jury that his 6 understanding is based on a false premise, then we're basically 7 prevented from -- it's a -- you could call it foundation, a 8 foundation, a premise of his -- the characterization of his --9 of other statements as being conspiracy is inaccurate. And 10 why? It's inaccurate because he was told that Tarrio created the document. 11 You could say I'm just spinning what the witness is 12 13 saying. I would like to play it for Your Honor, and Your Honor 14 can hear it. He's changing after he hears that. 15 THE COURT: Again, it doesn't matter that he changed 16 within that -- within that statement. If he said something in 17 that -- at any point in any of these statements that's 18 inconsistent with his testimony today, you get to hit him over 19 the head with it. But, it doesn't -- and to your point, I 20 don't know -- maybe the government knows, I don't know whether, 21 sitting here today, he believes this thing that he was told by 22 the agents. 23 You know, I suppose it's -- it wouldn't be -- let's 24 put it this way: It may well be that you can ask him that 25 question. I mean: Do you today believe that -- whatever he

1 was told was -- that Tarrio created the document, or something 2 like that. I mean, because arguably, that could have an effect on his testimony, I suppose. 3 But, that's -- that's a different question from 4 5 getting all bollixed up about how he changed in a prior 6 statement at a time when his intent or state of mind -- doesn't 7 matter. 8 Mr. Pattis, I'll hear from you. 9 Oh, Mr. Pattis, I'm sorry. The microphone. 10 MR. PATTIS: It's the end of day. I'm old. I may be 11 missing something. But, isn't it this simple: Didn't you 12 initially tell the agent you didn't know anything about a plan? 13 Yes. 14 And now you're saying something differently, and the 15 reasons you're saying something differently is you were shown a 16 document and you were lied about it. Do you recall that, sir? 17 And if he denies it, show him then. 18 Well, I mean, it seems to me that that's directly 19 relevant to why he's changed his testimony and it's within the 20 grounds of proper impeachment and I can't see why it's not. I 21 can see why the government doesn't want the jury to know the 22 FBI lied to the guy, especially on the record before the case 23 in front of us right now. 24 But, even as to investigative steps, if they're 25 inducing someone to change their testimony based on deceit, I

```
1
       think the jury is entitled to know it as a separate grounds for
2
       admissibility.
 3
                 And I don't mean to step on Mr. Smith's
       prepresentation, but I'm simply not understanding the
 4
 5
       difficulty here.
 6
                 THE COURT: Let me hear from the government, just as
7
       Mr. Pattis teed it up.
                 MR. KENERSON: I think -- Your Honor, our position
 8
 9
       is, I think as we stated at the first sidebar on this, we
10
       don't -- we think we agree that this is a ground, generally,
11
       that is proper cross-examination.
12
                 What we don't think is proper is for the witness's
13
       statements to the FBI to be elicited, unless they are
14
       inconsistent with something in testimony. So, in other words,
15
       they can ask him: Did you change because the FBI told you
16
       Tarrio created the document?
17
                 And then we go from there.
18
                 THE COURT: Right. Which is what Mr. Pattis just
19
       said.
20
                 MR. KENERSON: Yeah. And I have no problem with
21
       that.
22
                 THE COURT: Okay. That's what I thought as well.
23
       And then it becomes, you know, the statement -- whatever
24
       statement you believe -- I agree with that, but we have to --
25
       so, I think it sounds like, maybe, with the exception, maybe,
```

1 of Mr. Smith, we're all on the same page: You have to start 2 with an inconsistent statement. And I -- and that was my knee jerk reaction, but I 3 wanted to hear what the government thought. 4 5 It is fair to say: Ah-ha, your statement that you 6 gave in this interview is different, not from the -- another 7 statement in the interview, but from your testimony here today. 8 Because isn't it true, your statement back then was different 9 than what you're testifying here today because the government 10 lied to you? 11 That, I think, is -- that does seem fair game to me. 12 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if I can rephrase it as just: 13 Isn't it true that you changed your story about whether there 14 was anything beyond mere protest after you were told -- after you were told by agents that --15 16 THE COURT: But the relevant delta is between what he 17 said in the statement and what he's saying today, not within --18 not within the prior statement, I think. 19 MR. SMITH: So if what he's saying today is the same 20 as what he said after he changed his story, I guess -- I mean, 21 I can ask --22 THE COURT: Just summarize for me how you think --23 and I'll mercifully -- we'll break for the evening. Tell me 24 how you -- what is the earlier -- just summarize for me what 25 the earlier statement is, and then he's told, Tarrio --

```
1
                 MR. SMITH: The earlier statement, what he thought --
2
      had thought was: We were just making stupid social media posts
 3
       and marching flags, you know, and protecting people.
                 THE COURT: Okay.
 4
 5
                 MR. SMITH: That's what he had thought.
                 THE COURT: Right.
 6
 7
                 MR. SMITH: And then he says -- when he sees the 1776
      Returns document and told Tarrio created it --
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Yes.
10
                 MR. SMITH: -- he says: That just blew my mind, you
11
       know.
12
                 And then, you know, he goes on to explain: Well, you
13
       know, maybe there was this plan -- you know, maybe there was
14
      this plan in the testimony.
15
                 So, I'm going to ask him -- so, the question is --
16
                 THE COURT: What does he say exactly? I don't have
17
       any of these documents in front of me.
18
                 MR. SMITH: I can show it -- I can file it for the
19
      Court. Judge, I think the question is: Did your position --
20
      didn't your position on whether the nature and scope of the
21
       agreement change after you were told Tarrio created this
22
      document?
23
                 THE COURT: All right. I'll -- if you would,
24
      Mr. Smith, just email the transcript to me so I can see it.
25
                 MR. SMITH: I will. Thank you, Judge.
```

1 THE COURT: What's the government's final -- let me 2 give you the --3 First, let me her from Mr. Roots, who did have his hand up. 4 5 MR. ROOTS: Yeah. It also needs to be admitted 6 because it shows bad faith by the investigators. So here's 7 someone that they pull in, the investigators tricked -- they tricked the person into changing his -- basically, they tricked 8 9 him into pleading quilty. So they -- first he says, no, there 10 was nothing and then they show, here's this thing that Tarrio 11 wrote. 12 They tricked both that witness and his lawyer. 13 tricked his lawyer. This is bad faith under the Youngblood 14 case, U.S. Supreme Court. It can come in to show that. 15 THE COURT: Well, it's -- as Mr. Smith did say, 16 there's actually nothing unlawful about it, right? I mean, the 17 case law is very clear about that, just to make that clear. 18 I'm not saying that doesn't mean it's not fodder for 19 cross-examination, and the government is not saying that 20 either. 21 But, it is lawful for agents to do what -- what -- I 22 don't know whether they did it intentionally here. But in a 23 circumstance -- in a different circumstance, where they are 24 doing it intentionally, there's nothing unlawful about it, as 25 far as I know, under the case law. Again, doesn't mean it's

not fodder for cross.

What's the government's final -- well, I'll give you the final word, and then we will break for the evening.

MR. KENERSON: I think we agree with where it sounds like the Court is -- the Court is headed. This is -- we have never disagreed that this was a fertile ground for cross-examination. I think -- and we agree, as well, that the relevant delta for any inconsistent statement is between what he testifies on the stand now and what he testifies then.

I don't -- and I -- I think the question Mr. Smith suggested along the lines of: Your view that there was an agreement -- or something like that -- did that happen after you were shown this document, that -- probably something along those lines is probably a fair one. But, just to put what his statements were in this FBI interview to him is not proper.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'll look at it -Yes, Mr. McCullough?

MR. McCULLOUGH: I think, Your Honor, we would ask the Court -- move this Court to order the defendants to provide the specific statements that they believe are prior impeachment of his testimony so that we can evaluate these prior to and in advance of this. I think Mr. Smith has shown nothing, if not being very well prepared and organized in terms of saying he knows exactly where the transcript is. He knows exactly where the audio file is.

1 And, Your Honor, at this point there's no potential 2 that if Mr. Smith provides that to the government, that he is 3 in any way jeopardizing or prejudicing his case. It just allows both parties to be prepared and tee up these issues for 4 5 Your Honor. 6 And just a very specific example here is that this 7 clip that kind of kicks all this off, it does say: I've never 8 seen anything like that. 9 And he was sent this? 10 The answer: He created that. 11 And then Bertino: Enrique created this? I thought he was sent this from someone else, isn't that what --12 13 And then an agent steps in: He was, he was sent it 14 and he had access to it. 15 So, Your Honor, that obviously is, you know, kind 16 of --17 MR. SMITH: We would ask Mr. McCullough to keep 18 reading one more line, if that's okay. 19 MR. McCULLOUGH: "But he created this with 20 assistance." 21 MR. SMITH: Thank you. That's all. 22 MR. McCULLOUGH: Yeah. Yeah. I mean, isn't it --23 but, you know, kind of in terms of the completeness in terms of 24 putting these things in front of not only the witness, but also 25 the jury, it's all kind of relevant. And, so, I think at this

```
1
       point, Your Honor, we're past direct examination, it's just
2
       the -- frankly, the proper thing to do at this point.
 3
                 THE COURT: It is appropriate. And, look, because I
       have no way -- unless you want to proceed in the very bulky way
 4
 5
       we've been doing this, I have no way of smoothly trying to
       apply the Rules of Evidence without this. So --
 6
 7
                 Yes, Mr. Smith?
                 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
 9
                 Your Honor, in the middle of our cross, Your Honor
10
       ordered the defense to wait five seconds and give the exact --
11
       the pincite. And, Your Honor, that worked, so we would just
12
       ask -- ask to stick to that.
13
                 And, Your Honor, the government hasn't cited any case
14
       requiring a defendant to preview in advance the nature of their
       cross-examination. There is none, Your Honor.
15
16
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith --
17
                 MR. SMITH: And that's --
18
                 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, it -- actually, I didn't jump
19
       in. But, the reality is, you didn't always wait the five
20
       seconds. Even beyond that, the government -- I mean, this
21
       is -- they can't talk to the witness. The witness is on cross.
22
       And in order to manage this trial, I am going to order any
23
       defendant who wants to confront the witness with a prior
24
       inconsistent statement, any defendant to provide that by -- to
25
       the government by 9 o'clock tonight.
```

The -- actually, let's do it this way: At least the first three defendants, because I don't think we're going to -- I'll give the two trailing defendants a little bit of a reprieve until the next day because I don't think you all -- we probably won't get to you tomorrow.

But, I think for tonight, at least the first three defendants, if you all can tee those up for the government by 9 o'clock tonight, just give them the page and line numbers — this is trial management 101 — so that I'm in a position to be able to rule about whether it is an inconsistent statement so we're not dealing with this in the very awkward way we are.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, we would just note that this is an asymmetry between the defense and the government. The government produces video clips that can sometimes last 30 minutes to -- 30 seconds to 30 minutes. They do not tell us -- give us timestamps, pincites, like we're citing. So, Your Honor is imposing a burden on the defense that doesn't exist on the government. We're just pointing that out for the record, and we would argue that's a due process issue.

THE COURT: This is the only time in which the asymmetry is working the other way. The government has provided you all exhibits and all the rest, and things that I've required them to do, and I've always -- whenever -- if the defendant -- if the defense had a request, an objection, if our procedures over the last few days show nothing, it's that --

1 for example, when Ms. Hernandez articulated some objections, I 2 maneuvered around, in terms of time, to make sure I understood 3 them. I absolutely gave her the opportunity to amplify them, the government to respond, and me to be able to rule. So, I 4 5 don't think this is an asymmetry at all. MR. SMITH: Can we just put one fact on the record, 6 7 Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Sure. 9 MR. SMITH: Your Honor just indicated that the 10 government has provided the defendants exhibits in advance. 11 Your Honor, the government has been provided these defense 12 exhibits in advance -- in November, to be absolutely clear, 13 Your Honor. These are the government's own transcripts. These 14 are interviews that the prosecutors themselves conducted. 15 Now, Your Honor, when the government gives us 16 exhibits in advance, they do not give us pincites when each 17 exact piece of information in a video will be played. So, Your 18 Honor, respectfully, this is an asymmetry. You have not 19 required the government to give us pincites for every video 20 that's going to be played, and this is extremely burdensome. 21 So, Your Honor, this is not fair fundamentally. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I understand --23 MR. McCULLOUGH: Your Honor --24 THE COURT: Hold on. Hold -- hold on. 25 I understand your position, Mr. Smith.

```
1
                 Ms. Hernandez, I'll hear from you, and then I will
2
       hear from the government.
 3
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, prior consistent
       statements are only -- we only become aware of the prior
 4
 5
       inconsistent statements after the witness testifies on
 6
       direct --
 7
                 THE COURT: Right.
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: -- after the witness testifies on
 8
 9
       direct. I have notes from his testimony, but I won't really
10
       know until I get the transcripts, which are not coming my way
11
       until -- the morning transcript comes in late tonight -- I
12
       mean, really late -- and the afternoon transcript comes in in
13
       the middle of the night. So, I cannot tell the government
14
       prior inconsistent statements until I am aware of what those
15
       prior inconsistent statements are.
16
                 That's different from the government's having to show
17
       us their exhibits because they're putting on direct testimony.
18
       I just want the Court to know I --
19
                 THE COURT: So --
20
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: This isn't -- we're not -- in
21
       advance, we don't know what those prior inconsistent -- I --
22
       and would object on Sixth Amendment grounds. Ordinarily, the
23
       government -- the defense does not have to preview its cross to
24
       the government --
25
                 THE COURT: Um-hum.
```

MS. HERNANDEZ: -- which is what the Court, essentially, is asking us to do.

And it's not that I'm hiding the ball. I will be working on this cross through the night, through Mr. Smith's cross, because I don't want to repeat what he's done, so I won't know what I'm doing -- I'll be working on it until I get up and start crossing.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from whoever. I saw both -- I saw more than one prosecutor with their hand in the air.

MR. McCULLOUGH: Your Honor, the government's exhibits, as Your Honor knows, read -- the government's exhibits, particularly the video exhibits, are kind of alphabet soup. As Your Honor knows, I think we had an appearance of Lightyear --

Is that -- was it Lightyear? Ukulele and Lightyear this afternoon in terms of Mr. Kenerson reading off the specific video exhibits. The government has provided specific clips of all of its videos. We often provide the entire video, as well, so that they have the entire reference document.

But in terms of the way that the government has been presenting the evidence and providing in advance to defense counsel the specific clips that it intends to play, those are clipped. The assertion that we are providing long clips and then cherry-picking little snippets from it is, Your Honor,

1 just inconsistent with our understanding of the way we have 2 proceeded here. 3 THE COURT: So that was my understanding as well. Mr. Smith, is that not correct, that the government 4 5 has indicated -- I'm not -- I'm not saying in every single --6 let me put it this way: I understand on both sides -- and I'm 7 going to say something about Ms. Hernandez's concern that she 8 raised. So, I understand we're proceeding in good faith on 9 both sides. 10 But, in general, is not Mr. -- what Mr. McCullough 11 said correct, that they have provided the individual clips? 12 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I can give Your Honor a super 13 specific example where what Mr. McCullough said is not 14 accurate. 15 THE COURT: No. But that's not --16 MR. SMITH: Government Exhibit 492-G. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, I'm so sorry, but that's 18 actually not what I asked. What I asked was: I understand 19 that there might be exceptions to the general rule, but in 20 general, is that not the case? 21 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, it's hard for me to say, in 22 general, how long an average clip is that the government 23 submitted. I -- off the top of my head, because that's what I 24 can speak about now, there are -- there are clips where we 25 don't know what the government is going to play. One example

of that is this -- the one we are complaining about with the Trump debate clip. Because a certain segment of it was played in opening, we understood that's what would be played.

Now the government says: Well, you should have known otherwise.

Okay. We're just taking the position we have not always known that, and there are -- I don't know how many examples there are of government clips that they don't play the whole thing, but they're there, Your Honor. And there isn't a hard-and-fast rule saying to the government, you must identify timestamps that you want to play, but that's what the Court is saying we should do on cross.

So, Your Honor, we just think it's -- this is a case where the government conducted the interview. The claim of surprise here is ludicrous, Judge. If you, yourself, conducted an interview, how could you claim you don't know what's coming? And, Your Honor, these clips were produced to the government in November.

THE COURT: So, let me just say this: If the government -- look, I have bent over backwards to give both sides, in my view, due process here. If there's been any -- and, again, I point the parties to what we've gone through over the last 48 hours with regard to some of the objections regarding the Telegram chats. So, this is all an attempt to try to manage this process, as efficiently as I can, while

giving you all as much process as possible.

And if there was any occasion in which the defendants raised their hand on a particular video clip and said, Oh, my goodness, Judge, we've had no advance notice of what they're going to play, we don't know how to react to this, and raised their hand, I would have figured out a way to give you that process. I do think it's fair, and let me just say why.

I understand it's not a question of surprise. But, I do think, again, the question of whether -- the way that,

Mr. Smith, you have proceeded with cross, in particular, and some other defendants, I would say, as well, has been an attempt to sort of troll through the prior statements of the -- of the witness without -- without, I would say, regard for whether it's truly inconsistent.

The Rules of Evidence only allow you -- the point -the focus of the jury's attention should be on the witness's
testimony today. And then, of course, to the extent there are
prior inconsistent statements, in whatever form they are, you
are allowed to use them the way the Federal Rules allow.

But, that presupposes that the statements are inconsistent. And, in this case, there are a lot of, I mean, close calls, which, candidly, I've always given to the defense, I think, because I think -- there are close calls, but I am erring on the side of allowing you to use statements that I think, at times, the government has said, oh, gee. That's not

```
1
       really inconsistent, Judge. But, I think it's fair that they
2
      be prepared.
 3
                 And, Ms. Hernandez, to your point, I'm just imposing
       a good faith basis. If you come back and get that transcript,
 4
 5
       okay, and say, tomorrow morning, Judge, actually, I wasn't able
 6
       to provide this by 9 o'clock. I looked at the transcript.
 7
      We're using something else, I'm not going to -- you know, I'm
 8
      not going to cut your head off or prohibit you from using the
 9
       statement.
10
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: You'll yell at me.
11
                 THE COURT: But, I think -- well, you know, I need --
12
      Ms. Hernandez, I need to do --
13
                 MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. I was joking. That was a
14
       joke, Your Honor. I apologize.
15
                 THE COURT: I need to do far more yelling, all right,
16
      to make the trains run on time here, frankly.
17
                 So, 9 o'clock tonight, good faith basis. If you come
18
       in and say, I saw the transcript, and here's something else I
19
      want to do, Judge, you know, by and large, I'm probably going
20
       to let you do it.
21
                 Mr. Pattis?
22
                 MR. PATTIS: There are lots of ways to skin the
23
       evidentiary cat. I mean, my style is a little less direct, and
24
       so I'll ask a witness: Do you recall? And I suppose -- and I
25
       don't know whether he's going to recall it or not, and I don't
```

```
1
       want to get trapped tomorrow. If I say, Do you recall saying
       that the sky was blue? and then he says, No, I don't --
2
                 THE COURT: You don't have to --
 3
                 MR. PATTIS: -- and I look and he shows it's green, I
 4
 5
       don't know whether to anticipate that or not. So, I mean, I'll
 6
       do my best to provide the government with some notice, but my
7
       hunch is, it's not going to be satisfactory.
 8
                 THE COURT: If you say the sky is blue, if your
 9
       question is, do you remember the sky is blue, you have every
10
       reason to believe he's going to say, of course, the sky is
11
       blue. So, that's not your intention of yours to use a prior
12
       inconsistent statement at all.
13
                 MR. PATTIS: No, it's not. But it might happen in
14
       the moment, and I just want to make sure I'm covered.
15
                 THE COURT: Well, okay. And if that happens, it
16
       happens.
17
                 MR. PATTIS: Okay. That's all I need.
18
                 THE COURT: I'm talking about statements you all
19
       intend to use -- that you know you're going to be trying to use
20
       as a prior inconsistent statement. End of story.
21
                 See you all at 9 o'clock tomorrow.
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER I, JANICE DICKMAN, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing constitutes a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes and is a full, true and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability. Dated this 23rd day of February, 2023 Janice E. Dickman, CRR, CMR, CCR Official court reporter Room 6523 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

1	INDEX
2	WITNESS:
3	JEREMY BERTINO
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Kenerson (cont)10195 Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith10275
5	
6	EXHIBITS:
7	Exhibit 509-37
8	Exhibits 509-41 & 509-4210209
9	Exhibit 510-40
10	Exhibit 510-49
11	Exhibit 528-1A
12	Exhibits 606-B, F, G, K, L, O, P, Q, S, T, U, V10214 Exhibit 121210269
13	Nordean 50610305
14	* * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

0	2	333 [1] - 10352:13 35 [1] - 10305:6	10196 [1] - 10353:9
06511 [1] - 10193:5	2 [3] - 10197:22,	37 [1] - 10303.6 37 [1] - 10192:6	510-43 [2] - 10199:2,
00011[1] 10100.0	10207:8, 10269:10	383 [1] - 10193:4	10199:7
1	20 [7] - 10196:17,	3:50:54 [1] - 10211:17	510-43
	10207:10, 10247:5,		10199 [1] -
1 [7] - 10269:7,	10311:4, 10311:17,	4	10353:9
10269:10, 10280:23,	10315:22, 10323:7	4 40044.7	510-49 [2] - 10206:22,
10299:15, 10325:16 10 [4] - 10209:9,	20001 [1] - 10352:14	4 [1] - 10314:7 40 [1] - 10299:16	10207:3 510-49
10320:9, 10320:12,	20005 [2] - 10192:19, 10193:2	40 [1] - 10299:10 42 [2] - 10209:19,	10207 [1] -
10327:12	2004 [1] - 10266:16	10209:24	10353:10
100 [3] - 10231:15,	2020 [1] - 10295:14	48 [1] - 10348:23	516-1 [2] - 10212:13,
10300:13, 10304:11	2021 [16] - 10196:8,	49 [2] - 10193:13,	10212:19
10010 [1] - 10192:25	10198:5, 10206:1,	10280:23	516-1
10016 [1] - 10193:16	10206:25, 10208:7,	492-G [1] - 10347:16	10212 [1] -
101 [3] - 10302:2,	10209:22, 10211:14,	4:25:17 [1] - 10196:15	10353:10
10304:8, 10343:9	10212:24, 10213:20,	4:29:37 [2] - 10196:24,	528-1A [1] - 10279:19
1014 [1] - 10193:13 105 [1] - 10202:19	10263:7, 10294:8, 10295:6, 10295:18,	10197:4 4th [3] - 10295:18,	528-1A [1] - 10353:11
10:31 [1] - 10210:19	10305:19, 10314:23,	10324:11, 10324:13	 [1] - 10353.11 55 [2] - 10306:8,
10:31:08 [1] -	10316:18	10024.11, 10024.10	10306:16
10210:21	2022 [18] - 10263:25,	5	59 [2] - 10305:22,
10:32:43 [1] - 10211:1	10282:25, 10283:3,		10305:23
11 [1] - 10310:25	10298:9, 10298:22,	5 [3] - 10299:1,	59047 [1] - 10193:19
11201 [1] - 10192:21	10302:1, 10304:7,	10315:23, 10327:13	5:10 [1] - 10327:2
1123 [1] - 10192:24	10305:22, 10310:24,	506 [7] - 10280:22,	5K [3] - 10271:11,
113 [1] - 10193:18	10311:3, 10311:13, 10313:12, 10313:16,	10298:21, 10299:14, 10305:2, 10305:3,	10271:19, 10271:22
12 [1] - 10299:1 1212 [3] - 10267:10,	10314:4, 10314:6,	10306:8, 10325:16	5th [2] - 10305:18,
• •			10305:19
10267:17 10260:3	10315:25. 10320:9.	506	
10267:17, 10269:3	10315:25, 10320:9, 10323:6	10305 [1] -	6
1212			6
	10323:6	10305 [1] -	6 6 [64] - 10196:8,
121210269 [1] -	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16	10305 [1] - 10353:13 509 [5] - 10282:13, 10282:14, 10314:9,	6 _[64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8	10305 [1] - 10353:13 509 [5] - 10282:13, 10282:14, 10314:9, 10315:22, 10324:20	6 _[64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3,		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3,		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4,		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10,		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10,		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10289:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:8, 10293:13,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10293:18, 10293:4, 10293:8, 10293:13, 10293:20, 10294:8,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10298:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:8, 10293:13, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:17, 10294:19,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10224:13, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10298:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:17, 10294:19, 10294:23, 10295:6,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2 2:14:48 [1] - 10213:5		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10224:13, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10298:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:23, 10295:6, 10295:21, 10296:4,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2 2:14:48 [1] - 10213:5		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10293:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:17, 10294:19, 10295:21, 10296:4, 10296:8, 10296:13,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2 2:14:48 [1] - 10213:5 3 3 [1] - 10325:9 30 [3] - 10343:15		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10224:13, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10298:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:23, 10295:6, 10295:21, 10296:4,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2 2:14:48 [1] - 10213:5 3 3 [1] - 10325:9 30 [3] - 10343:15 33012 [1] - 10193:13		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10219:7, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10298:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:17, 10294:19, 10294:23, 10295:6, 10296:8, 10296:13, 10296:25, 10297:5,
1212	10323:6 2023 [2] - 10192:7, 10352:8 20530 [1] - 10192:16 20777 [1] - 10193:8 209 [1] - 10193:10 20th [1] - 10212:24 21-175 [2] - 10194:3, 10258:25 21-cr-175 [1] - 10192:4 22 [1] - 10192:7 23 [4] - 10299:15, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24 23rd [1] - 10352:8 24 [5] - 10311:4, 10311:17, 10320:10, 10320:12, 10324:20 25 [1] - 10325:16 271 [1] - 10192:21 2:14:02 [1] - 10213:2 2:14:48 [1] - 10213:5 3 3 [1] - 10325:9 30 [3] - 10343:15		6 [64] - 10196:8, 10198:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10210:6, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10224:13, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10293:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:23, 10294:19, 10295:21, 10296:4, 10296:8, 10296:13, 10297:13, 10297:17,

10301:15, 10302:2, 10304:8, 10305:19, 10314:13, 10314:23, 10316:18, 10324:5, 10324:6, 10324:8, 10326:13 601 [1] - 10192:15 606-B [4] - 10214:8, 10214:17, 10214:23, 10353:12 **606-F** [1] - 10214:25 **606-G** [1] - 10215:8 606-K [1] - 10215:10 606-L [1] - 10215:17 **606-O** [1] - 10216:3 606-P[1] - 10216:7 606-Q [1] - 10216:14 606-S [1] - 10216:19 **606-T** [1] - 10216:21 **606-U** [1] - 10217:4 606-V [1] - 10217:19 **6175** [1] - 10193:10 **6523** [1] - 10352:13 6:07:55 [1] - 10198:13 6:08:02 [1] - 10198:20 **6:27:31** [1] - 10199:22 **6:28:53** [1] - 10200:6 6:29:18 [1] - 10200:9 **6:29:30** [1] - 10200:11 6th [5] - 10195:11, 10199:5, 10208:19, 10219:25, 10284:1

7

7 [5] - 10208:7, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10305:24, 10314:7 700 [1] - 10192:18 7166 [1] - 10193:7 75 [1] - 10310:25 79 [2] - 10283:3, 10298:22 7:23:48 [1] - 10210:9 7:30 [1] - 10206:9 7:32:12 [1] - 10206:4 7:37:23 [1] - 10206:16

8

8 [3] - 10310:25, 10323:7, 10325:9 801(d)(2)(e [2] -10201:25, 10202:2 81 [2] - 10284:18, 10314:7 85 [2] - 10320:9, 10320:12 8th [2] - 10209:22, 10263:24

9

9 [10] - 10269:14, 10269:21, 10305:5, 10306:8, 10306:16, 10342:25, 10343:8, 10350:6, 10350:17, 10351:21 909 [1] - 10192:24 93 [1] - 10325:9 99 [1] - 10193:16 9:42 [1] - 10207:10 9:55:17 [1] - 10209:6 9th [5] - 10213:1, 10311:3, 10314:6, 10320:9, 10323:6

Α

a.m [2] - 10209:6,

10210:19

Aaron [1] - 10210:9 ability [14] - 10231:14, 10237:7, 10241:16, 10241:17, 10241:18, 10252:8, 10252:9, 10252:14, 10252:23, 10254:11, 10254:17, 10256:16, 10266:19, 10352:7 able [17] - 10209:2, 10209:14, 10233:20, 10234:6, 10239:25, 10244:25, 10247:2, 10274:17, 10275:9, 10281:20, 10287:22, 10290:24, 10302:11, 10328:19, 10343:10, 10344:4, 10350:5 absent [3] - 10222:17, 10245:25, 10249:11 absolutely [6] -10200:17, 10244:18, 10249:1, 10256:15, 10344:3, 10344:12 accepted [1] -10194:15 accepts [1] - 10303:18 access [1] - 10341:14 accomplish [1] -10200:19 according [1] -10237:21 accurate [7] - 10204:6, 10204:10, 10296:23, 10297:3, 10297:7, 10347:14, 10352:5 accurately [3] -10206:8, 10214:2,

10216:5

10318:15, 10318:24, 10319:15, 10319:18 achieved [1] -10319:21 acknowledge [1] -10272:23 act [1] - 10245:5 Action [1] - 10192:4 action [1] - 10238:7 actions [4] - 10202:1, 10244:19, 10245:1, 10264:8 activity [2] - 10321:10, 10321:14 acts [2] - 10245:6, 10245:14 actual [3] - 10217:24, 10268:20, 10324:3 add [1] - 10229:1 added [1] - 10312:8 adding [1] - 10312:17 addition [1] -10243:20 address [1] - 10194:8 adjective [1] - 10312:8 adjectives [1] -10312:18 admissibility [1] -10336:2 admissible [6] -10222:8, 10223:2, 10223:10, 10245:13, 10249:5, 10287:1 admission [11] -10196:10, 10199:7, 10205:20, 10207:2, 10208:2, 10212:18, 10214:8, 10220:4, 10221:1, 10267:16, 10269:3 admit [3] - 10198:7, 10209:24, 10260:7 admitted [16] -10196:11, 10198:9, 10199:12, 10201:17, 10205:21, 10207:4, 10208:3, 10209:25, 10212:20, 10214:12, 10219:21, 10244:18, 10269:4. 10299:17. 10305:1, 10339:5 adopt [2] - 10222:22, 10246:1 adopted [1] - 10296:1 advance [8] -10340:22, 10342:14, 10344:10, 10344:12,

10344:16, 10345:21,

10346:22, 10349:4

achieve [4] -

adverbs [1] - 10312:17 affect [1] - 10266:18 affected [1] - 10289:22 affiliation [1] -10232:13 affirmed [3] -10296:22, 10297:3, 10297:20 afraid [1] - 10264:12 afternoon [8] -10194:5, 10195:4, 10195:5, 10260:13, 10275:16, 10275:17, 10345:12, 10346:17 afterwards [1] -10224:2 Agent [1] - 10203:2 agent [12] - 10203:4, 10278:18, 10278:20, 10286:11, 10289:17, 10292:4, 10311:18, 10318:25, 10320:21, 10323:9, 10335:12, 10341:13 agent's [1] - 10317:15 agents [49] - 10264:4, 10276:2, 10276:14, 10276:20, 10277:19, 10277:21, 10278:15, 10279:9, 10280:1, 10280:5, 10280:8, 10282:25, 10283:9, 10283:14, 10283:24, 10284:6, 10284:21, 10285:1, 10285:3, 10285:7, 10286:3, 10286:16, 10286:22, 10287:1, 10288:16, 10289:2, 10289:24, 10290:3, 10290:10, 10290:13, 10291:4, 10291:8, 10292:1, 10298:2, 10299:3, 10302:4, 10304:10, 10305:9, 10306:1, 10314:12, 10320:14, 10325:9, 10329:17, 10329:22, 10332:24, 10334:22, 10337:15, 10339:21 aggressively[1] -10268:6 **ago** [2] - 10293:21, 10332:3 agree [25] - 10203:4, 10204:6, 10209:12, 10213:12, 10222:13, 10233:10, 10240:14, 10245:5, 10245:21, 10246:4, 10246:20,

10345:22

10254:15, 10260:3, 10260:21, 10261:24, 10272:18, 10273:2, 10307:7, 10308:22, 10309:4, 10321:14, 10336:10, 10336:24, 10340:4, 10340:7 agreeance [1] -10199:25 agreed [9] - 10229:12, 10239:13, 10244:1, 10247:2, 10251:13, 10260:23, 10295:25, 10297:16, 10321:12 agreeing [1] -10283:14 agreement [112] -10233:16, 10233:21, 10233:25, 10234:2, 10234:9, 10234:11, 10234:13, 10234:14, 10234:24, 10234:25, 10235:22, 10237:23, 10238:8, 10239:6, 10239:7, 10239:8, 10239:20, 10240:2, 10240:25, 10241:5, 10241:8, 10241:24, 10242:10, 10242:14, 10242:15, 10242:16, 10242:18, 10242:22, 10242:23, 10243:1, 10244:1, 10244:2, 10245:24, 10245:25, 10247:20, 10248:1, 10248:6, 10248:12, 10248:14, 10249:4, 10249:8, 10249:17, 10250:15, 10250:16, 10250:18, 10252:6, 10252:21, 10254:15, 10255:18, 10255:20, 10255:21, 10260:25, 10261:6, 10261:19, 10261:22, 10261:23, 10261:24, 10263:8, 10263:13, 10263:17, 10263:23, 10267:15, 10269:19, 10270:10, 10270:12, 10272:18, 10277:1, 10277:4, 10277:6, 10287:6, 10296:24, 10297:4, 10307:10, 10307:13, 10307:16, 10307:24, 10308:4, 10308:12, 10308:19, 10308:21, 10310:12, 10310:21, 10313:7, 10314:22, 10316:17, 10316:25, 10318:4, 10318:6,

10318:8, 10318:9, 10318:20, 10319:3, 10319:11, 10320:5, 10320:6, 10320:24, 10321:6, 10321:9, 10321:22, 10322:2, 10322:4, 10322:8, 10322:15, 10323:4, 10324:5, 10331:1, 10338:21, 10340:12 agreements [21] -10232:16, 10235:7, 10235:10, 10235:19, 10236:4, 10237:8, 10237:12, 10237:25, 10239:2, 10239:14, 10240:1, 10240:4, 10242:21, 10243:3, 10245:7, 10248:23, 10252:12, 10252:15, 10252:24, 10257:6 **ah-ha** [1] - 10337:5 ahead [8] - 10224:13, 10236:20, 10274:8, 10287:3, 10326:3, 10327:20, 10328:21, 10332:9 air [1] - 10346:10 aisle [1] - 10309:14 al [2] - 10194:4, 10259:1 Alan [1] - 10193:15 alerting [1] - 10275:2 all-out [2] - 10296:3, 10296:6 alleged [2] - 10244:17, 10246:18 allow [5] - 10223:19, 10240:24, 10274:20, 10349:15, 10349:19 allowed [7] - 10234:4, 10244:17, 10244:18, 10247:24, 10266:21, 10291:14, 10349:19 allowing [1] -10349:24 allows [3] - 10241:16, 10332:8, 10341:4 alluding [1] -10326:12 almost [3] - 10201:10, 10245:23 alone [1] - 10221:10 aloud [1] - 10206:4 alphabet [1] -10346:14 alternative [3] -10202:19, 10203:13, 10204:13

Amendment [1] -

America [3] - 10192:3, 10194:3, 10258:25 American [3] -10216:13. 10232:10. 10294:18 amount [1] - 10269:23 amplify [1] - 10344:3 analogy [4] -10249:22, 10310:8, 10332:6 Anderson [8] -10201:21, 10201:23, 10201:24, 10202:1, 10203:23, 10221:25, 10259:11, 10259:12 answer [17] -10223:13, 10223:14, 10225:15, 10227:5, 10229:15, 10229:16, 10233:23, 10239:6, 10253:6, 10253:9, 10261:11, 10261:12, 10270:19, 10272:8, 10319:12, 10341:10 answered [2] -10229:24, 10239:4 answering [1] -10317:14 answers [1] - 10274:1 anticipate [1] -10351:5 anticipated [6] -10293:5, 10293:8, 10293:20, 10296:4, 10297:24, 10307:9 anticipating [2] -10293:13, 10295:2 antifa [1] - 10265:11 anyway [3] -10194:18, 10204:14, 10327:3 apologies [2] -10194:14, 10306:18 apologize [3] -10194:11, 10278:10, 10350:14 apology [2] -10194:15, 10194:17 appearance [1] -10346:15 appeared [2] -10246:7, 10297:2 apply [3] - 10215:20, 10245:12, 10342:6 appropriate [22] -10201:21, 10202:14, 10203:23, 10203:24, 10204:8, 10204:20, 10205:14, 10222:6,

10225:2, 10226:3, 10226:22, 10227:21, 10236:2, 10236:24, 10238:23, 10239:13, 10241:2, 10258:3, 10259:17, 10326:9, 10342:3 appropriately [2] -10202:11, 10250:4 approval [1] -10303:15 area [2] - 10203:18, 10219:4 **arguably** [1] - 10335:2 argue [7] - 10246:10, 10246:11, 10249:4, 10255:2, 10255:16, 10315:15, 10343:19 arguing [1] - 10247:23 argument [10] -10238:3, 10238:4, 10238:8, 10246:3, 10246:16, 10247:1, 10249:16, 10255:2, 10261:17, 10315:13 argumentative [2] -10222:23, 10312:20 arguments [1] -10246:1 arrangement [1] -10247:17 arrested [10] -10210:11, 10210:16, 10230:23, 10305:18, 10306:2, 10307:1, 10307:5, 10324:10, 10324:25 arrived [1] - 10285:17 articulated [1] -10344:1 ash [1] - 10295:22 Ashli [1] - 10198:23 aside [2] - 10239:22, 10241:1 aspect [2] - 10209:2, 10270:23 assertion [1] -10346:24 assistance [3] -10271:8, 10272:14, 10341:20 assume [5] -10240:17, 10253:7, 10273:23, 10276:24, 10294:24 assumption [1] -10295:1 assured [1] - 10298:2 asymmetry [4] -10343:13, 10343:21,

10344:5, 10344:18 10348:20 10240:7, 10254:16 10253:11, 10262:24, bacon [16] - 10307:19, 10265:25, 10279:5, attack [1] - 10304:15 believes [1] -10291:20, 10330:14, attacking [1] -10307:20, 10307:22, 10334:21 10268:3 10308:5, 10308:9, below [2] - 10197:9, 10337:16, 10340:8, attempt [2] -10308:10, 10308:11, 10206:6 10343:13 10348:24, 10349:12 10308:13, 10308:14, bench [12] - 10200:24, beyond [5] - 10236:23, 10308:16. 10309:12. 10259:15. 10268:5. attention [3] -10219:20, 10224:25, 10309:14. 10309:19. 10337:14. 10342:20 10232:8, 10267:20, 10269:7, 10327:15, 10309:23, 10309:25, bigger [1] - 10269:8 10273:19, 10281:7, 10349:16 10310:9 Biggs [5] - 10192:6, 10285:10, 10290:19, attorney [3] - 10272:7, bad [4] - 10264:24, 10302:7, 10314:18, 10193:1, 10240:24, 10272:9, 10278:24 10313:25, 10339:6, 10325:24 10244:2, 10255:22 Attorney [1] -10339:13 10193:18 **benefit** [1] - 10304:6 **bill** [1] - 10249:18 bag [1] - 10228:2 Attorney's [1] **benefits** [1] - 10251:9 **bit** [11] - 10195:24, **baking** [2] - 10261:4, bent [1] - 10348:20 10199:21, 10200:6, 10192:20 10261:13 BERTINO[2] -10209:3, 10229:22, attorney-client [2] balk [1] - 10200:3 10236:12, 10236:13, 10272:7, 10272:9 10195:1, 10353:3 ball [1] - 10346:3 10257:8, 10276:11, atypical [1] - 10246:18 bertino [1] - 10263:22 band [1] - 10245:20 Bertino [62] - 10195:4, 10307:21, 10343:3 audio [26] - 10199:17, bizarre [1] - 10245:1 10199:19, 10200:8, Bankruptcy [1] -10196:6, 10196:14, 10193:21 BlackBeard [2] -10206:7, 10207:12, 10198:1, 10205:24, based [23] - 10205:9, 10206:8, 10206:24, 10206:16, 10210:19 10209:7, 10211:2, 10205:10, 10237:5, BlackBeard's [1] -10208:6, 10208:16, 10213:23, 10217:21, 10241:20, 10241:21, 10213:20, 10215:12, 10212:5 10274:16, 10281:11, 10299:19, 10305:7, 10241:22, 10247:19, 10217:6, 10217:23, Blackeard [1] -10306:11, 10306:19, 10247:24, 10248:22, 10218:3, 10218:13, 10209:5 10312:1, 10313:13, 10249:4, 10249:7, 10223:22, 10224:12, **blah** [3] - 10243:11 10249:16, 10250:14, 10228:18, 10229:19, 10315:24, 10316:9, blaming [1] -10254:20, 10273:4, 10238:6, 10239:11, 10316:12, 10325:2, 10206:13 10287:17, 10329:13, 10255:21, 10259:7, 10325:18, 10327:23, blank [2] - 10297:15, 10262:15, 10265:1, 10330:4, 10332:3, 10329:18, 10330:1, 10297:18 10334:3, 10334:6, 10266:15, 10267:12, 10340:25 blew [4] - 10326:4, 10269:7, 10269:15, available [1] -10335:25 10326:17, 10329:1, basic [2] - 10195:12, 10275:16, 10279:22, 10281:14 10338:10 Avenue [3] -10280:4, 10282:18, 10237:9 blowing [1] - 10329:4 10283:6, 10285:13, 10192:18, 10193:16, basis [11] - 10220:21, blown [1] - 10222:18 10286:17, 10288:11, 10352:13 10221:1, 10242:11, blue [4] - 10351:2, 10286:24, 10288:12, 10288:12, 10290:24, avenues [1] -10351:8, 10351:9, 10295:14 10291:23, 10302:18, 10291:4, 10291:5, 10351:11 average [1] - 10347:22 10303:10, 10330:13, 10291:7, 10293:3, boat [2] - 10247:5, 10298:2, 10299:21, 10350:4, 10350:17 avoid [1] - 10273:22 10247:8 10302:4, 10304:10, bear [1] - 10209:11 avoided [1] - 10303:3 **bollixed** [1] - 10335:5 10306:1, 10306:18, bearing [1] - 10332:1 aware [12] - 10245:24, bologna [2] -10306:21, 10311:1, beat [6] - 10302:10. 10285:1, 10298:19, 10254:10, 10315:10 10302:19. 10302:20. 10311:12, 10311:18, 10299:3, 10299:8, bolster [2] - 10267:25 10314:12, 10315:3, 10303:5, 10328:12, 10306:3, 10307:3, bolstering [1] -10315:22, 10320:14, 10328:15 10322:11, 10323:2, 10265:20 10323:8, 10323:10, become [1] - 10345:4 10325:5, 10345:4, bond [1] - 10262:24 10328:23, 10341:11 10345:14 becomes [1] boost [1] - 10249:17 Bertino's [2] awareness [1] -10336:23 Boots [3] - 10210:24, 10259:13, 10281:16 10322:12 BEFORE [1] -10211:5, 10211:6 best [7] - 10261:5, 10192:11 awkward [1] borders [1] - 10251:14 10274:25, 10275:3, 10343:11 began [1] - 10248:20 bought [2] - 10247:5, 10275:10, 10299:13, begin [1] - 10273:18 10247:6 10351:6, 10352:7 В behalf [1] - 10271:19 box [2] - 10241:22, better [2] - 10274:21, behavior [2] -10292:15 10282:2 Babbitt's [1] -10265:4, 10265:17 **boy** [2] - 10214:8, 10198:23 between [13] behind [1] - 10247:23 10214:17 10215:22, 10230:4, backs [1] - 10230:7

beings [1] - 10258:14

belief [3] - 10239:5,

backwards [1] -

10234:9, 10242:17,

Boys [14] - 10207:21,

10209:10, 10210:12,

10218:10, 10224:12, 10258:13, 10265:5, 10295:10, 10297:10, 10299:3, 10311:19, 10312:10, 10313:2, 10314:13 Boys' [1] - 10247:13 break [16] - 10195:6, 10195:14, 10236:8, 10236:12, 10236:14, 10236:16, 10249:24, 10252:1, 10258:21, 10259:11, 10262:15, 10327:5, 10327:6, 10327:13, 10337:23, 10340:3 breakfast [5] -10307:20, 10308:15, 10308:16, 10309:13, 10309:23 **bridge** [1] - 10245:19 briefly [2] - 10220:13, 10273:18 **bright** [1] - 10327:14 bring [9] - 10194:6, 10194:9, 10196:4, 10262:5. 10285:5. 10300:25, 10307:22, 10311:23, 10327:24 bringing [1] -10239:16 **brings** [1] - 10302:14 broadly [1] - 10244:25 Broadway [1] -10192:24 Brooklyn [1] -10192:21 brother [1] - 10233:2 brotherhood [1] -10230:4 brothers [1] -10245:20 brought [1] - 10230:24 build [1] - 10233:18 building [8] -10197:17, 10199:1, 10222:19, 10317:12, 10318:22, 10319:22, 10320:16, 10321:1 Building [1] -10192:24 buildings [1] -10319:24 built [1] - 10262:23 bulky [1] - 10342:4 bullet [1] - 10230:8 bunch [3] - 10217:17, 10219:3, 10319:24 burden [3] - 10209:11, 10209:16, 10343:17

burdensome [1] -10344:20 burn [1] - 10206:5 burned [1] - 10295:22 busy [1] - 10209:1 button [1] - 10260:2 buy [2] - 10309:14 BY [67] - 10195:3, 10195:18, 10196:13, 10197:3, 10197:20, 10198:11, 10199:14, 10205:23, 10207:6, 10208:5, 10208:15, 10210:3, 10210:8, 10212:22, 10214:19, 10218:22, 10219:15, 10223:21, 10228:17, 10229:4, 10229:8, 10229:18, 10230:2, 10230:13, 10231:1, 10231:6, 10231:19, 10262:14, 10263:3, 10263:12, 10264:22, 10265:15, 10265:23, 10266:6, 10266:25, 10267:11, 10269:6, 10270:20. 10271:7. 10271:21, 10272:10, 10275:15, 10280:3, 10282:17, 10283:5, 10284:19, 10289:14, 10293:2, 10299:2, 10299:20, 10302:3, 10304:9, 10305:8, 10305:25, 10306:20, 10311:5, 10311:11, 10312:2, 10312:21, 10312:24, 10314:11, 10315:21, 10320:13, 10322:10, 10323:1, 10325:3, 10325:19

С

cabin [1] - 10254:15
Cadman [1] 10192:21
cafeteria [1] 10249:15
canceled [1] 10265:13
candidly [1] 10349:22
cannot [6] - 10232:18,
10233:3, 10243:24,
10244:4, 10255:3,
10345:13
Capitol [26] 10200:16, 10217:25,

10224:13, 10248:15,

10304:16, 10304:17, 10313:8, 10316:24, 10317:1, 10317:11, 10317:17, 10317:20, 10317:22, 10318:22, 10319:22, 10320:16, 10320:22, 10321:1, 10321:23, 10322:12 capture [5] - 10206:8, 10207:13, 10214:2, 10214:5, 10216:5 car [5] - 10278:19, 10278:20, 10278:23, 10279:12, 10309:15 care [5] - 10244:7, 10262:23, 10273:13, 10319:23, 10321:19 cares [1] - 10244:22 Carmen [2] - 10193:6, 10193:7 case [44] - 10201:22, 10204:9, 10225:12, 10225:23, 10234:18, 10238:14, 10239:14, 10241:4, 10242:2, 10242:14, 10243:22, 10244:6, 10244:14, 10245:24, 10246:16, 10246:18, 10246:25, 10248:10, 10248:11, 10251:12, 10268:7, 10268:9, 10270:7, 10272:1, 10272:13, 10272:16, 10276:23, 10278:4, 10278:5, 10278:6, 10333:13, 10333:17, 10333:20, 10335:22, 10339:14, 10339:17, 10339:25, 10341:3, 10342:13, 10347:20, 10348:13, 10349:21 cases [1] - 10248:22 cat [2] - 10228:2, 10350:23 caught [2] - 10194:13, 10194:18 CCR [1] - 10352:12 certain [11] -10233:20, 10245:13, 10245:22, 10248:19, 10308:15, 10308:17, 10308:20, 10308:22,

10328:8, 10348:2

10204:5, 10252:6

CERTIFICATE[1] -

certainly [3] - 10204:4.

10285:15, 10287:7,

10287:24, 10296:13,

10299:4, 10304:12,

10352:2 certification [1] -10300:24 certify [2] - 10198:18, 10352:4 challenge [1] -10226:24 challenges [1] -10295:14 **chance** [12] - 10205:6, 10208:11, 10208:16, 10211:11, 10213:25, 10215:25, 10249:23, 10251:24, 10269:25, 10275:8, 10292:16, 10303:21 change [5] - 10285:21, 10332:4, 10335:25, 10336:15, 10338:21 changed [23] -10283:19, 10283:21, 10283:22, 10284:8, 10285:14, 10286:8, 10289:1, 10289:18, 10290:6, 10290:11, 10291:5, 10292:2, 10292:5. 10323:23. 10323:25, 10324:1, 10329:13, 10330:20, 10334:15, 10335:5, 10335:19, 10337:13, 10337:20 changes [2] -10329:25, 10333:5 changing [4] -10331:7, 10331:15, 10334:14, 10339:8 chaos [2] - 10200:3, 10296:14 chapter [2] - 10209:2, 10219:4 characterization [4] -10222:13, 10256:15, 10325:1, 10334:8 characterize [1] -10331:6 characterizes [1] -10334:2 characterizing [5] -10238:22, 10257:9, 10333:7, 10333:24, 10334:2 charge [2] - 10194:17, 10245:7 charged [5] -10258:12, 10258:13, 10258:14, 10279:5, 10279:6 charges [3] -10264:15, 10268:1,

10269:11 Charles [2] -10211:22, 10211:23 chat [17] - 10196:8, 10198:3. 10207:7. 10208:8, 10211:5, 10211:6, 10211:14, 10211:15, 10211:18, 10211:25, 10212:25, 10263:5, 10263:21, 10296:13, 10312:7, 10312:16, 10313:4 chats [20] - 10199:4, 10207:24, 10209:21, 10210:22, 10212:15, 10212:23, 10218:14, 10218:17, 10263:18, 10310:16, 10310:20, 10310:21, 10311:21, 10312:12, 10313:3, 10313:9, 10348:24 checkerboard [2] -10260:8, 10260:14 chernan7&aol.com [1] - 10193:8 **cherry** [1] - 10346:25 cherry-picking [1] -10346:25 circle [4] - 10217:3, 10218:5, 10259:6, 10260:10 **circling** [1] - 10257:2 **circuits** [1] - 10268:12 circumstance [3] -10201:21, 10339:23 circumstances [8] -10241:23, 10245:10, 10247:25, 10248:6, 10248:24, 10250:13, 10250:18, 10333:21 circumstantially [1] -10234:18 citation [2] -10274:22, 10303:13 cite [2] - 10275:6, 10332:8 cited [1] - 10342:13 citing [1] - 10343:16 citizens [1] - 10215:22 city [1] - 10295:22 claim [2] - 10348:14, 10348:16 clarify [1] - 10283:13 classic [2] - 10219:25, 10220:1 clean [1] - 10210:22 clear [8] - 10249:1, 10257:2, 10257:3, 10257:11, 10303:8, 10339:17, 10344:12

clearly [3] - 10237:10, 10242:13, 10329:18 client [12] - 10220:4, 10220:5. 10220:7. 10220:8. 10243:15. 10243:17, 10255:13, 10258:6, 10258:9, 10259:10, 10272:7, 10272:9 clients [3] - 10201:15, 10244:8, 10258:6 **clip** [10] - 10215:12, 10313:7, 10326:12, 10327:23, 10328:22, 10329:9, 10341:7, 10347:22, 10348:2, 10349:3 clipped [1] - 10346:24 clips [11] - 10213:25, 10214:2, 10313:13, 10343:14, 10346:19, 10346:23, 10346:24, 10347:11, 10347:24, 10348:8, 10348:17 close [20] - 10229:19, 10229:21, 10230:24, 10237:9. 10238:3. 10239:3, 10243:10, 10250:9, 10253:16, 10256:9, 10256:17, 10257:9, 10261:14, 10261:15, 10261:16, 10262:16, 10262:20, 10303:1, 10349:22, 10349:23 closed [1] - 10295:15 closeness [5] -10229:23, 10230:3, 10237:7, 10252:8, 10252:13 **closer** [1] - 10258:18 closing [1] - 10255:2 **club** [3] - 10217:16, 10230:22, 10231:18 CMR [1] - 10352:12 **co** [9] - 10201:19, 10202:1, 10221:21, 10244:17, 10244:18, 10246:2, 10254:1, 10254:8, 10259:18 co-conspirator [3] -10221:21, 10244:17, 10244:18 co-conspirator's [1] -10201:19 co-conspirators [2] -10202:1, 10254:8 co-counsel [2] -10246:2, 10254:1

co-defendant [1] -

10259:18 coconspirator [1] -10201:24 College [2] -10316:20, 10319:4 COLUMBIA [1] -10192:1 **coming** [7] - 10200:2, 10201:18, 10203:1, 10237:22, 10326:21, 10345:10, 10348:16 comit [1] - 10307:10 comment [3] -10294:1, 10294:11, 10295:2 comments [1] -10294:13 commit [1] - 10245:5 committed [1] -10270:13 common [1] -10268:13 comparable [1] -10292:10 competent [1] -10248:22 complaining [1] -10348:1 complete [5] -10196:3, 10221:7, 10281:1, 10331:7, 10352:6 completed [1] -10281:3 completely [2] -10257:15, 10257:16 completeness [1] -10341:23 complicated [1] -10329:10 compound [6] -10228:22, 10239:23, 10240:22, 10241:1, 10243:14, 10243:20 concept[1]-10237:21 conception [2] -10322:2, 10322:17 conceptually [1] -10328:18 concern [1] - 10347:7 concerned [1] -10260:9 conclude [1] -10234:23 concluded [1] -10225:19 conclusion [20] -10224:23, 10225:6, 10225:16, 10226:9,

10232:21, 10233:13, 10233:16, 10234:5, 10235:12, 10235:20, 10239:9, 10240:3, 10242:6, 10244:3, 10248:11, 10249:7, 10255:5, 10255:20, 10266:23, 10271:15 conclusions [3] -10228:15, 10249:3, 10249:7 conduct [4] -10226:10, 10245:10, 10245:11, 10247:17 conducted [3] -10344:14, 10348:14, 10348:15 confer [2] - 10253:25, 10260:2 conflict [1] - 10215:14 confront [1] -10342:23 confused [2] -10226:5, 10290:22 confusion [2] -10241:4, 10242:12 Congress [3] -10318:21, 10319:4, 10322:16 connection [2] -10279:4, 10294:7 Conor [1] - 10192:17 Conor.mulroe@ usdoj.gov [1] -10192:19 consider [8] -10221:19, 10221:20, 10221:22, 10228:7, 10259:14, 10259:16, 10259:18, 10261:3 consideration [1] -10215:24 considered [1] -10295:10 consistent [8] -10296:4, 10296:6, 10296:7, 10296:15, 10296:18, 10296:20, 10315:5, 10345:3 Conspiracy [1] -10269:12 conspiracy [26] -10224:15, 10225:19, 10226:2, 10226:4, 10226:9, 10226:11, 10226:18, 10226:25, 10227:24, 10228:15, 10233:14, 10233:15, 10233:17, 10238:13, 10242:2, 10242:9,

10245:4, 10250:3, 10258:11, 10273:3, 10307:11, 10333:14, 10333:15, 10334:9 conspirator [4] -10221:21, 10244:17, 10244:18. 10268:10 conspirator's [1] -10201:19 conspirators [5] -10202:1, 10245:24, 10246:19, 10254:8, 10317:1 conspiring [1] -10250:10 constant [1] -10263:18 constitutes [2] -10238:8, 10352:5 Constitution [1] -10352:13 construed [1] -10257:8 cont)......10195 [1] -10353:3 Contd [1] - 10195:2 contemplated [1] -10318:21 context [4] -10226:10, 10245:15, 10247:12, 10334:3 continue [3] -10253:22, 10288:7, 10291:15 continues [1] -10243:21 continuing [3] -10210:5, 10214:14, 10254:4 contours [4] -10226:2, 10226:8, 10251:25, 10261:23 contract [5] -10238:13, 10238:15, 10242:2, 10246:4 contrary [1] -10286:12 contrasts [1] -10329:5 control [1] - 10237:15 controversial [1] -10244:20 conversation [12] -10234:20, 10246:17, 10246:19, 10249:24, 10263:18, 10282:25, 10283:24, 10286:3, 10286:10, 10292:3, 10322:13, 10326:24 conversations [11] -

10233:10, 10237:23, 10241:21, 10241:22, 10243:15, 10255:1, 10257:25, 10272:11, 10296:16, 10296:19, 10320:15 convicted [1] -10266:15 conviction [4] -10266:18, 10277:25, 10278:6, 10278:11 cook [1] - 10309:16 cookies [2] -10249:15, 10249:18 cooperation [4] -10269:16, 10270:3, 10270:23, 10270:24 Cooperation [1] -10269:21 cooperator [1] -10268:11 copy [1] - 10311:23 corner [1] - 10222:20 correct [37] - 10198:6, 10199:6, 10215:3, 10215:4, 10220:8, 10223:25, 10224:6, 10224:10, 10224:11, 10253:8, 10253:11, 10262:17, 10267:3, 10269:20, 10276:3, 10276:9, 10276:14, 10276:17, 10276:20, 10277:12, 10277:19, 10277:22, 10280:9, 10282:15, 10285:2, 10292:10, 10298:12, 10305:20, 10307:14, 10311:13, 10313:9, 10313:18, 10319:19, 10322:14, 10325:5, 10347:4, 10347:11 cost [1] - 10248:16 couched [1] -10239:19 counsel [7] -10194:12, 10199:8, 10224:24, 10246:2, 10254:1, 10346:23 count [3] - 10316:20, 10318:10, 10320:1 counterfactual [1] -10222:16 counting [2] -10319:4, 10322:16 countless [2] -10230:20, 10230:21 country [7] -10197:17, 10200:18, 10206:12, 10206:15,

10215:22, 10228:21, 10263:15 counts [2] - 10269:9, 10269:10 couple [1] - 10323:2 course [9] - 10218:13, 10227:18, 10246:22, 10247:3, 10247:11, 10247:16, 10251:12, 10349:17, 10351:10 court [23] - 10193:21, 10205:17, 10214:15, 10223:20, 10228:16, 10236:10, 10236:14, 10258:21, 10264:15, 10269:1, 10270:9, 10275:13, 10282:7, 10289:13, 10293:1, 10296:22, 10297:3, 10297:20, 10304:5, 10315:20, 10327:11, 10333:18, 10352:12 COURT [283] -10192:1, 10194:5, 10194:10, 10194:15, 10194:18, 10194:22, 10195:17, 10195:23, 10196:11, 10197:2, 10197:15, 10198:9, 10199:8, 10199:11, 10201:2, 10201:10, 10201:16, 10202:6, 10203:4, 10203:9, 10203:14, 10204:15, 10204:18, 10205:4, 10205:13, 10205:21, 10207:4, 10208:3, 10208:14, 10209:25, 10210:7, 10212:20, 10214:12, 10214:16, 10217:13, 10218:21, 10219:14, 10219:19, 10219:21, 10220:1, 10220:6, 10220:11, 10220:17, 10221:2, 10221:7, 10221:12, 10222:3, 10222:12, 10223:8, 10223:12, 10223:15, 10223:18, 10224:19, 10224:23, 10225:1, 10225:9, 10225:13, 10225:25, 10226:12, 10226:19, 10227:6, 10227:13, 10227:17, 10228:8, 10229:2, 10229:7, 10229:17, 10230:1, 10230:11, 10230:18, 10231:5, 10231:17, 10232:17, 10232:19,

10232:22, 10232:25, 10233:3, 10233:18, 10234:3, 10234:14, 10234:19, 10234:22, 10235:1, 10235:11, 10235:21, 10235:24, 10236:5, 10236:11, 10236:18, 10237:9, 10237:19, 10238:1, 10238:10, 10238:16, 10239:16, 10239:21, 10239:24, 10240:4, 10240:10, 10241:1, 10241:6, 10241:14, 10241:17, 10242:4, 10242:7, 10242:24, 10243:5, 10244:15, 10244:24, 10246:10, 10246:13. 10246:15. 10246:24, 10247:10, 10247:14, 10247:18, 10247:22, 10248:9, 10248:25, 10249:6, 10249:10, 10249:13, 10249:19, 10249:21, 10250:23, 10251:16, 10252:25, 10253:5, 10253:22, 10254:2, 10254:20, 10255:8, 10255:14, 10256:4, 10256:6, 10256:8, 10256:14, 10256:22, 10256:24, 10257:18, 10258:5, 10258:8, 10258:17, 10258:19, 10259:2, 10259:23, 10260:1, 10260:17, 10260:21, 10262:8, 10262:10, 10263:2, 10263:10, 10264:19, 10265:9, 10265:21, 10266:3, 10266:24, 10267:8, 10267:19, 10267:21, 10268:8, 10268:17, 10268:21, 10269:4, 10270:18, 10271:16, 10272:8, 10273:6, 10273:10, 10273:17, 10273:20, 10274:2, 10274:12, 10274:24, 10275:8, 10275:12, 10280:25, 10281:5, 10281:9, 10281:18, 10282:6, 10283:1, 10284:16, 10285:12, 10285:23, 10286:7, 10286:21, 10287:3, 10287:9, 10287:12, 10287:25, 10288:6, 10288:18, 10288:21, 10288:25,

10259:21, 10260:15,

10260:18, 10274:14

10289:7, 10289:12, 10290:20, 10291:10, 10291:16, 10292:10, 10292:25, 10299:17, 10302:5, 10302:8, 10302:22, 10303:20, 10303:24, 10304:2, 10304:4, 10305:1, 10306:14, 10311:7, 10311:10, 10312:23, 10314:17, 10315:1, 10315:7, 10321:25, 10322:6, 10322:9, 10322:25, 10325:23, 10326:16, 10327:1, 10327:10, 10327:12, 10327:17, 10327:19, 10328:2, 10328:5, 10328:25. 10329:15. 10330:2, 10330:6, 10331:3, 10331:10, 10331:17, 10331:20, 10331:22, 10332:8, 10332:17, 10332:20, 10333:1, 10333:9, 10334:4, 10334:15, 10336:6, 10336:18, 10336:22, 10337:16, 10337:22, 10338:4, 10338:6, 10338:9, 10338:16, 10338:23, 10339:1, 10339:15, 10340:16, 10342:3, 10342:16, 10342:18, 10343:20, 10344:8, 10344:22, 10344:24, 10345:7, 10345:19, 10345:25, 10346:8, 10347:3, 10347:15, 10347:17, 10348:19, 10350:11, 10350:15, 10351:3, 10351:8, 10351:15, 10351:18, 10352:2 Court [27] - 10202:22, 10202:24, 10203:11, 10203:12, 10204:6, 10220:10, 10221:8, 10227:5, 10227:12, 10245:17, 10246:23, 10252:3, 10259:25, 10260:12, 10295:13, 10304:7, 10326:8, 10327:25, 10338:19, 10339:14, 10340:5, 10340:19, 10345:18, 10346:1, 10348:11 Court's [10] -10202:21, 10202:23, 10205:9, 10205:10,

10237:5, 10240:21,

courthouses [1] -10232:11 courtroom [6] -10194:21, 10236:17, 10248:23, 10262:9, 10327:16, 10327:21 COURTROOM [10] -10194:2, 10258:24, 10282:8, 10282:11, 10282:14, 10282:16, 10305:2, 10305:4, 10314:8, 10314:10 Courts [1] - 10193:21 covered [3] -10218:14, 10220:25, 10351:14 craft [2] - 10205:9, 10228:5 crafted [3] - 10202:11, 10203:24, 10220:24 crafting [1] - 10204:8 crazy [3] - 10222:7, 10222:14, 10223:2 CRC [1] - 10193:21 create [2] - 10200:17, 10329:23 created [21] -10280:12, 10283:9, 10283:15, 10284:7, 10284:9, 10286:17, 10287:11, 10289:16, 10289:20, 10289:25, 10290:4, 10329:17, 10329:25, 10334:10, 10335:1, 10336:16, 10338:8, 10338:21, 10341:10, 10341:11, 10341:19 creating [1] - 10200:3 credibility [3] -10267:25. 10268:4. 10287:19 crime [5] - 10242:14, 10245:6, 10270:13, 10272:23, 10276:13 **Criminal** [1] - 10192:4 criminal [7] - 10194:3, 10238:13, 10242:2, 10242:14, 10246:18, 10258:25, 10277:6 CRM [1] - 10192:18 CROSS [1] - 10275:14 cross [20] - 10251:17, 10253:15, 10258:20, 10273:11, 10285:22, 10285:24, 10288:10, 10328:9, 10336:11, 10339:19, 10340:1,

10340:7, 10342:9, 10342:15, 10342:21, 10345:23, 10346:4, 10346:5, 10348:12, 10349:10 Cross [1] - 10353:4 **CROSS-**EXAMINATION[1] -10275:14 **Cross-Examination** [1] - 10353:4 cross-examination [9] 10253:15, 10258:20, 10273:11, 10285:22, 10288:10, 10336:11, 10339:19, 10340:7, 10342:15 cross-examine [2] -10285:24, 10328:9 crossing [1] - 10346:7 CRR [2] - 10193:21, 10352:12 CT [1] - 10193:5 **cusp** [1] - 10197:10 cut [1] - 10350:8

D

D.C [7] - 10201:8,

10210:22, 10219:9,

10220:18, 10321:20,

Dangerous [1] -

danger [1] - 10203:19

10352:14

10218:8 dangerous [3] -10251:1, 10251:6, 10251:14 Daniel [1] - 10193:1 date [5] - 10205:24, 10208:6, 10212:23, 10221:11, 10324:17 Dated [1] - 10352:8 dates [1] - 10305:20 David [1] - 10192:23 days [2] - 10264:5, 10343:25 **DC** [4] - 10192:7, 10192:16, 10192:19, 10193:2 de [1] - 10265:13 de-homed [1] -10265:13 deal [2] - 10247:9, 10274:4 dealing [3] - 10247:11, 10247:16, 10343:11 dealings [1] - 10247:4 death [1] - 10198:23 debate [1] - 10348:2

deceit [1] - 10335:25 deceived [2] -10286:16, 10286:19 decide [2] - 10271:18, 10271-24 decided [2] - 10200:2, 10324:17 decides [2] - 10271:2, 10271:23 deciding [2] -10241:13, 10242:3 declarant's [2] -10221:17, 10221:23 declaration [1] -10220:14 defence [1] - 10204:7 defendant [10] -10202:5, 10202:7, 10221:19, 10241:11, 10258:12, 10259:18, 10342:14, 10342:23, 10342:24, 10343:24 defendants [25] -10231:18, 10241:5, 10243:18, 10243:19, 10243:20, 10250:11, 10253:3, 10253:12, 10253:17, 10254:6, 10254:18, 10257:21, 10258:2, 10261:14, 10263:1, 10279:7, 10301:14, 10311:2, 10340:19, 10343:2, 10343:3, 10343:7, 10344:10, 10349:2, 10349:11 Defendants [2] -10192:9, 10192:22 defense [11] -10276:23, 10286:12, 10315:14, 10342:10, 10343:13, 10343:17, 10343:24, 10344:11, 10345:23, 10346:22, 10349:22 Defense [7] -10197:22, 10207:8, 10228:20, 10229:20, 10231:20, 10254:9, 10262:16 defensively [1] -10268:6 **defined** [1] - 10258:9 definitely [5] -10208:21, 10230:25, 10276:10, 10284:24, 10323:19 definition [1] -10271:15 degree [1] - 10278:12

10267:24

display [2] - 10296:12,

delay [1] - 10306:18 delete [3] - 10211:3, 10211:7, 10211:25 deleted [1] - 10211:11 delta [4] - 10330:14, 10337:16, 10340:8 denies [1] - 10335:17 depth [1] - 10252:18 **DEPUTY** [10] -10194:2, 10258:24, 10282:8, 10282:11, 10282:14, 10282:16, 10305:2, 10305:4, 10314:8, 10314:10 describe [2] -10229:22, 10230:3 described [1] -10273:2 desperate [1] -10295:15 despite [2] - 10277:4, 10277:6 destroy[1] - 10211:25 detailed [1] - 10203:7 details [2] - 10255:22, 10277:3 determine [3] -10232:13, 10240:8, 10303:18 detractors [1] -10263:19 DICKMAN[1] -10352:4 Dickman [2] -10193:21, 10352:12 died [2] - 10198:21, 10198:24 difference [2] -10246:14, 10253:11 different [30] -10204:22, 10204:24, 10204:25, 10217:25, 10222:14, 10225:14, 10227:19, 10227:20, 10229:10, 10239:6, 10242:5, 10247:21, 10247:22, 10250:21, 10257:14, 10257:16, 10257:17, 10257:23, 10258:16, 10268:12, 10287:8, 10287:16, 10291:8, 10330:17, 10335:4, 10337:6, 10337:8, 10339:23, 10345:16 differently [4] -10228:20, 10240:12, 10335:14, 10335:15 difficult [1] - 10205:8 difficulty [1] - 10336:5

DIRECT[1] - 10195:2 direct [16] - 10248:17, 10251:23, 10276:25, 10293:4, 10294:9, 10304:25, 10314:20, 10315:4. 10316:3. 10316:6, 10317:24, 10342:1, 10345:6, 10345:9, 10345:17, 10350:23 Direct [1] - 10353:3 directed [1] - 10259:8 directing [2] -10269:7, 10310:24 direction [3] -10250:20, 10268:23, 10285:13 directly [8] - 10238:21, 10271:10, 10278:2, 10297:1, 10312:16, 10312:18, 10321:3, 10335:18 disagree [8] -10201:23, 10231:12, 10244:16, 10256:24, 10288:9. 10288:14. 10288:20 disagreed [1] -10340:6 disclaimed [1] -10330:7 disclose [1] - 10274:6 disconnection [1] -10215:21 discovery [1] -10222:3 discuss [4] -10236:15, 10309:11, 10309:20, 10309:22 discussed [2] -10290:21, 10290:23 discussion [14] -10200:24, 10219:20, 10224:25, 10232:8, 10236:21, 10240:15, 10267:20, 10273:19, 10281:7, 10285:10, 10290:19, 10302:7, 10314:18, 10325:24 discussions [9] -10231:24, 10232:4, 10232:15, 10237:18, 10238:17, 10255:23, 10255:25, 10256:1, 10263:4 disgrace [1] -10199:23 dishonest [1] -10320:15 dishonesty [1] -

10296:14 dispute [1] - 10242:3 disrespectful [1] -10222:10 District [1] - 10193:21 DISTRICT [3] -10192:1, 10192:1, 10192.12 **DNA**[3] - 10332:15, 10332:25, 10333:3 document [38] -10267:12, 10279:17, 10279:22, 10280:1, 10280:4, 10283:10, 10283:16, 10283:21, 10283:22, 10283:25, 10284:7, 10284:22, 10285:16, 10286:18, 10287:5, 10287:8, 10289:15, 10289:16, 10289:23, 10290:4, 10290:10, 10290:15, 10292:2, 10293:3, 10328:24, 10329:4, 10329:6. 10329:12. 10329:23, 10332:2, 10334:11, 10335:1, 10335:16, 10336:16, 10338:8, 10338:22, 10340:13, 10346:20 documents [1] -10338:17 **DOJ** [1] - 10192:18 **DOJ-CRM** [1] -10192:18 Dominic [1] - 10192:8 done [18] - 10204:20, 10219:11, 10219:16, 10220:13, 10220:18, 10222:11, 10222:15, 10224:5, 10245:14, 10251:4, 10265:14, 10268:9, 10273:14, 10301:17, 10309:7, 10309:12, 10320:4, 10346:5 Donohoe [2] -10211:22, 10211:23 Donuts [1] - 10318:10 double [2] - 10250:7, 10250:9

double-edged [2] -

down [17] - 10196:23,

10250:7, 10250:9

10199:3, 10199:20,

10200:5, 10208:10,

10209:3, 10210:18,

10236:18, 10249:14,

10251:22, 10253:24, 10254:4, 10269:14, 10302:23, 10309:14, 10313:11, 10327:17 doxxed [1] - 10265:12 doxxing [1] - 10265:2 dozen [1] - 10314:25 draft [1] - 10202:23 drama [1] - 10230:22 dramatic [1] -10248:16 draw [3] - 10259:6, 10260:10, 10282:19 drawn [1] - 10245:11 drill [1] - 10313:11 drive [1] - 10309:15 Drive [1] - 10193:18 drop [1] - 10194:17 Dubrowski [1] -10203:2 due [2] - 10343:19, 10348:21 Dunkin' [2] -10318:10, 10320:2 dunkin' [1] - 10320:2 during [3] - 10195:7, 10253:20, 10282:25

Ε

early [3] - 10236:5, 10236:12, 10327:14 easier [1] - 10282:2 East [3] - 10192:21, 10193:18, 10317:21 easy [1] - 10260:20 eat [1] - 10308:5 eating [1] - 10309:8 edged [2] - 10250:7, 10250:9 edgewise [4] -10204:2, 10245:3, 10249:23, 10251:25 effect [7] - 10201:12, 10333:8, 10333:10, 10333:12, 10333:16, 10333:21, 10335:2 effectively [1] -10310:11 efficiently [1] -10348:25 **eggs** [16] - 10307:19, 10307:21, 10307:22, 10308:5, 10308:10, 10308:11, 10308:13, 10308:14, 10308:16, 10309:13, 10309:15, 10309:20, 10309:23, 10309:25, 10310:10 eight [1] - 10233:4

either [6] - 10206:13, 10222:25, 10268:23, 10274:18, 10285:1, 10339:20 Elect [2] - 10199:16, 10200:7 election [3] -10198:19, 10295:14, 10300:24 Electoral [3] -10316:20, 10318:9, 10319:4 elements [1] -10226:11 elicit [9] - 10225:17, 10225:18, 10227:4, 10227:11, 10234:6, 10234:10, 10250:12, 10255:4, 10287:22 elicited [7] - 10225:8, 10251:17, 10251:23, 10255:10, 10261:15, 10286:23, 10336:13 eliciting [2] -10220:20, 10261:16 elicits [1] - 10228:14 elites [1] - 10206:13 email [16] - 10192:16, 10192:17, 10192:19, 10192:22, 10192:25, 10193:3, 10193:5, 10193:8, 10193:11, 10193:14, 10193:17, 10193:19, 10193:22, 10202:9, 10260:2, 10338:24 **emailed** [1] - 10203:12 encompasses [2] -10258:5, 10258:8 encounters [1] -10275:22 end [13] - 10203:20. 10236:22, 10259:3, 10261:4, 10261:13, 10261:17, 10276:25, 10277:17, 10292:17, 10292:23, 10326:23, 10335:10, 10351:20 endangerment [2] -10266:16, 10278:12 ended [2] - 10225:4, 10260:23 ends [2] - 10248:16, 10289:3 enforce [1] - 10328:5 enforcement [2] -10215:14, 10287:1 engage [1] - 10204:7 engaged [1] -10265:16

Enrique [26] -10192:7, 10207:16, 10216:16, 10216:18, 10219:3, 10219:7, 10280:9, 10283:9, 10283:15, 10284:7, 10284:8. 10285:4. 10286:12. 10286:13. 10286:17, 10287:10, 10289:16, 10290:4, 10290:14, 10305:17, 10306:2, 10307:1, 10307:5, 10324:10, 10324:24, 10341:11 enter [3] - 10194:21, 10262:9, 10266:7 entered [8] - 10267:3, 10268:2, 10268:16, 10272:24, 10296:23, 10297:4, 10313:8, 10316:17 entering [2] - 10268:3, 10270:1 entire [4] - 10234:18, 10290:7, 10346:19, 10346:20 entitled [5] -10202:20, 10202:25, 10204:16, 10246:7, 10336:1 entitles [1] - 10288:2 entity [1] - 10206:13 erase [1] - 10211:25 Erik [1] - 10192:15 erik.kenerson@ usdoj.gov [1] -10192:17 erring[1] - 10349:24 escalated [2] -10215:13, 10215:14 especially [3] -10230:4. 10253:19. 10335:22 essentially [3] -10257:7, 10323:12, 10346:2 establish [1] -10237:25 established [1] -10278:8 establishing [2] -10235:13, 10273:2 et [2] - 10194:4, 10259:1 Ethan [5] - 10192:6, 10194:3, 10213:19, 10258:25, 10275:19 evaluate [1] -10340:21

evening [8] -

event [1] - 10225:15 events [4] - 10257:23. 10263:8. 10265:25. 10291:5 eventually [2] -10215:16, 10266:7 everywhere[1] -10213:16 evidence [22] -10202:20, 10203:1, 10211:12, 10221:15, 10223:5, 10232:12, 10235:18, 10245:12, 10248:22, 10249:5, 10251:15, 10258:15, 10279:18, 10299:14, 10302:15, 10302:21, 10303:13, 10303:18, 10304:24, 10306:7, 10329:24, 10346:22 Evidence [6] -10328:6, 10330:6, 10333:11, 10342:6, 10349:15 evidentiary [1] -10350:23 exact [10] - 10235:5, 10276:5, 10293:7, 10293:22, 10294:24, 10301:17, 10318:14, 10321:8, 10342:10, 10344:17 exactly [20] -10199:23, 10202:22, 10213:9, 10249:20, 10293:11, 10293:15, 10294:2, 10315:5, 10317:3, 10317:5, 10317:7, 10317:9, 10317:18, 10317:25, 10319:14, 10321:17, 10326:11, 10338:16, 10340:24 exam [1] - 10248:17 Examination [2] -10353:3, 10353:4 **EXAMINATION**[2] -10195:2, 10275:14 examination [10] -10253:15. 10258:20. 10273:11, 10285:22, 10288:10, 10336:11, 10339:19, 10340:7, 10342:1, 10342:15 examine [2] -10285:24, 10328:9

10195:24, 10207:10,

10207:13, 10213:20,

10260:2, 10328:19,

10337:23, 10340:3

example [10] -10230:16, 10230:19, 10235:13, 10247:4, 10302:12, 10307:17, 10341:6, 10344:1, 10347:13, 10347:25 examples [1] -10348:8 except [2] - 10237:25, 10301:14 exception [1] -10336:25 exceptions [1] -10347:19 exchange [1] -10194:17 excluding [1] -10257:20 excuse [4] - 10205:25, 10282:10, 10305:18, 10311:3 executed [4] -10319:5, 10321:6, 10321:22, 10322:5 execution [5] -10296:24, 10297:5, 10297:17, 10301:11, 10310:22 exercise [1] - 10223:3 **Exhibit** [22] - 10196:5, 10267:10, 10267:17, 10269:3, 10279:19, 10280:22, 10282:13, 10298:21, 10299:14, 10315:22, 10324:20, 10325:16, 10347:16, 10353:6, 10353:7, 10353:7, 10353:9, 10353:9, 10353:10, 10353:10, 10353:11, 10353:12 exhibit [8] - 10194:12, 10205:11, 10274:23, 10275:7, 10281:5, 10282:9, 10282:11, 10314:8 EXHIBITS [1] -10353:5 exhibits [9] -10343:22, 10344:10, 10344:12, 10344:16, 10345:17, 10346:12, 10346:13, 10346:18 Exhibits [2] - 10353:8, 10353:12 exist [1] - 10343:18 expert [2] - 10252:20, 10277:9 experts [2] - 10251:5, 10251:11

explain [15] - 10226:1, 10226:8, 10226:10, 10233:14, 10244:19, 10245:1, 10251:11, 10286:24, 10307:15, 10310:5, 10319:15, 10322:3. 10328:4. 10328:18, 10338:12 explained [3] -10251:5, 10251:10, 10257:19 explaining [1] -10285:16 explains [1] -10324:24 explanation [1] -10286:9 explicit [10] -10231:24, 10232:4, 10232:15, 10233:9, 10233:15, 10237:18, 10237:23, 10238:17, 10248:6, 10248:13 **explicitly** [1] - 10239:2 explore [4] -10253:15, 10258:20, 10288:10, 10290:24 exposed [1] -10281:24 exposure [1] -10279:10 extension [1] -10245:18 extent [3] - 10201:18, 10228:11, 10349:17 extremely [1] -10344:20 eyes [1] - 10206:5

F

face [1] - 10264:15 fact [30] - 10235:17, 10238:4, 10241:15, 10244:13, 10254:5, 10254:7, 10254:16, 10256:1, 10256:2, 10256:3, 10256:10, 10256:11, 10256:15, 10284:6, 10286:12, 10287:17, 10289:19, 10315:2, 10323:8, 10329:22, 10330:5, 10330:16, 10330:18, 10331:15, 10332:1, 10344:6 factfinder [1] -10240:8 facts [23] - 10225:12, 10225:18, 10234:6,

10235:8, 10235:13, 10242:5, 10242:25, 10243:9, 10243:11, 10243:24, 10244:3, 10244:6, 10245:9, 10250:13, 10255:9, 10255:19, 10256:15, 10261:21, 10273:2, 10287:15, 10292:4, 10334:3 factual [7] - 10226:20, 10226:23, 10227:9, 10234:4, 10242:11, 10255:15 **FAFO**[1] - 10217:16 failed [2] - 10198:14, 10198:16 failure [1] - 10196:3 fair [14] - 10237:10, 10250:23, 10254:18, 10269:23, 10275:21, 10303:5, 10331:3, 10333:8, 10337:5, 10337:11, 10340:14, 10344:21, 10349:7, 10350:1 fairly [3] - 10214:2, 10249:25, 10257:8 fairness [3] -10202:20, 10291:17, 10291:22 faith [8] - 10288:12, 10302:18, 10303:9, 10339:6, 10339:13, 10347:8, 10350:4, 10350:17 false [12] - 10276:14, 10277:4, 10277:11, 10287:13, 10287:20, 10298:6, 10301:22, 10329:24, 10331:15, 10331:22, 10332:18, 10334:6 falsely [1] - 10268:7 familiar [2] -10206:18, 10271:8 far [11] - 10201:11, 10203:14, 10207:17, 10213:17, 10236:25, 10245:19, 10246:6, 10266:22, 10274:6, 10339:25, 10350:15 Farmer [1] - 10199:18 fasc [2] - 10206:17, 10206:18 fascist [1] - 10206:21 fast [1] - 10348:10 favorite [1] - 10254:10

FBI [30] - 10263:24,

10264:4, 10264:8,

10265:24, 10266:12, 10276:2, 10276:14, 10276:19, 10277:5, 10277:11, 10278:15, 10298:2, 10298:6, 10298:18, 10298:24, 10300:2, 10301:22, 10301:24, 10302:4, 10305:9, 10311:12, 10311:18, 10313:13, 10313:24, 10333:16, 10333:19, 10335:22, 10336:13, 10336:15, 10340:15 fear [5] - 10200:12, 10216:23, 10216:25, 10217:1 February [2] -10192:7, 10352:8 fedcases@ metcalflawnyc.com [1] - 10193:17 Federal [2] -10331:24, 10349:19 federal [3] - 10266:20, 10276:13, 10296:24 feelings [1] - 10297:10 fellow [1] - 10246:2 felon [1] - 10277:25 felony [3] - 10277:25, 10278:6, 10278:11 felt [19] - 10195:7, 10196:3, 10196:20, 10197:16, 10199:25, 10200:14, 10206:12, 10206:14, 10207:13, 10217:11, 10217:14, 10217:16, 10228:20, 10230:5, 10230:12, 10230:25, 10231:11, 10253:16, 10265:13 fertile [1] - 10340:6 few [12] - 10199:3, 10200:5, 10201:20, 10263:22, 10264:5, 10275:25, 10276:8, 10278:17, 10278:18, 10294:13, 10327:3, 10343:25 fight [1] - 10270:15 figure [3] - 10250:23, 10298:13, 10321:17 figured [1] - 10349:6 file [3] - 10271:19, 10338:18, 10340:25 filed [1] - 10203:11 files [3] - 10271:22, 10272:2, 10272:14 filter [1] - 10238:4

final [4] - 10247:18,

10339:1, 10340:2, 10340:3 finally [1] - 10217:17 fine [2] - 10222:12, 10240:5 finish [3] - 10282:22, 10284:14, 10301:5 **firearm** [1] - 10273:3 **Firearm** [1] - 10269:12 firearms [7] -10266:13, 10266:19, 10277:19, 10277:22, 10278:1, 10278:7, 10279:11 fired [1] - 10265:13 first [28] - 10196:14, 10199:15, 10204:4, 10204:21, 10205:5, 10210:20, 10212:12, 10213:2, 10237:20, 10248:25, 10260:5, 10264:7, 10265:24, 10277:18, 10277:20, 10277:21, 10278:12, 10286:21, 10288:12, 10303:9, 10303:16, 10314:2, 10326:3, 10336:9, 10339:3, 10339:9, 10343:2, 10343:6 First [1] - 10193:4 fit [2] - 10217:16, 10274:25 fits [3] - 10201:25, 10329:11 five [5] - 10246:6, 10276:17, 10304:2, 10342:10, 10342:19 FL [2] - 10193:11, 10193:13 flag [1] - 10218:9 flags [5] - 10325:11, 10326:14, 10329:8, 10330:24, 10338:3 flesh [1] - 10250:24 Floor [2] - 10193:4, 10193:16 floor [1] - 10232:25 flourish [1] - 10248:16 fly [1] - 10262:2 focus [2] - 10209:2, 10349:16 fodder [2] - 10339:18, 10340:1 folks [2] - 10208:18, 10252:24 follow [1] - 10216:1 followed [1] -10210:10 following [2] -

10210:23, 10264:2 FOR [1] - 10192:1 force [15] - 10197:6, 10296:24. 10297:4. 10297:16. 10301:10. 10313:8. 10314:23. 10316:18, 10318:9, 10319:3, 10320:1, 10321:9, 10322:15, 10324:5, 10331:2 forcibly [1] - 10310:22 foregoing [1] -10352:5 **forever** [1] - 10206:5 form [9] - 10237:8, 10239:25, 10252:8, 10252:9, 10252:14, 10252:23, 10254:12, 10257:5, 10349:18 **formal** [1] - 10245:8 formulating [1] -10234:3 forth [2] - 10201:4, 10228:4 forthcoming [2] -10264:7, 10266:11 forward [7] -10200:22, 10224:10, 10257:11, 10275:10, 10294:15, 10294:22, 10295:2 foundation [9] -10221:5, 10223:11, 10232:14, 10233:19, 10240:23, 10311:9, 10331:21, 10334:7, 10334:8 foundational [1] -10252:15 frame [1] - 10242:8 frank [1] - 10214:9 frankly [3] - 10330:13, 10342:2, 10350:16 free [2] - 10250:17, 10274:14 freely [4] - 10231:2, 10231:7, 10231:14, 10231:21 friend [4] - 10216:16, 10285:4, 10290:14, 10329:2 front [10] - 10202:8, 10268:16, 10274:3, 10274:14, 10281:16, 10296:20, 10296:21, 10335:23, 10338:17, 10341:24 fruitful [1] - 10285:21 fuck [6] - 10196:16, 10196:18, 10197:8,

10200:12, 10210:14, 10213:4 fucking [2] -10206:11, 10217:6 full [5] - 10206:17, 10206:18. 10206:21. 10300:5, 10352:6 fully [6] - 10264:7, 10266:11, 10270:5, 10270:7, 10300:3, 10300:4 fundamentally [3] -10241:19, 10251:7, 10344:21 furtherance [1] -10245:6 future [2] - 10206:15, 10309:4

G gained [1] - 10198:25 game [3] - 10237:10, 10293:12, 10337:11 gamma [1] - 10214:9 garbage [1] -10244:11 gee [1] - 10349:25 general [6] -10243:23, 10272:22, 10347:10, 10347:19, 10347:20, 10347:22 generalized [3] -10205:12, 10257:13, 10258:4 generally [7] -10231:18, 10240:25, 10241:9, 10254:11, 10254:17, 10295:9, 10336:10 generis [1] - 10247:17 gentleman [1] -10203:2 gentlemen [3] -10194:23. 10236:11. 10327:12 girlfriend [6] -10307:19, 10307:25, 10308:3, 10308:4, 10308:5, 10308:13 given [10] - 10198:25, 10201:6, 10218:1, 10221:11, 10228:11, 10253:19, 10255:22, 10287:21, 10321:20, 10349:22 glad [2] - 10194:15, 10194:18

globally [1] - 10205:2

goal [9] - 10318:14,

10318:15, 10318:17, 10318:18, 10318:24, 10319:15, 10319:18, 10319:21, 10321:8 **goals** [2] - 10247:25, 10248:19 Goat [1] - 10199:18 god [1] - 10206:5 goodness [1] -10349:4 gosh [1] - 10287:14 Government [1] -10279:19 government [100] -10202:18, 10203:11, 10203:12, 10216:25, 10217:1, 10217:11, 10217:14, 10220:20, 10223:5, 10223:9, 10226:17, 10234:17, 10240:21, 10243:21, 10245:3, 10246:5, 10246:8, 10248:3, 10249:22, 10250:6, 10250:12, 10251:21, 10251:24, 10254:24, 10255:20. 10257:3. 10257:13, 10260:3, 10270:6, 10271:18, 10271:22, 10272:2, 10272:14, 10273:22, 10274:7, 10275:2, 10275:22, 10277:1, 10281:2, 10287:5, 10287:22, 10291:17, 10292:7, 10292:8, 10297:9, 10297:18, 10302:9, 10302:16, 10303:4, 10303:6, 10303:11, 10303:13, 10303:21, 10304:6, 10310:12, 10310:24, 10315:8, 10326:2, $10326{:}5,\,10328{:}18,$ 10334:20, 10335:21, 10336:6, 10337:4, 10337:9, 10339:19, 10341:2, 10342:13, 10342:20, 10342:25, 10343:7, 10343:13, 10343:14, 10343:18, 10343:21, 10344:4, 10344:10, 10344:11, 10344:15, 10344:19, 10345:2, 10345:13, 10345:23, 10345:24, 10346:18, 10346:21, 10347:4, 10347:16, 10347:22, 10347:25, 10348:4, 10348:8,

10348:10, 10348:14, 10348:17, 10348:20, 10349:25, 10351:6 government's [8] -10303:15, 10310:2, 10339:1, 10340:2, 10344:13. 10345:16. 10346:12. 10346:13 grabbed [1] -10278:22 grant [1] - 10271:23 great [3] - 10244:9, 10244:12, 10268:23 green [1] - 10351:4 Greene [1] - 10251:3 Greene's [1] -10251:12 greeted [1] - 10262:21 grew [1] - 10295:15 Ground [3] -10210:24, 10211:5, 10211:6 ground [7] - 10198:25, 10236:6, 10236:7, 10285:21, 10321:20, 10336:10, 10340:6 grounds [4] -10222:24, 10335:20, 10336:1, 10345:22 groundwork [3] -10255:2, 10255:3, 10255:16 group [17] - 10197:21, 10198:3, 10206:2, 10207:7, 10208:8, 10212:4, 10231:2, 10231:8, 10232:2, 10237:17, 10253:20, 10258:9, 10262:20, 10314:13, 10323:13, 10323:15, 10324:13 **Guard** [1] - 10197:5 guess [11] - 10234:15, 10242:8, 10246:21, 10264:20, 10274:2, 10286:24, 10296:2, 10321:5, 10325:12, 10331:6, 10337:20 guilt [2] - 10241:16, 10268:7 guilty [30] - 10224:15, 10225:11, 10227:18, 10241:11, 10244:7, 10244:8, 10244:9, 10244:10, 10244:11, 10244:12, 10244:22, 10244:23, 10251:8, 10251:9, 10251:10, 10251:11, 10251:13, 10266:7, 10267:3,

10267:23, 10268:2, 10268:6, 10269:9, 10270:1, 10272:25, 10301:10, 10320:25, 10324:4, 10331:14, 10339:9

guns [4] - 10267:1, 10278:14, 10279:6, 10280:6

guy [1] - 10335:22

guys [7] - 10219:3, 10230:25, 10245:20, 10265:12, 10323:13, 10323:15, 10324:13

Н

half [2] - 10200:10, 10215:2 Half [1] - 10200:15 halfway [2] -10200:16, 10215:6 hand [13] - 10204:24, 10220:12, 10222:9, 10224:9, 10226:7, 10226:15, 10257:12, 10282:21, 10339:4, 10346:9, 10349:3, 10349:6 handed [1] - 10333:6 happy [4] - 10221:5, 10253:16, 10282:4, 10293:17 harbor [1] - 10247:7 hard [2] - 10347:21, 10348:10 hard-and-fast [1] -10348:10 Harris [5] - 10194:19, 10279:20, 10281:9, 10305:5, 10306:17 harris [1] - 10314:9 Hassan [2] - 10193:9, 10193:10 HASSAN[1] -10219:12 hassan@ nhassanlaw.com [1] -10193:11 **hat** [1] - 10218:6 Haven [1] - 10193:5 hazy [1] - 10266:4 head [7] - 10276:18, 10279:1, 10317:16, 10330:12, 10334:19, 10347:23, 10350:8 headed [3] - 10250:1, 10260:24, 10340:5 headphones [1] -10281:21

heads [1] - 10303:4 heads-up [1] -10303:4 hear [25] - 10204:21,

10205:5, 10219:19, 10232:1, 10245:2, 10252:16, 10262:17, 10292:19, 10292:20, 10299:7, 10300:17, 10302:10, 10314:17, 10316:8, 10316:13, 10327:4, 10327:7, 10330:1, 10334:14, 10335:8, 10336:6,

10337:4, 10345:1, 10345:2, 10346:8 **heard** [12] - 10215:2, 10226:6, 10226:7,

10228:3, 10232:7, 10248:5, 10262:22, 10280:24, 10281:2, 10286:21, 10316:15,

10328:23

hearing [2] -10316:13, 10334:1 hears [1] - 10334:14

hearsay [9] - 10197:1, 10208:13, 10219:18, 10219:25, 10220:3, 10220:4, 10229:14,

10285:6, 10290:16 **HELD** [1] - 10192:11

held [1] - 10245:15 **help** [5] - 10222:2, 10270:24, 10280:16, 10298:15, 10298:17

helped [2] - 10262:23, 10329:23

hereby [1] - 10352:4 hernandez [1] -10333:7

Hernandez [20] - 10193:6, 10193:7, 10203:21, 10204:5, 10204:9, 10204:21, 10219:21, 10221:7, 10221:12, 10236:2, 10243:7, 10244:15, 10254:22, 10259:6, 10268:14, 10333:6, 10344:1, 10345:1, 10350:3, 10350:12

10344:1, 10345:1, 10350:3, 10350:12 HERNANDEZ [72] -10195:16, 10196:25, 10197:14, 10200:22, 10200:25, 10201:3, 10201:14, 10201:23, 10202:17, 10203:8, 10203:10, 10204:11,

10204:16, 10205:3,

10205:8, 10205:16, 10208:13, 10210:5, 10214:14, 10217:12, 10219:18, 10219:23, 10220:3, 10220:9, 10221:3, 10221:8, 10221:25, 10224:18, 10225:10, 10225:22, 10227:3, 10227:7, 10228:24, 10229:6, 10230:10, 10230:17, 10231:3, 10235:23, 10243:8, 10244:21, 10254:23, 10255:9, 10255:15, 10256:5, 10256:7, 10256:9, 10256:19, 10256:23, 10257:12. 10257:22. 10258:7, 10258:10, 10258:18, 10259:20, 10259:24, 10260:12, 10260:18, 10262:25, 10264:18, 10265:8, 10265:18, 10267:7, 10268:15, 10268:19, 10271:6, 10273:4, 10345:3, 10345:8, 10345:20, 10346:1, 10350:10, 10350:13

Hernandez's [1] -10347:7 Hialeah [1] - 10193:13 hidden [1] - 10222:20

hiden [1] - 10222:20 hide [1] - 10290:14 hiding [1] - 10346:3 Highland [1] - 10193:8 himself [1] - 10208:11 history [1] - 10247:13 hit [1] - 10334:18 hmm [1] - 10316:25 hold [6] - 10232:22,

10285:12, 10344:24 **Hollow** [1] - 10193:7 **home** [6] - 10196:16, 10277:19, 10277:22,

10277:19, 10277:22 10280:6, 10307:22, 10309:15

homed [1] - 10265:13 honest [10] - 10270:5, 10270:8, 10314:2, 10314:4, 10316:1, 10320:8, 10323:9, 10325:10, 10329:5

honesty [1] - 10267:23 Honor [158] - 10194:9, 10194:12, 10194:25, 10195:15, 10196:25, 10200:22, 10200:25, 10205:18, 10214:7, 10214:15, 10219:18,

10221:3, 10224:18, 10225:10, 10225:22, 10227:3, 10228:1, 10229:15, 10230:17, 10233:7, 10233:11, 10233:16, 10233:22, 10233:24, 10234:1, 10234:7, 10234:17, 10235:4, 10235:5, 10236:1, 10237:20, 10237:24, 10238:12, 10240:2, 10241:9, 10243:15, 10252:2, 10254:23, 10257:12, 10258:4, 10258:11, 10262:13, 10262:25, 10264:18, 10266:22, 10267:16. 10267:22. 10268:15, 10269:2, 10271:14, 10273:8, 10273:16, 10274:10, 10274:21, 10275:5,

10275:11, 10280:21, 10280:24, 10281:3, 10281:12, 10282:4, 10284:18, 10285:9, 10285:11, 10285:18, 10285:21, 10286:2, 10286:5, 10286:15, 10286:16, 10286:19, 10287:4, 10287:13, 10287:16, 10287:20, 10287:22, 10288:1,

10288:5, 10289:6,

10289:10, 10290:17,

10291:1, 10291:9, 10291:14, 10292:1, 10292:3, 10292:24, 10299:12, 10299:18, 10302:14, 10302:15, 10302:17, 10302:20, 10303:8, 10303:12, 10303:14, 10303:17, 10303:18, 10303:23, 10304:1, 10304:3,

10304:1, 10304:3, 10304:23, 10306:7, 10306:12, 10311:24, 10314:19, 10314:21, 10315:19, 10322:1, 10325:15, 10325:22, 10326:12, 10326:22,

10326:13, 10326:22, 10326:12, 10326:22, 10327:18, 10328:22, 10328:23, 10329:19, 10330:22, 10332:6, 10333:23, 10334:13, 10336:8, 10337:12, 10340:18, 10341:1, 10341:5, 10342:8,

10342:9, 10342:11,

10342:13, 10342:15,

10343:12, 10343:17, 10344:7, 10344:9, 10344:11, 10344:13, 10344:15, 10344:18, 10344:21, 10344:23, 10345:3, 10346:11, 10346:12, 10346:14, 10346:25, 10347:12, 10347:21, 10348:9, 10348:13, 10348:17, 10350:14 HONORABLE [1] -10192:11 hope [3] - 10206:5, 10270:24, 10308:11 hoped [1] - 10274:4 hopefully [1] -10274:24 hoping [5] - 10270:10, 10270:12, 10270:21, 10270:22, 10273:20 hour [4] - 10280:23, 10293:20, 10299:15 hours [1] - 10348:23 House [2] - 10198:14, 10198:18 house [3] - 10266:12, 10266:13. 10267:1 Hull [2] - 10193:1, 10193:1 hum [10] - 10284:3, 10287:12, 10308:1, 10331:10, 10332:17, 10332:20, 10333:1, 10334:4, 10345:25 human [1] - 10258:14 hunch [1] - 10351:7 hung [2] - 10200:12, 10256:21 hurt [1] - 10262:23 husher [1] - 10281:9 hypothetical [1] -10235:14 hypothetically [1] -10238:6

idea [6] - 10216:25, 10217:1, 10241:20, 10265:2, 10284:21, 10317:24 ideally [1] - 10302:16 identified [1] -10326:2 identify [2] - 10303:10, 10348:10 identifying [1] -10227:12 idioms [1] - 10254:10

II [1] - 10193:15 illegal [2] - 10211:11, 10332:13 image [1] - 10218:2 impeaches [2] -10286:3, 10287:18 impeachment [3] -10311:25, 10335:20, 10340:20 implicit [10] -10233:21, 10239:25, 10240:2, 10246:9, 10248:23, 10249:17, 10252:9, 10252:10, 10252:12, 10257:6 **implicitly** [1] - 10246:1 implying [1] -10242:21 imposing [2] -10343:17, 10350:3 improper [3] -10233:22, 10248:18, 10252:16 imputed [1] -10220:15 **IN** [1] - 10192:1 inaccurate [5] -10287:17, 10287:21, 10330:5, 10334:9, 10334:10 inapposite [1] -10249:22 inappropriate [2] -10241:3, 10251:15 include [1] - 10255:20 including [1] -10257:20 inconsistent [49] -10273:24, 10275:6, 10285:25, 10286:2, 10286:4, 10288:17, 10289:5, 10290:24, 10291:2, 10291:21, 10292:13, 10292:19, 10299:13, 10302:12, 10303:2, 10303:19, 10304:24, 10306:10, 10314:15, 10314:24, 10315:2, 10315:3, 10315:13, 10328:10, 10328:13, 10330:11, 10330:22, 10331:1, 10331:12, 10331:14, 10331:24, 10331:25, 10334:18, 10336:14, 10337:2, 10340:8, 10342:24, 10343:10, 10345:5, 10345:14, 10345:15, 10345:21,

10347:1, 10349:14,

10349:18, 10349:21, 10350:1, 10351:12, 10351:20 INDEX [1] - 10353:1 indicated 191 -10268:12, 10277:17, 10277:24, 10278:2, 10279:4, 10284:7, 10289:24, 10344:9, 10347:5 indicating [2] -10218:6, 10329:25 indicating) [2] -10224:8, 10282:21 individual [5] -10202:7, 10228:3, 10233:3, 10258:14, 10347:11 individuals [4] -10234:11, 10239:22, 10240:14, 10258:10 inducing [1] -10335:25 inevitable [1] -10270:15 inexplicit [4] -10235:7, 10235:19, 10236:4, 10239:14 inference [2] -10226:24, 10245:9 inferences [1] -10245:10 inferentially [1] -10259:18 inferred [1] - 10226:24 inform [1] - 10268:7 information [16] -10270:6, 10270:7, 10276:14, 10277:5, 10287:13, 10287:18, 10287:20, 10314:20, 10315:4, 10316:4, 10316:6, 10320:4, 10321:20, 10332:19, 10332:23, 10344:17 informed [3] -10283:15, 10287:10, 10289:25 informing [3] -10284:21, 10285:3, 10287:5 initial [1] - 10313:25 inner [1] - 10217:3 input [1] - 10215:23 inquiry [1] - 10237:25 inside [1] - 10217:25 insofar [1] - 10203:16 instinct [1] - 10264:20 instructed [1] -10326:5

instruction [29] -10201:4, 10201:5, 10201:6, 10201:21, 10202:9, 10202:11, 10202:12, 10202:21, 10203:3, 10203:24, 10204:8. 10204:17. 10220:7. 10220:10. 10220:24, 10221:9, 10221:14, 10221:16, 10221:18, 10221:22, 10222:6, 10227:23, 10228:5, 10242:17, 10259:8, 10259:12, 10259:22, 10260:11, 10260:19 intend [1] - 10351:19 intends [1] - 10346:23 intent [7] - 10291:22, 10292:11, 10333:10, 10333:12, 10333:15, 10333:21, 10335:6 intention [1] -10351:11 intentionally [2] -10339:22, 10339:24 interactions [4] -10230:15, 10254:6, 10255:1, 10262:19 interest [1] - 10286:13 interfere [2] - 10310:2, 10316:25 interfering [1] -10318:21 interim [1] - 10205:5 interpret [1] -10225:14 interrupt [3] -10273:22, 10273:23, 10275:4 interruption [2] -10281:11, 10306:11 interview [28] -10264:7, 10265:24, 10280:17, 10282:25, 10290:6, 10290:7, 10292:12, 10302:1, 10311:6, 10311:12, 10313:12, 10313:17, 10313:25, 10314:4, 10314:6, 10315:25, 10320:8, 10323:9, 10325:8, 10332:2, 10332:4, 10333:17, 10333:19, 10337:6, 10337:7, 10340:15, 10348:14, 10348:16 interviewed [5] -10277:18, 10277:20, 10277:21, 10280:5,

10332:11 interviewing [2] -10289:17, 10332:13 interviews [3] -10276:19. 10277:5. 10344:14 introduce [1] -10267:23 investigation [1] -10332:11 investigative [1] -10335:24 investigators [6] -10332:12, 10332:14, 10332:24, 10339:6, 10339:7 invited [1] - 10212:8 involve [1] - 10329:3 involved [6] - 10198:3, 10230:21, 10276:8, 10316:20, 10319:25, 10320:25 involves [1] - 10286:9 involving [2] -10301:14, 10322:12 irrelevant [5] -10220:15, 10228:24, 10244:6, 10268:20, 10286:22 issue [20] - 10194:12, 10203:16, 10225:12, 10241:4, 10242:3, 10243:25, 10244:6, 10244:13, 10252:5, 10253:1, 10281:19, 10288:10, 10302:8, 10326:20, 10327:5, 10327:6, 10328:17, 10331:7, 10343:19 issues [1] - 10341:4 iteration [1] - 10204:5 itself [3] - 10217:12, 10283:21, 10283:22 IV [1] - 10193:1

J

Janice [2] - 10193:21, 10352:12 JANICE [1] - 10352:4 Janicedickmandcd @gmail.com [1] -10193:22 January [77] -10195:11, 10196:8, 10198:5, 10199:5, 10201:8, 10201:9, 10206:1, 10206:9, 10206:25, 10207:14, 10208:7, 10208:19, 10209:22, 10210:6, 10211:14, 10212:24, 10213:1, 10213:20, 10216:5, 10218:15, 10218:25, 10219:5, 10219:7, 10219:25, 10223:25, 10224:13, 10228:18, 10244:12, 10263:7, 10263:8, 10264:8, 10266:1, 10278:15, 10279:10, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10283:20, 10284:1, 10285:15, 10286:6, 10286:14, 10289:18, 10290:5, 10290:11, 10293:4, 10293:8, 10293:13, 10293:20, 10294:8, 10294:17, 10294:19, 10294:23, 10295:6, 10295:18, 10295:21, 10296:4, 10296:8, 10296:13, 10296:25, 10297:5, 10297:13, 10297:17, 10297:24, 10297:25, 10301:15, 10305:18, 10305:19, 10314:13, 10314:23, 10316:18, 10324:5, 10324:6, 10324:8, 10324:11, 10324:12, 10326:13 jargon [2] - 10234:4, 10252:11 **Jason** [1] - 10192:14 jason.mccullough2 @usdoj.gov [1] -10192:16

10353:3

jerk [1] - 10337:3

job [1] - 10328:5

John [1] - 10193:1

Johnny [4] - 10206:16,
10209:5, 10210:19,
10212:5

joke [1] - 10350:14

joking [1] - 10350:13

Jonah [3] - 10223:3,
10223:4, 10223:6

Joseph [1] - 10192:6

Journalist [1] -

Jauregui [2] -

com [1] - 10193:3

10341:3

10210:10

jeopardizing [1] -

10193:12. 10193:12

jdhull@hullmcguire.

Jeremy [1] - 10266:15

JEREMY [2] - 10195:1,

judge [6] - 10231:11, 10267:5, 10268:16, 10271:3, 10271:24 Judge [19] - 10192:11, 10192:12, 10232:7, 10232:23, 10249:14, 10249:16, 10251:13, 10265:19, 10267:6, 10271:4, 10271:24, 10272:6, 10338:19, 10338:25, 10348:15, 10349:4, 10350:1, 10350:5, 10350:19 **jump** [1] - 10342:18 jumping [1] - 10233:4 June [9] - 10311:3, 10311:12, 10313:16, 10314:4, 10314:6, 10315:25, 10320:8, 10320:9, 10323:6 jurisdiction [1] -10268:11 jurors [4] - 10194:21, 10236:17, 10262:9, 10327:16 jury [34] - 10194:6, 10194:9, 10194:19, 10221:20, 10226:11, 10228:2, 10228:7, 10233:14, 10234:23, 10236:8, 10238:14, 10240:7, 10241:4, 10241:12, 10242:3, 10242:12, 10242:15, 10251:7, 10252:16, 10259:14, 10259:17, 10262:5, 10268:7, 10274:20, 10279:21, 10281:17, 10281:25, 10282:3, 10327:3, 10331:12, 10334:5, 10335:21, 10336:1, 10341:25 JURY [2] - 10192:5, 10192:10

Κ

jury's [1] - 10349:16

keep [4] - 10199:10, 10312:17, 10341:17 Kelly [3] - 10267:6, 10271:4, 10271:24 KELLY [1] - 10192:11 KENERSON [112] -10194:8, 10194:11, 10194:25, 10195:3, 10195:18, 10196:1, 10196:10, 10196:13, 10197:3, 10197:18,

10197:20, 10198:7, 10198:11, 10199:7, 10199:10, 10199:13, 10199:14, 10204:3, 10205:18, 10205:23, 10207:2, 10207:6, 10208:2, 10208:5, 10208:15, 10209:24, 10210:2, 10210:3, 10210:8, 10212:18, 10212:22, 10214:7, 10214:17, 10214:19, 10217:19, 10218:22, 10219:15, 10220:22, 10221:5, 10223:14, 10223:16, 10223:21, 10225:7, 10227:16, 10228:17, 10229:1, 10229:4, 10229:8, 10229:18, 10230:2, 10230:13, 10231:1, 10231:6, 10231:19, 10237:4, 10237:14, 10252:2, 10253:4, 10253:8, 10253:25, 10254:4, 10262:13, 10262:14, 10263:3, 10263:12, 10264:22, 10265:15, 10265:23, 10266:6, 10266:25, 10267:9, 10267:11, 10267:16, 10269:2, 10269:6, 10270:20, 10271:7, 10271:21, 10272:10, 10273:8, 10274:10, 10274:13, 10280:24, 10281:8, 10281:10, 10281:13, 10285:6, 10288:1, 10288:8, 10290:16, 10290:22, 10291:3, 10298:20, 10298:25, 10299:2, 10301:25, 10305:23, 10306:9, 10312:2, 10312:20, 10314:15, 10315:2, 10320:11, 10321:24, 10322:24, 10324:22, 10325:1, 10325:22, 10325:25, 10336:8, 10336:20, 10340:4 Kenerson [29] -10192:15, 10194:24, 10202:4, 10204:1, 10222:1, 10225:1, 10225:17, 10226:1, 10227:15, 10228:13, 10233:9, 10234:10, 10237:2, 10238:2, 10257:18, 10262:1, 10262:12, 10268:25,

10274:22, 10287:25, 10288:7, 10290:20, 10315:1, 10315:11, 10326:17, 10326:23, 10327:22, 10346:17, 10353:3 kenerson [3] -10223:12. 10253:23. 10326:11 kept [1] - 10265:12 kicks [1] - 10341:7 killed [1] - 10215:16 **kilo** [1] - 10214:9 kind [22] - 10217:16, 10227:5, 10227:11, 10238:24, 10238:25, 10239:21, 10240:13, 10240:18, 10254:5, 10261:8, 10267:24, 10291:19, 10291:20, 10291:22, 10303:15, 10318:19, 10322:12, 10341:7, 10341:15, 10341:23, 10341:25, 10346:14 kinds [4] - 10203:15, 10222:20. 10288:12 knee [1] - 10337:3 knife [2] - 10332:25, 10333:4 knowingly [2] -10276:13, 10277:5 knowledge [1] -10304:18 known [4] - 10269:16, 10287:15, 10348:4, 10348:7 knows [7] - 10251:19, 10309:12, 10334:20, 10340:24, 10346:12, 10346:14 Kuznetsov [2] -10199:16. 10200:7

L

labeled [1] - 10269:21 lack [1] - 10263:19 ladies [3] - 10194:23, 10236:11, 10327:12 laid [6] - 10202:9, 10255:2, 10255:15, 10300:8, 10309:6, 10318:14 laid-out [2] - 10309:6, 10318:14 **Lake** [1] - 10193:18 Lakes [1] - 10193:11 language [2] -10217:8, 10269:23

large [1] - 10350:19 last [12] - 10203:1, 10227:8, 10246:6, 10256:20, 10313:16, 10314:3, 10325:1, 10326:1, 10327:23, 10343:14, 10343:25, 10348:23 late [2] - 10345:11, 10345:12 **laurel** [1] - 10218:7 laurels [4] - 10218:5, 10218:8, 10218:10, 10218:12 **Law** [4] - 10193:7, 10193:10, 10193:12, 10193:18 law [22] - 10202:16, 10203:25, 10204:7, 10204:10, 10215:14, 10215:20, 10216:1, 10225:21, 10242:19, 10245:4, 10245:12, 10266:20, 10267:22, 10286:25, 10296:25, 10297:5, 10297:17, 10301:11, 10310:22, 10339:17, 10339:25 lawful [1] - 10339:21 lawfully [1] - 10202:14 lawmakers [1] -10215:22 laws [2] - 10215:20, 10215:24 lawyer [8] - 10251:10, 10264:2, 10264:5, 10270:1, 10272:12, 10322:22, 10339:12, 10339:13 lawyers [3] - 10233:3, 10249:21, 10252:10 lay [7] - 10221:5, 10252:7. 10253:16. 10269:18. 10271:16. 10307:21, 10309:13 layman's [1] - 10270:3 layperson [1] -10242:9 lead [3] - 10228:18, 10242:25, 10271:20 lead-up [1] - 10228:18 leader [1] - 10207:19 **Leaders** [2] - 10213:1, 10263:5 leaders [12] -10228:19, 10229:19, 10231:20, 10232:2, 10237:17, 10254:8,

10254:18, 10262:16,

10263:21, 10296:15,

10296:16, 10296:19 leadership [7] -10217:22, 10217:24, 10230:5, 10253:3, 10253:10, 10253:12, 10253:18 leading [7] - 10195:15, 10195:17, 10228:23, 10261:3, 10261:5, 10266:2, 10297:13 leads [2] - 10242:16, 10255:3 learned [1] - 10289:1 least [13] - 10230:12, 10237:3, 10242:22, 10257:3, 10260:5, 10260:6, 10274:6, 10275:2, 10315:12, 10315:13, 10343:1, 10343:6 leave [5] - 10236:17, 10274:15, 10327:16, 10327:21, 10331:11 led [3] - 10230:14, 10230:15, 10262:20 leeway [1] - 10261:20 left [12] - 10205:19, 10206:15, 10211:3, 10212:4, 10237:11, 10256:25, 10257:1, 10257:4, 10261:1, 10278:23, 10294:16, 10294:18 legal [31] - 10224:22, 10224:23, 10225:6, 10225:16, 10226:4, 10226:9, 10228:14, 10232:21, 10233:13, 10233:16, 10233:24, 10234:1, 10234:4, 10234:5, 10235:12, 10235:20, 10238:4, 10239:9, 10240:3, 10240:9, 10243:23, 10244:4, 10245:14, 10246:2, 10252:7, 10252:10, 10266:23, 10271:15, 10277:8, 10295:14 legally [1] - 10225:2 Leo [2] - 10199:16, 10200:7 less [6] - 10195:13, 10195:19. 10195:24. 10244:8. 10314:2. 10350:23 leverage [1] -10331:23 lie [2] - 10313:24, 10332:13

10335:22, 10337:10 light [3] - 10214:9, 10220:14, 10287:16 Lightyear [3] -10346:15, 10346:16 likely [1] - 10231:12 limiting [22] - 10201:4, 10201:6, 10201:20, 10202:9, 10202:11, 10202:12, 10202:20, 10203:3, 10203:24, 10204:8, 10204:17, 10220:6, 10220:10, 10220:24, 10221:9, 10221:14, 10222:5, 10228:5, 10259:7, 10260:10, 10260:19 line [15] - 10237:24, 10255:6, 10274:18, 10282:19, 10282:20, 10284:13, 10298:13, 10298:20, 10298:25, 10301:25, 10304:8, 10326:2, 10341:18, 10343:8 lines [19] - 10223:16, 10237:13, 10243:8, 10251:17, 10263:17, 10288:13, 10296:21, 10302:2, 10305:22, 10305:23, 10310:25, 10311:17, 10314:7, 10320:9, 10320:11, 10323:6, 10325:9, 10340:11, 10340:14 linked [2] - 10279:10, 10291:21 **linking** [1] - 10290:9 list [1] - 10205:11 **Listen** [1] - 10249:19 listen [2] - 10249:19, 10300:20 listener [5] - 10333:8, 10333:10, 10333:12, 10333:16, 10333:21 literally [1] - 10205:11 live [1] - 10232:9 Livingston [1] -10193:19 **LLC** [1] - 10193:4 LOL [1] - 10212:6 look [21] - 10203:14, 10208:16, 10226:5, 10228:11, 10249:24, 10256:24, 10259:12, 10260:15, 10261:20, 10292:13, 10298:13, 10303:21, 10315:7, 10328:3, 10331:20,

lied [3] - 10335:16,

10331:22, 10332:14, 10340:16, 10342:3, 10348:20, 10351:4 looked [6] - 10205:9, 10224:21, 10230:5, 10230:6, 10230:19, 10350:6 looking [10] -10202:14, 10221:13, 10265:17, 10294:15, 10294:22, 10295:2, 10302:18, 10303:16, 10304:7, 10305:21 love [1] - 10264:21 loved [1] - 10264:24 loyalty [1] - 10230:7 ludicrous [1] -10348:15 lunch [1] - 10195:6 **lurking** [1] - 10247:23 lying [1] - 10297:20

M

Main [2] - 10197:22, 10207:8 main [1] - 10252:5 man [1] - 10226:17 manage [2] -10342:22, 10348:25 management [1] -10343:9 maneuvered[1] -10344:2 March [13] - 10263:24, 10282:25, 10283:3, 10298:9, 10298:21, 10302:1, 10304:7, 10305:22, 10310:24, 10313:12, 10314:1, 10325:8 marching [5] -10325:11, 10326:14, 10329:8. 10330:24. 10338:3 marked [2] - 10280:22 match [1] - 10326:6 matches [1] - 10326:1 material [1] - 10222:19 matter [12] - 10194:3, 10259:5, 10266:20, 10272:5, 10282:3, 10292:6, 10331:17, 10331:18, 10331:19, 10332:5, 10334:15, 10335:7 matters [1] - 10330:14 Matthew [2] - 10251:3, 10251:12 mcCullough [2] -

10340:17, 10347:13 McCullough [9] -10192:14, 10237:16, 10340:18, 10341:17, 10341:19, 10341:22, 10344:23, 10346:11, 10347:10 McGuire [1] - 10193:1 MD[1] - 10193:8 mean [76] - 10200:10, 10200:15, 10202:17, 10202:21, 10202:25, 10203:19, 10204:22, 10205:8, 10205:10, 10206:20, 10215:5, 10215:13, 10215:19, 10220:3, 10220:22, 10220:23, 10221:2, 10222:7, 10222:18, 10223:18, 10225:5, 10225:14, 10227:17, 10232:24, 10238:18, 10238:25, 10242:10, 10244:19, 10246:15, 10248:22, 10249:14, 10250:6, 10252:19, 10253:5, 10253:8, 10253:11, 10254:5, 10256:16, 10256:18, 10261:5, 10270:13, 10274:2, 10281:21, 10286:23, 10300:22, 10309:1, 10309:11, 10315:7, 10317:21, 10318:19, 10323:3, 10325:9, 10326:16, 10326:17, 10329:24, 10330:7, 10331:16, 10332:12, 10333:4, 10334:25, 10335:2, 10335:18, 10336:3, 10337:20, 10339:16, 10339:18, 10339:25, 10341:22, 10342:20, 10345:12, 10349:21, 10350:23, 10351:5 meaning [4] -10215:14, 10252:7, 10268:23 means [6] - 10206:21, 10211:24, 10211:25, 10247:9, 10256:12, 10321:11 meant [2] - 10255:5, 10285:1 measures [3] -10200:10, 10200:15, 10215:2

media [5] - 10325:10,

10326:14, 10329:7,

meet [8] - 10226:11, 10250:2, 10255:9, 10264:4, 10270:5, 10299:6, 10300:7, 10300:14 meeting [10] -10198:14, 10234:9, 10239:8, 10240:8, 10242:15, 10242:19, 10245:25, 10278:18, 10278:20, 10301:20 meetings [5] -10243:19, 10275:25, 10276:2, 10276:4, 10276.8 meets [1] - 10202:23 member [1] -10207:21 members [6] -10231:16, 10231:18, 10263:21, 10318:21, 10319:4, 10322:16 members' [1] -10231:13 memory [4] - 10237:4, 10265:25, 10266:4, 10313:25 men [1] - 10230:5 mention [1] - 10259:3 mentioned [4] -10218:4, 10259:4, 10265:1, 10304:17 mercifully [1] -10337:23 mere [1] - 10337:14 merely [1] - 10204:11 mess [2] - 10260:16, 10260:19 message [23] -10196:14, 10196:23, 10197:4, 10197:9, 10197:21, 10198:12, 10199:15, 10200:6, 10201:7, 10206:4, 10206:6, 10206:16, 10206:24, 10207:9, 10207:11, 10209:5, 10210:4, 10210:9, 10210:10, 10210:20, 10210:23, 10210:25, 10213:2 messages [11] -10196:6, 10200:1, 10200:5, 10200:13, 10205:24, 10205:25, 10208:11, 10255:1, 10255:11, 10255:12, 10255:13 messaging [2] -

10330:24, 10338:2

10243:17, 10257:24 METCALF[13] -10195:15, 10195:22, 10219:13, 10228:1, 10228:23, 10229:14, 10229:24, 10231:16, 10263:9. 10266:2. 10266:22. 10271:14. 10280:2 Metcalf [3] - 10193:15, 10193:15 Miami [5] - 10193:11, 10218:25, 10219:2, 10219:3, 10223:24 mic [1] - 10247:14 Michael [2] -10196:24, 10197:4 microphone [1] -10335:9 middle [7] - 10205:15, 10222:4, 10292:12, 10333:14, 10333:15, 10342:9, 10345:13 might [21] - 10222:13, 10222:20, 10228:25, 10243:1, 10248:4, 10256:1, 10256:2, 10274:19, 10279:5, 10279:6, 10279:11, 10280:9, 10280:19, 10283:19, 10283:25, 10290:5, 10309:20, 10317:13, 10347:19, 10351:13 military [1] - 10326:25 million [1] - 10209:9 mind [29] - 10201:19, 10214:5, 10216:24, 10220:14, 10220:23, 10221:15, 10221:17, 10221:20, 10221:23, 10222:16, 10234:8, 10247:19, 10250:15, 10259:13, 10259:18, 10285:9, 10285:14, 10285:24, 10286:25, 10310:2, 10321:8, 10326:4, 10326:17, 10329:1, 10329:4, 10331:15, 10333:19, 10335:6, 10338:10 minds [6] - 10234:9, 10239:8, 10240:9, 10242:15, 10242:20, 10245:25 mindset [2] - 10206:8, 10216:5 minimum [2] -10219:22, 10220:9 Ministry [7] -

10197:22, 10207:8, 10228:19, 10229:20, 10231:20, 10254:8, 10262:16 Mink [1] - 10193:7 minute [6] - 10236:8, 10236:12, 10253:25, 10277:3, 10325:16 minutes [14] -10236:13, 10258:22, 10280:23, 10299:15, 10305:5, 10306:8, 10306:16, 10311:25, 10315:23, 10324:20, 10327:4, 10343:15 misinforms [1] -10251:7 misleading [1] -10331:12 missing [1] - 10335:11 mistake [1] - 10281:12 misunderstood [1] -10199:11 mobilizing [1] -10197:6 modify [1] - 10202:24 moment [10] -10197:16, 10200:14, 10206:14, 10253:1, 10263:23, 10273:12, 10283:12, 10285:18, 10330:21, 10351:14 monitor [1] - 10282:12 month [1] - 10266:9 months [2] -10255:12, 10333:19 monument [3] -10299:6, 10300:7, 10301:20 Monument [4] -10300:15, 10301:9, 10301:15, 10314:13 moods [1] - 10297:10 Moore [1] - 10192:20 morning [9] - 10205:6, 10301:15, 10307:20, 10308:8, 10327:8, 10327:14, 10328:20, 10345:11, 10350:5 **MoSD** [18] - 10198:12, 10206:3, 10207:19, 10208:9, 10209:1, 10211:16, 10213:1, 10232:2, 10237:17, 10253:3, 10253:18, 10254:18, 10263:4, 10263:18, 10263:21, 10296:15, 10296:16, 10296:19 most [7] - 10195:12,

10201:6, 10229:21, 10230:8, 10243:18, 10251:1, 10260:23 mother [2] - 10256:17, 10256:18 motion [6] - 10224:9, 10271:9, 10271:19, 10271:22, 10272:2, 10272:14 mouth [1] - 10261:7 **move** [18] - 10196:10, 10198:7, 10199:7, 10207:2, 10208:2, 10212:18, 10214:8, 10229:15, 10230:10, 10267:16, 10269:2, 10270:16, 10273:10, 10292:15, 10292:22, 10302:16, 10303:12, 10340:19 moved [1] - 10205:19 moving [6] -10224:10, 10299:12, 10302:15, 10302:20, 10304:23, 10306:7 MR [331] - 10194:8, 10194:11, 10194:16, 10194:25, 10195:3, 10195:15, 10195:18, 10195:22, 10196:1, 10196:10, 10196:13, 10197:3, 10197:18, 10197:20, 10198:7, 10198:11, 10199:7, 10199:10, 10199:13, 10199:14, 10204:3, 10205:18, 10205:23, 10207:2, 10207:6, 10208:2, 10208:5, 10208:15, 10209:24, 10210:2, 10210:3, 10210:8, 10212:18, 10212:22, 10214:7, 10214:17, 10214:19, 10217:19, 10218:20, 10218:22, 10219:12, 10219:13, 10219:15, 10220:13, 10220:22, 10221:5, 10222:10, 10222:13, 10223:11, 10223:14, 10223:16, 10223:21, 10224:22, 10225:7, 10226:6, 10226:13, 10227:16, 10228:1, 10228:17, 10228:22, 10228:23, 10228:25, 10229:1,

10229:4, 10229:8,

10229:14, 10229:18,

10229:24, 10230:2,

10230:13, 10231:1, 10231:6, 10231:16, 10231:19, 10232:7, 10232:9, 10232:18, 10232:20, 10232:21, 10232:23, 10233:2, 10233:7, 10233:22, 10234:7, 10234:17, 10234:20, 10234:23, 10235:4, 10235:12, 10236:1, 10237:4, 10237:14, 10237:20, 10238:2, 10238:12, 10239:5, 10239:19, 10239:23, 10240:2, 10240:6, 10240:20, 10241:3, 10241:7, 10241:15, 10242:1, 10242:5, 10242:12, 10242:25, 10245:4, 10246:11, 10246:14, 10246:22, 10247:3, 10247:11, 10247:15, 10247:21, 10248:4, 10248:10, 10249:2, 10249:9, 10249:11, 10249:14, 10249:20, 10250:21, 10251:1, 10252:2, 10253:4, 10253:8, 10253:25, 10254:4, 10262:13, 10262:14, 10263:3, 10263:9, 10263:12, 10264:22, 10265:15, 10265:19, 10265:23, 10266:2, 10266:6, 10266:22, 10266:25, 10267:9, 10267:11, 10267:16, 10267:18, 10267:22, 10269:2, 10269:6, 10270:16, 10270:20, 10271:7, 10271:14, 10271:21, 10272:6, 10272:10, 10273:8, 10273:16, 10273:25, 10274:10, 10274:13, 10274:21, 10275:5, 10275:11, 10275:15, 10280:2, 10280:3, 10280:21, 10280:24, 10281:3, 10281:8, 10281:10, 10281:12, 10281:13, 10282:4, 10282:10, 10282:13, 10282:15, 10282:17, 10283:3, 10283:5, 10284:18, 10284:19, 10285:6, 10285:8, 10285:11, 10285:13, 10286:1, 10286:8, 10287:2,

10287:4, 10287:10, 10287:13, 10288:1, 10288:4, 10288:8, 10288:20, 10288:22, 10289:6, 10289:8, 10289:14, 10290:16, 10290:17, 10290:22, 10291:1, 10291:3, 10291:9, 10291:14, 10291:25, 10292:24, 10293:2, 10298:20, 10298:21, 10298:23, 10298:25, 10299:1, 10299:2, 10299:12, 10299:18, 10299:20, 10301:25, 10302:1, 10302:3, 10302:14, 10303:8, 10303:23, 10304:1, 10304:3, 10304:6, 10304:9, 10304:23, 10305:3, 10305:5, 10305:8, 10305:23, 10305:24, 10305:25, 10306:7, 10306:9, 10306:12, 10306:15, 10306:20, 10311:5, 10311:8, 10311:11, 10311:24, 10312:2, 10312:20, 10312:21, 10312:24, 10314:9, 10314:11, 10314:15, 10314:16, 10314:19, 10315:2, 10315:19, 10315:21, 10320:11, 10320:12, 10320:13, 10321:24, 10322:1, 10322:7, 10322:10, 10322:24, 10323:1, 10324:22, 10324:23, 10325:1, 10325:3, 10325:15, 10325:19, 10325:22, 10325:25, 10326:11, 10326:22, 10327:9, 10327:18, 10327:22, 10328:4, 10328:22, 10329:1, 10329:16, 10330:3, 10330:22, 10331:5, 10331:11, 10331:19, 10331:21, 10332:6, 10332:10, 10332:18, 10332:21, 10333:2, 10333:7, 10333:23, 10334:5, 10335:10, 10336:8, 10336:20, 10337:12, 10337:19, 10338:1, 10338:5, 10338:7, 10338:10, 10338:18, 10338:25, 10339:5, 10340:4, 10340:18,

10341:17, 10341:19, 10341:21, 10341:22, 10342:8, 10342:17, 10343:12, 10344:6, 10344:9, 10344:23, 10346:11, 10347:12, 10347:16, 10347:21, 10350:22, 10351:4, 10351:13, 10351:17 MS [72] - 10195:16, 10196:25, 10197:14, 10200:22, 10200:25, 10201:3, 10201:14, 10201:23, 10202:17, 10203:8, 10203:10, 10204:11, 10204:16, 10205:3, 10205:8, 10205:16, 10208:13, 10210:5, 10214:14, 10217:12, 10219:18, 10219:23, 10220:3, 10220:9, 10221:3, 10221:8, 10221:25, 10224:18, 10225:10, 10225:22, 10227:3, 10227:7, 10228:24, 10229:6, 10230:10, 10230:17, 10231:3, 10235:23, 10243:8, 10244:21, 10254:23, 10255:9, 10255:15, 10256:5, 10256:7, 10256:9, 10256:19, 10256:23, 10257:12, 10257:22, 10258:7, 10258:10, 10258:18, 10259:20, 10259:24, 10260:12, 10260:18, 10262:25, 10264:18, 10265:8, 10265:18, 10267:7, 10268:15, 10268:19, 10271:6, 10273:4, 10345:3, 10345:8, 10345:20, 10346:1, 10350:10, 10350:13 MT[1] - 10193:19 Mulroe [1] - 10192:17 multiple [3] -10243:15, 10257:23, 10313:24 murder [2] - 10241:11, 10332:10 must [2] - 10223:6, 10348:10

N

N.W [1] - 10352:13 **Nadia** [1] - 10192:20

nadia.moore@usdoj. **gov** [1] - 10192:22 named [1] - 10196:24 nation [1] - 10197:11 **National** [1] - 10197:5 natural [1] - 10264:20 nature [16] - 10229:22, 10230:3, 10235:1, 10235:2, 10235:9, 10240:23, 10243:20, 10244:5, 10245:17, 10254:24, 10256:2, 10256:5, 10256:6, 10257:16, 10338:20, 10342:14 Nayib [2] - 10193:9, 10193:10 nds@ davidbsmithpllc.com [1] - 10192:25 necessarily [1] -10309:9 necessary [5] -10228:10, 10228:12, 10245:18, 10263:14, 10321:11 need [34] - 10200:12, 10206:11, 10210:24, 10225:17, 10225:18, 10228:3, 10232:4, 10233:9, 10236:14, 10237:17, 10237:21, 10237:22, 10238:16, 10238:20, 10239:1, 10268:15, 10275:8, 10281:9, 10302:19, 10303:10, 10303:15, 10309:22, 10319:14, 10321:7, 10322:21, 10329:10, 10350:11, 10350:12, 10350:15, 10351:17 needed [4] - 10212:9, 10249:17, 10319:14, 10319:17 needs [4] - 10201:6, 10213:4, 10321:17, 10339:5 Neegan [1] - 10196:15 **never** [16] - 10207:18, 10207:20, 10207:22, 10215:25, 10229:10, 10234:14, 10247:6,

10255:12, 10255:13,

10256:12, 10279:15,

10300:9, 10300:10,

10322:13, 10340:6,

New [9] - 10192:18,

10192:25, 10193:5,

10341:7

10211:16, 10266:16 new [1] - 10228:13 next [5] - 10211:13, 10255:17, 10284:13, 10314:19, 10343:4 Nicholas [1] -10192:23 Nick [6] - 10210:10, 10210:11, 10210:12, 10210:16, 10275:18 night [3] - 10214:3, 10345:13, 10346:4 Noblebeard [1] -10210:25 nobody [3] -10229:11, 10307:5, 10321:2 none [3] - 10201:8, 10298:7, 10342:15 nonetheless [2] -10224:15, 10232:15 nonleading [1] -10225:19 nonresponsive [2] -10229:16, 10270:17 Nordean [19] -10192:6, 10192:23, 10194:4, 10213:19, 10259:1, 10274:23, 10275:19, 10280:22, 10282:13, 10282:14, 10298:21, 10299:14, 10305:3, 10306:8, 10314:9, 10315:22, 10324:20, 10325:15, 10353:13 normal [1] - 10281:23 Norman [1] - 10193:3 note [4] - 10204:3, 10325:25, 10333:6, 10343:12 notes [3] - 10248:8, 10345:9, 10352:6 nothing [18] -10198:21, 10198:24, 10200:10, 10200:15, 10200:17, 10215:2, 10247:12, 10247:16, 10259:24, 10272:15, 10272:17, 10274:3, 10310:7, 10339:10, 10339:16, 10339:24, 10340:22, 10343:25 **notice** [3] - 10312:17, 10349:4, 10351:6 November [2] -10344:12, 10348:18

npattis@

10193:16, 10198:12,

10206:3, 10208:9,

pattisandsmith.com [1] - 10193·5 nuke [4] - 10211:23, 10211:24. 10212:6. 10212:10 number [22] -10210:16, 10243:12, 10243:14, 10247:7, 10255:12, 10258:25, 10260:21, 10260:22, 10274:22, 10274:23, 10275:7, 10276:5, 10284:17, 10284:18, 10291:18, 10291:19, 10303:14, 10314:8, 10326:2, 10326:6, 10326:7 numbers [2] -10205:11, 10343:8 NW [4] - 10192:15, 10192:18, 10193:2, 10193:10 NY [3] - 10192:21, 10192:25, 10193:16

0

o'clock [5] - 10342:25, 10343:8, 10350:6, 10350:17, 10351:21 oath [1] - 10297:3 **object** [10] - 10204:4, 10257:22, 10258:4, 10261:11, 10275:9, 10302:11, 10302:13, 10306:9, 10325:1, 10345:22 objected [5] -10201:1, 10237:3, 10248:4, 10249:25, 10288:9 objecting [1] -10241:20 objection [62] -10195:15, 10195:16, 10195:22, 10197:1, 10197:14, 10202:19, 10208:13, 10210:5, 10214:14, 10214:16, 10218:20, 10219:12, 10224:18, 10225:16, 10225:23, 10228:9, 10228:22, 10229:6, 10229:14, 10229:24, 10230:10, 10231:3, 10231:16, 10232:7, 10237:20, 10240:20, 10248:20, 10250:4, 10252:6, 10257:1, 10261:2, 10261:5,

10225:4

10262:1

10252:22

opening [1] - 10348:3

opine [2] - 10252:21,

operating [1] -

10262:4, 10262:11, 10263:2, 10263:9, 10264:18, 10265:8, 10265:18, 10265:19, 10266:2, 10266:22, 10267:7, 10267:18, 10270:16, 10271:6, 10271:14, 10272:6, 10272:7, 10273:4, 10285:6, 10289:9, 10290:16, 10291:15, 10306:14, 10312:20, 10314:15, 10321:24, 10322:24, 10343:24 objectionable [5] -10227:5, 10227:11, 10240:19, 10262:3, 10268:24 objections [2] -10344:1, 10348:23 objective [3] -10224:21, 10301:18, 10321:19 **objects** [1] - 10224:22 observations [2] -10249:3, 10249:6 obviously [12] -10200:17, 10240:16, 10253:12, 10265:11, 10274:14, 10302:17, 10306:22, 10310:17, 10311:20, 10312:11, 10323:23, 10341:15 occasion [4] -10296:23, 10298:8. 10330:16, 10349:2 occasions [2] -10298:3, 10313:24 occult [2] - 10232:12, 10248:21 occur [2] - 10322:3, 10322:17 Ochs [3] - 10210:10, 10210:11, 10210:12 October [1] -10266:10 **OF** [3] - 10192:1, 10192:10, 10352:2 Offense [3] -10272:19, 10272:21, 10272:24 offense [2] -10276:16, 10277:6 offensively [1] -10268:6 offer [1] - 10285:23 offered [9] - 10261:7, 10285:8, 10285:19, 10286:15, 10286:16, 10290:18, 10291:1,

10292:4, 10292:5 offering [1] - 10286:10 Office [2] - 10192:20, 10193:7 Offices [1] - 10193:10 OFFICIAL [1] -10352.2 Official [1] - 10352:12 often [3] - 10263:4, 10330:10, 10346:19 old [2] - 10217:15, 10335:10 once [6] - 10200:1, 10204:19, 10289:1, 10293:17, 10307:1, 10324:2 one [64] - 10194:8, 10199:18, 10202:13, 10202:17, 10202:23, 10203:6, 10203:7, 10203:19, 10210:12, 10210:16, 10210:24, 10213:5, 10221:21, 10222:4, 10233:8, 10233:14, 10234:12, 10235:7, 10235:10, 10242:17, 10243:13, 10251:1, 10251:2, 10251:3, 10253:1, 10253:25, 10254:10, 10254:16, 10257:4, 10258:9, 10258:13, 10259:18, 10260:21, 10261:10, 10261:18, 10271:25, 10274:13, 10274:21, 10284:12, 10286:5, 10290:9, 10291:18, 10294:6, 10302:6, 10306:9, 10306:13, 10313:6, 10313:11, 10313:16, 10314:2, 10316:8, 10319:21, 10320:25, 10321:14, 10325:4, 10326:6, 10326:19, 10332:6, 10340:14, 10341:18, 10344:6, 10346:9, 10347:25, 10348:1 ones [2] - 10201:1, 10314:3 open [15] - 10202:12, 10203:23, 10205:17, 10223:20, 10225:4, 10228:16, 10236:10, 10269:1, 10275:13, 10282:7, 10289:13, 10293:1, 10304:5, 10315:20, 10327:11

open-ended [1] -

opinion [20] - 10216:1, 10243:10, 10243:12, 10243:22, 10243:23, 10243:25, 10244:4, 10252:16, 10256:3, 10256:7, 10256:13, 10260:15, 10260:18, 10283:19, 10283:21, 10283:22, 10287:17, 10289:18, 10290:5 opinions [1] - 10243:9 opponent [3] -10219:22, 10220:2, 10220:21 opportunity [3] -10292:20, 10303:6, 10344:3 opposed [1] - 10253:3 option [2] - 10294:16, 10294:18 Orange [1] - 10193:4 order [6] - 10204:19, 10237:22, 10303:21, 10340:19, 10342:22 ordered [3] -10220:18, 10222:23, 10342:10 ordering [1] -10303:22 ordinarily [1] -10345:22 organized [1] -10340:23 original [2] -10323:19, 10323:21 originally [2] -10278:22, 10323:13 others' [2] - 10230:7, 10239:4 otherwise [2] -10241:2, 10348:5 ought [1] - 10223:2 ourselves [2] -10196:22, 10209:15 out-of-court [1] -10214:15 outcome [2] -10272:1, 10272:13 outline [1] - 10237:6 outside [3] -10206:13, 10236:15, 10292:15 oven [1] - 10309:15 overnight [1] -

10205:7 overrule [2] - 10229:2, 10306:14 overruled [27] -10195:23. 10197:2. 10197:15, 10208:14, 10210:7, 10214:16, 10217:13, 10218:21, 10219:14, 10224:19, 10229:7, 10229:17, 10230:1, 10230:11, 10230:18, 10231:5, 10263:2, 10263:10, 10264:19, 10265:9, 10265:21, 10266:3, 10266:24, 10267:8, 10271:17, 10273:6, 10312:23 own [4] - 10252:17, 10252:18, 10261:7, 10344:13 owned [1] - 10278:1 owns [2] - 10211:18, 10211:20

P.A [2] - 10193:10, 10193:12 **P.C** [1] - 10193:15 **p.m** [8] - 10192:8, 10196:15, 10196:24, 10198:13, 10200:9, 10206:4, 10206:9, 10206:16 page [26] - 10238:21, 10274:18, 10274:22, 10282:21. 10283:2. 10283:3. 10284:17. 10284:18, 10298:20, 10298:22, 10301:25, 10302:1, 10303:14, 10304:8, 10305:22, 10310:25, 10311:4, 10311:17, 10314:7, 10320:9, 10320:12, 10323:6, 10325:9, 10326:1, 10337:1, 10343:8 pain [1] - 10298:6 paint [1] - 10223:6 Palace [1] - 10284:25 Panman [2] - 10213:3, 10213:18 paragraph [5] -10269:7, 10269:14, 10269:21, 10284:13, 10284:20 Park [1] - 10193:16 part [13] - 10195:12,

10246:15, 10272:18,
10272:23, 10289:20,
10290:9, 10300:6, 10300:18, 10300:19,
10326:17, 10329:18,
10331:11
partial [1] - 10300:6
partially [1] - 10300:12 participate [2] -
10263:4, 10265:4
participated [5] -
10196:8, 10199:4, 10207:25, 10209:21,
10207.25, 10209.21,
particular [8] -
10203:18, 10209:12,
10253:17, 10257:15, 10282:20, 10329:11,
10349:3, 10349:10
particularly [5] -
10243:25, 10259:9,
10259:10, 10260:9, 10346:13
parties [9] - 10202:13,
10204:19, 10205:1,
10219:19, 10234:9, 10242:18, 10242:23,
10242:18, 10242:23, 10341:4, 10348:22
party [5] - 10219:22,
10220:1, 10220:21,
10234:12, 10249:3 past [5] - 10235:11,
10236:25, 10237:13,
10292:22, 10342:1
patriotic [4] - 10195:7,
10195:13, 10195:19, 10195:25
patriotism [1] -
10195:10
patriots [1] - 10217:17 Pattis [12] - 10193:3,
10193:4, 10220:11,
10222:9, 10226:5,
10245:2, 10251:19,
10268:11, 10335:8, 10336:7, 10336:18,
10350:7, 10350:16,
PATTIS [39] -
10194:16, 10218:20,
10220:13, 10222:10, 10222:13, 10223:11,
10226:6, 10226:13,
10228:25, 10232:7,
10232:9, 10232:18,
10232:20, 10232:23, 10233:2, 10245:4,
10246:11, 10246:14,
10246:22, 10247:3,
10247:11, 10247:15,
10247:21, 10248:4,

```
10248:10, 10249:2,
10249:9, 10249:11,
10249:14, 10249:20,
10250:21, 10265:19,
10270:16, 10272:6,
10335:10, 10350:22,
10351:4, 10351:13,
10351:17
 pattis [2] - 10251:22,
10335:9
 Paul [1] - 10214:10
 pause [12] - 10208:12,
10209:4, 10209:20,
10252:25, 10254:3,
10273:15, 10284:15,
10302:10, 10302:19,
10302:20, 10303:5,
10303:21
 pay [1] - 10249:18
 PC [1] - 10193:1
 peaceful [2] -
10304:19, 10310:3
 pejorative [1] -
10302:25
 pending [11] -
10236:23, 10237:2,
10237:3, 10239:17,
10239:18, 10252:4,
10254:12, 10257:7,
10261:1, 10261:2,
10262:11
 people [25] -
10196:21, 10200:19,
10201:7, 10209:17,
10213:17, 10217:1,
10233:20, 10234:15,
10240:18, 10240:22,
10245:5, 10248:24,
10252:7, 10255:25,
10257:14, 10258:9,
10295:15, 10324:14,
10325:11, 10326:15,
10329:8, 10330:25,
10338:3
 peoples' [1] -
10232:13
 percent [3] -
10231:15, 10300:13,
10304:11
 perception [2] -
10254:6, 10330:9
 perfectly [2] -
10253:14, 10254:13
 period [4] - 10221:10,
10221:17, 10221:23,
10259:14
 permissible [2] -
10253:14, 10254:13
 permission [10] -
```

10196:12, 10198:9,

```
10199:12, 10205:22,
10207:5, 10208:3,
10209:25, 10212:21,
10214:13, 10269:4
 permit [1] - 10333:11
 permits [1] - 10330:6
 person [6] - 10230:9,
10242:17, 10245:6,
10247:1, 10252:14,
10339:8
 Person [2] - 10221:23,
10269:13
 person's [2] -
10234:8, 10333:18
 persons [2] - 10202:5,
10258:16
 perspective [2] -
10231:13, 10240:16
 persuade [1] -
10226:11
 Pezzola [7] - 10192:8,
10193:15, 10253:7,
10253:10, 10255:13,
10257:20
 phone [3] - 10243:18,
10255:1, 10296:12
 phrase [2] - 10284:25,
10315:9
 physical [2] -
10215:15
 pick [1] - 10294:6
 picked [1] - 10327:22
 picking [2] - 10326:23,
10346:25
 pictures [1] - 10213:6
 piece [3] - 10327:23,
10330:23, 10344:17
 pincite [1] - 10342:11
 pincites [3] -
10343:16, 10344:16,
10344:19
 pissed [1] - 10217:17
 pissed-off [1] -
10217:17
 place [9] - 10245:16,
10260:23, 10318:7,
10318:22, 10319:9,
10319:11, 10319:21,
10319:22. 10321:15
 places [2] - 10247:12,
10319:23
 Plaintiff [2] - 10192:4,
10192:14
 plan [77] - 10208:24,
10223:5, 10224:13,
10224:17, 10224:20,
10228:4, 10255:22,
10274:21, 10280:8,
10285:5, 10287:6,
```

10299:5, 10299:8,

```
10300:9, 10300:10,
10300:20, 10300:21,
10300:22, 10300:23,
10301:1, 10301:3,
10301:4, 10301:6,
10301:7, 10301:8,
10301:13, 10301:16,
10301:17, 10303:13,
10304:12, 10306:3,
10307:4, 10307:7,
10307:13, 10307:15,
10307:21, 10308:9,
10308:25, 10309:3,
10309:5, 10309:6,
10310:6, 10310:11,
10310:13, 10310:22,
10311:19, 10312:6,
10313:4, 10318:17,
10318:18. 10318:23.
10319:24, 10320:3,
10321:7, 10321:18,
10322:2, 10322:12,
10322:14, 10323:3,
10323:4, 10323:8,
10323:10, 10323:13,
10323:19, 10323:20,
10323:21, 10323:23,
10323:25, 10324:12,
10324:24, 10325:4,
10328:16, 10335:12,
10338:13, 10338:14
 planned [4] -
10284:23, 10286:14,
10304:14, 10328:16
 planning [17] -
10208:21, 10273:23,
10275:6, 10311:2,
10314:20, 10314:22,
10314:24, 10315:5,
10316:4, 10316:10,
10316:13, 10316:15,
10317:2, 10317:6,
10317:16, 10319:1,
10324:3
 plans [9] - 10274:15,
10285:15, 10300:8,
10311:19, 10312:10,
10313:2, 10318:15,
10324:18
 play [21] - 10199:15,
10200:6. 10206:6.
10207:11, 10209:5,
10210:25, 10214:23,
10275:6, 10280:21,
10280:23, 10281:2,
10316:7, 10327:25,
10328:2, 10329:19,
10334:13, 10346:23,
10347:25, 10348:8,
10348:11, 10349:5
```

played [38] - 10199:17, 10199:19, 10200:8, 10206:7, 10207:12, 10209:7, 10211:2, 10214:24, 10215:11, 10215:18, 10216:4, 10216:8, 10216:15, 10216:20, 10216:22, 10217:5, 10217:21, 10280:17, 10299:19, 10305:7, 10306:19, 10312:1, 10313:7, 10313:13, 10313:16, 10315:24, 10316:9, 10316:12, 10325:2, 10325:18, 10326:1, 10327:23,
10344:17, 10344:20,
10348:2, 10348:3
playing [7] - 10214:20, 10218:3, 10281:15,
10299:14, 10324:20,
10325:16, 10326:12
Plaza [1] - 10192:21
plea [23] - 10255:18,
10255:19, 10255:21, 10263:23, 10266:7,
10267:3, 10267:15,
10267:23, 10268:2,
10268:6, 10268:16,
10268:20, 10269:16,
10270:1, 10270:10,
10270:12, 10270:14, 10270:23, 10272:18,
10270.23, 10272.16, 10272:25, 10277:1,
10277:4, 10331:14
plead [3] - 10224:15,
10244:11, 10269:9
pleaded [3] -
10301:10, 10320:25,
10324:4
pleading [2] - 10251:9, 10339:9
pled [6] - 10225:11,
10227:18, 10244:7,
10244:22, 10244:23,
10251:8
PLLC [1] - 10192:23
plow [2] - 10236:6,
10236:7
podcast [7] - 10213:21, 10213:25,
10214:21, 10221:14,
10259:7, 10259:13,
10260:6
point [54] - 10194:8,
10195:7, 10195:14,
10195:19, 10195:20, 10214:7, 10216:24,
10214.7, 10210.24,

```
10223:3, 10223:24,
10226:21, 10228:2,
10228:6, 10228:10,
10244:16, 10249:20,
10250:5, 10250:19,
10251:20, 10254:17,
10265:12, 10265:25,
10266:5, 10273:21,
10274:5, 10274:18,
10275:9, 10284:12,
10288:25, 10297:15,
10297:18, 10299:4,
10300:25, 10302:11,
10302:14, 10302:16,
10302:17, 10302:21,
10306:3, 10307:3,
10307:8, 10308:7,
10315:17. 10320:7.
10332:21. 10333:11.
10333:24, 10334:17,
10334:19, 10341:1,
10342:1, 10342:2,
10348:22, 10349:15,
10350:3
 pointing [2] -
10224:10, 10343:18
 points [2] - 10253:23,
10292:3
 police [1] - 10197:6
 ponder [1] - 10257:10
 portion [4] - 10209:12,
10229:15, 10326:1,
 pose [1] - 10275:1
 posed [2] - 10250:22,
10252:11
 position [21] -
10230:5, 10285:21,
10286:8, 10290:10,
10292:2, 10292:6,
10292:8, 10296:1,
10315:8, 10329:25,
10330:10, 10330:11,
10331:7, 10332:3,
10333:5, 10336:8,
10338:19, 10338:20,
10343:9, 10344:25,
10348:6
 positions [1] -
10330:10
 possess [1] -
10266:19
 possessed [1] -
10278:7
 possession [3] -
10273:3, 10280:11,
10289:22
 Possession [1] -
10269:12
 possibility [1] -
```

```
10277:13
 possible [3] -
10205:13, 10234:15,
10349:1
 possibly [1] -
10271:19
 post [5] - 10198:20,
10199:22, 10200:9,
10200:13, 10207:9
 posted [4] - 10197:4,
10197:21, 10198:12,
10206:25
 posts [8] - 10201:1,
10206:16, 10210:6,
10325:10, 10326:14,
10329:7, 10330:24,
10338:2
 posture [1] - 10222:23
 potential [1] - 10341:1
 power [2] - 10209:17,
10310:3
 practice [1] - 10268:12
 practiced [2] -
10276:10, 10276:12
 precise [1] - 10326:18
 precision [1] -
10326:25
 preclude [1] -
10261:15
 predicate [1] -
10248:10
 prejudice [1] -
10259:9
 prejudicial [2] -
10268:21, 10326:19
 prejudicing [1] -
10341:3
 premise [3] -
10287:21, 10334:6,
10334:8
 prepared [3] -
10340:23, 10341:4,
10350:2
 prepresentation [1] -
10336:4
 presence [1] -
10236:15
 presenting [1] -
10346:22
 President [2] -
10199:16, 10200:7
 press [1] - 10252:25
 presupposes [1] -
10349:20
 pretty [10] - 10210:17,
10217:2, 10229:13,
10229:21, 10252:3,
10257:11, 10265:10,
10266:4, 10316:15
 prevent [4] -
```

10296:24, 10297:4, 10297:16, 10322:16 prevented [1] -10334:7 preview [2] -10342:14. 10345:23 previously [1] -10320:17 Priest [2] - 10196:24, 10197:4 **prison** [1] - 10276:17 private [1] - 10272:12 privilege [2] -10272:7, 10272:9 problem [8] -10221:15, 10233:12, 10234:7, 10240:23, 10243:22, 10255:6, 10333:9, 10336:20 problems [3] -10200:18, 10233:8 procedures [1] -10343:25 proceed [10] -10194:7, 10194:20, 10194:24, 10222:8, 10228:13, 10262:12, 10268:25, 10274:9, 10315:18, 10342:4 proceeded [2] -10347:2, 10349:10 proceeding [1] -10347:8 proceedings [1] -10352:7 process [6] -10285:16, 10343:19, 10348:21, 10348:25, 10349:1, 10349:7 produce [1] - 10223:5 produced [1] -10348:17 produces [1] -10343:14 prohibit [1] - 10350:8 Prohibited [1] -10269:13 promised [1] -10270:25 proof [1] - 10286:20 proper [14] -10221:18, 10221:22, 10232:18, 10232:19, 10251:25, 10252:15, 10253:13, 10259:10, 10328:14, 10335:20, 10336:11, 10336:12, 10340:15, 10342:2 properties [2] -10232:12, 10248:21

propose [2] -10202:13, 10204:11 proposed [6] -10202:15. 10202:18. 10203:22. 10204:5. 10204:10, 10221:14 prosecuted [2] -10277:10, 10277:15 prosecution [1] -10298:6 prosecutor [1] -10346:9 prosecutors [3] -10264:4, 10276:2, 10344:14 protect [8] - 10264:11, 10264:16, 10264:20, 10264:23, 10323:14, 10324:14 protecting [5] -10325:11, 10326:15, 10329:8, 10330:25, 10338:3 protest [4] - 10304:12, 10304:14, 10304:19, 10337:14 **proud** [1] - 10218:10 Proud [14] - 10207:21, 10209:10, 10210:12, 10224:12, 10247:13, 10258:13, 10265:5, 10295:9, 10297:10, 10299:3, 10311:19, 10312:10, 10313:2, 10314:12 prove [7] - 10223:9, 10234:14, 10234:16, 10286:11, 10286:13, 10287:24 proven [1] - 10245:8 provide [5] -10340:19, 10342:24, 10346:19. 10350:6. 10351:6 provided [6] -10276:23, 10343:22, 10344:10, 10344:11, 10346:18, 10347:11 **provides** [1] - 10341:2 providing [2] -10346:22, 10346:24 publish [13] -10196:12, 10198:8, 10198:10, 10199:12, 10205:22, 10207:5, 10208:4, 10210:1, 10212:19, 10212:21, 10214:13, 10269:5, 10279:20 pull [2] - 10238:11,

10339:7 pulling [1] - 10315:22 pulls [1] - 10247:5 punishable [1] -10276-17 purpose [2] -10259:14, 10260:7 purposes [4] -10221:23, 10226:4, 10267:23, 10281:15 pursuant [2] -10214:7, 10239:12 pursue [1] - 10309:10 pursued [3] - 10280:9, 10284:1, 10284:2 pushed [1] - 10197:10 put [27] - 10218:2, 10239:22, 10241:1, 10241:22, 10242:13, 10244:13, 10253:23, 10260:2, 10268:22, 10278:22, 10279:18, 10281:21, 10284:13, 10288:24, 10302:22, 10303:20, 10309:15, 10312:6, 10312:16, 10313:3, 10315:12, 10318:8, 10334:24, 10340:14, 10344:6, 10347:6 **puts** [2] - 10274:19, 10292:7 putting [4] - 10282:3, 10282:18, 10341:24, 10345:17

Q

queen [1] - 10214:10 questioning [2] -10255:7, 10275:4 questions [20] -10227:20, 10233:19, 10234:4, 10237:9, 10243:14, 10243:21, 10250:21, 10254:24, 10257:14, 10263:22, 10273:9, 10275:18, 10278:23, 10278:25, 10288:13, 10288:15, 10297:9, 10297:11, 10297:14, 10315:16 quibbling [1] -10314:21 quick [2] - 10194:16, 10236:16 quickly [2] - 10228:1, 10228.9 quite [2] - 10201:20, 10203:18

quote [8] - 10293:5, 10294:6, 10295:10, 10306:2, 10307:2, 10312:9, 10312:13, 10330:23 quotes [1] - 10326:24

R raise [2] - 10226:7,

raised [4] - 10220:12,

rally [2] - 10208:22,

rarely [1] - 10245:7

10347:8, 10349:3,

10226:15

10349:5

10209:1

rather [3] - 10259:21, 10281:24, 10328:2 re [2] - 10251:20, 10259:3 re-mention [1] -10259:3 reach [8] - 10207:16, 10233:14, 10233:20, 10233:25, 10235:6, 10236:3, 10239:2, 10245:25 reached [10] -10234:25, 10235:22, 10239:14, 10241:5, 10247:20, 10248:1, 10254:15, 10263:7, 10322:15, 10324:6 reaching [1] -10233:15 react [1] - 10349:5 reaction [2] -10330:20, 10337:3 read [12] - 10196:14, 10197:4, 10198:12, 10201:7, 10206:4, 10208:11, 10282:23, 10283:6, 10284:20, 10307:2, 10312:8, 10346:12 reading [8] - 10202:4, 10202:6, 10282:22, 10283:1, 10284:14, 10333:25, 10341:18, 10346:17 ready [2] - 10206:17, 10273:16 reality [2] - 10221:20, 10342:19 realize [1] - 10235:24 really [22] - 10201:5, 10216:16, 10222:24, 10223:9, 10228:1, 10228:10, 10251:18,

10257:10, 10261:4, 10261:17, 10268:2, 10268:4, 10268:20, 10326:24, 10330:7, 10330:12, 10332:22, 10332:23, 10345:9, 10345:12, 10350:1 reason [14] - 10223:1. 10228:19, 10251:16, 10257:19, 10300:23, 10306:3, 10307:3, 10308:8, 10309:18, 10328:11, 10329:16, 10331:13, 10331:14, 10351:10 reasonably [1] -10245:11 reasons [5] -10204:25, 10222:14, 10245:13, 10261:18, 10335:15 recalled [1] - 10285:14 received [1] -10329:21 recess [1] - 10258:23 reckless [2] -10266:16. 10278:11 recognize [11] -10197:25, 10199:4, 10200:21, 10206:24, 10207:24, 10209:21, 10212:15, 10214:20, 10267:12, 10279:24 recollection [7] -10280:16, 10281:16, 10281:23, 10283:8, 10283:18, 10284:11, 10289:24 record [14] - 10194:2, 10196:25, 10204:4, 10213:21, 10224:9, 10235:14, 10258:24, 10277:25, 10283:2, 10284:17, 10293:23, 10335:22, 10343:19, 10344:6 recorded [2] -10276:20, 10333:3 recordings [2] -10274:16, 10276:22 recovered [1] -10277:22 redirect [1] - 10315:16 reduction [1] -10271:20 reference [5] -10216:9, 10216:10, 10216:12, 10260:14, 10346:20 referencing [2] -

10272:11, 10303:7 referred [2] -10255:22, 10294:20 referring [13] -10198:15. 10198:22. 10198:23, 10215:21. 10216:17, 10250:8, 10294:19, 10294:23, 10295:6, 10296:7, 10311:1, 10329:2, 10329:4 reflect [4] - 10202:16, 10203:6, 10203:24, 10248:8 reflected [3] -10280:8, 10284:22, 10313:8 refresh [5] - 10280:16, 10281:16, 10281:23, 10282:3, 10283:8 refreshed [2] -10283:18, 10289:24 refreshes [1] -10284:11 refreshing [2] -10281:8. 10281:14 regard [6] - 10203:4, 10221:18. 10253:6. 10253:9, 10348:23, 10349:13 regarding [1] -10348:24 regards [1] - 10264:8 regret [2] - 10218:24, 10265:22 regular [1] - 10263:21 rehabilitating [1] -10251:21 Rehl [8] - 10192:7, 10193:6, 10211:19, 10213:8, 10244:2, 10258:1. 10258:2. 10259:16 rejected [1] - 10295:14 relation [2] -10216:23, 10240:11 relationship [41] -10235:2, 10235:3, 10235:9, 10237:7, 10238:19, 10238:23, 10239:1, 10239:22, 10239:25, 10240:13, 10240:18, 10240:24, 10241:7, 10241:18, 10241:21, 10241:23, 10243:3, 10243:10, 10243:12, 10245:18, 10250:13, 10252:8, 10252:13, 10252:14, 10252:23, 10254:20,

10254:25, 10255:5, 10255:24, 10256:2, 10256:5, 10256:6, 10256:17, 10256:18, 10257:5, 10257:9, 10261:8, 10261:9, 10261:14, 10261:15, 10261:16 relationships [7] -10247:25, 10252:18, 10252:19, 10257:15, 10257:16, 10258:16 released [1] -10305:19 relevance [9] -10195:16, 10197:14, 10208:13, 10218:20, 10231:4, 10237:24, 10265:19, 10273:4, 10328:4 relevant [25] -10203:16, 10220:19, 10222:7, 10222:17, 10222:21, 10222:24, 10225:11, 10225:23, 10244:22, 10280:17, 10286:19, 10287:18, 10288:14, 10292:6, 10292:12, 10330:12, 10333:13, 10333:15, 10333:16, 10333:20, 10333:22, 10335:19, 10337:16, 10340:8, 10341:25 reliable [1] - 10245:15 relinquishing [1] -10200:17 remain [1] - 10204:7 remained [1] -10204:9 remember [23] -10195:8, 10202:8, 10203:22, 10243:16, 10265:2, 10266:9, 10278:3, 10280:10, 10280:13, 10280:14, 10283:11, 10289:20, 10289:21, 10294:2, 10295:11, 10297:11, 10297:19, 10304:15, 10304:16, 10304:20, 10320:21, 10325:20, 10351:9 repeat [1] - 10346:5 rephrase [1] -10337:12 replayed [1] -10232:10

reporter [4] -

10193:21, 10236:14,

10258:21, 10352:12 REPORTER [2] -10247:14, 10352:2 reprieve [1] - 10343:4 republic [1] -10248 15 request [5] - 10281:8, 10281:10, 10281:13, 10343:24 requesting [1] -10221:13 require [4] - 10220:6, 10270:4, 10270:5, 10270:8 required [14] -10225:3, 10225:5, 10225:6, 10225:20, 10226:4, 10226:22, 10227:2, 10228:15, 10250:2, 10343:23, 10344:19 requirement [1] -10202:23 requires [1] - 10203:3 requiring [1] -10342:14 researched[1] -10227:21 resembled [1] -10284:4 reservation[1] -10317:17 residence [2] -10263:24, 10278:15 respect [1] - 10263:8 respectfully [1] -10344:18 respond [5] -10210:13, 10211:19, 10213:8, 10328:18, 10344:4 responding [3] -10201:12, 10202:7, 10203:17 response [12] -10196:17, 10196:19, 10197:7, 10197:12, 10209:8, 10210:15, 10212:1, 10212:5, 10213:10, 10227:12, 10262:7, 10287:25 responsibilities [1] -10269:18 responsibility [2] -10227:18, 10227:19 rest [9] - 10238:3, 10257:10, 10257:20, 10300:8, 10300:9, 10300:10, 10300:21, 10300:22, 10343:22

retaliation [1] -10265:13 retire [1] - 10328:19 retrieve [2] -10194:19, 10194:20 Returns 191 -10283:10, 10283:15, 10284:22, 10285:5, 10286:14, 10289:15, 10290:15, 10328:24, 10338:8 reveal [1] - 10310:21 review [3] - 10259:11, 10269:25, 10272:24 revolution [21] -10198:16, 10215:6, 10215:7, 10216:13, 10293:5, 10293:8, 10293:13, 10293:20, 10293:24, 10294:1, 10294:7, 10294:8, 10294:11, 10294:17, 10294:20, 10294:22, 10295:3, 10296:3, 10296:6, 10296:7, 10296:11 Revolution [2] -10216:13, 10294:18 rhetoric [1] - 10263:20 rhyme [4] - 10306:2, 10307:3, 10308:8, 10309:18 right-hand [1] -10282:21 riot [1] - 10208:19 risk [1] - 10259:9 RMR [1] - 10193:21 Road [1] - 10193:7 road [3] - 10251:22, 10254:5, 10302:23 Roger [2] - 10193:17, 10193:18 rogerisaacroots@ outlook.com [1] -10193:19 Rohde [21] - 10196:23, 10197:18, 10199:3, 10199:15, 10199:20, 10200:5, 10206:6, 10206:22, 10207:11, 10207:23, 10208:10, 10208:20, 10209:18, 10210:25, 10212:13, 10214:18, 10214:23, 10217:19, 10218:2, 10269:8, 10269:14 role [1] - 10226:18 Room [1] - 10352:13 room [1] - 10235:15 Roots [2] - 10193:17,

10193:18 roots [4] - 10250:25, 10251:16, 10268:8, 10339:3 **ROOTS** [4] - 10251:1, 10267:18, 10267:22, 10339.5 route [1] - 10318:1 Rufio [2] - 10213:2, 10213:18 rule [7] - 10299:14, 10329:11, 10332:8, 10343:10, 10344:4, 10347:19, 10348:10 Rule [2] - 10202:19, 10330:6 ruled [4] - 10245:17, 10268:17, 10268:19 Rules [7] - 10328:5, 10328:6, 10331:25, 10333:10, 10342:6, 10349:15, 10349:19 rules [1] - 10330:8 ruling [6] - 10203:6, 10203:7, 10205:9, 10205:10, 10237:5, 10259:21 rulings [1] - 10214:8 run [1] - 10350:16 S

Sabino [1] - 10193:12 sabino@jaureguilaw .com [1] - 10193:14 Salem [2] - 10232:10, 10248:21 salesmen [1] -10247:4 Sam [2] - 10214:10, 10216:19 satisfactory [1] -10351:7 save [3] - 10203:20, 10248:15, 10263:14 saving [1] - 10197:10 saw [12] - 10219:3, 10241:11, 10247:9, 10284:9, 10285:15, 10292:2, 10296:8, 10296:12, 10329:6, 10346:9, 10350:18 scene [1] - 10217:24 scope [1] - 10338:20 screaming [1] -10223:17 screen [15] - 10196:6, 10197:23, 10218:2, 10267:9, 10267:12,

10279:18, 10279:22,

10280:2, 10282:18, 10282:19, 10288:23, 10289:10, 10313:20, 10325:12, 10334:1 screwing [1] -10217:14 scroll [12] - 10196:23, 10197:18, 10199:3, 10199:20, 10200:5, 10200:6, 10208:10, 10208:20, 10209:3, 10209:19, 10210:18, 10269:14 scrolling [1] -10199:10 search [3] - 10263:24, 10264:2, 10266:12 seated [4] - 10194:22, 10236:19, 10262:10, 10327:19 second [10] -10199:18, 10202:17, 10211:14, 10213:5, 10233:12, 10251:3, 10302:6, 10319:20, 10329:20 seconds [16] -10196:17, 10207:10, 10280:23, 10299:15, 10299:16, 10304:2, 10305:6, 10306:8, 10306:16, 10311:25, 10315:23, 10324:21, 10325:17, 10342:10, 10342:20, 10343:15 section [4] - 10280:17, 10284:10, 10284:12 seditious [3] -10224:15, 10273:3, 10307:10 Seditious [1] -10269:12 see [26] - 10196:6, 10205:13, 10219:2, 10232:10, 10244:21, 10256:11, 10264:24, 10269:21, 10274:25, 10275:9, 10279:22, 10282:24, 10283:11, 10284:10, 10287:15, 10290:10, 10293:23, 10303:7, 10313:4, 10325:12, 10327:13, 10331:9, 10335:20, 10335:21, 10338:24, 10351:21

seeing [2] - 10213:17,

seek [3] - 10209:24,

10212:19, 10255:18

10329:11

seeking [1] - 10292:14 seem [4] - 10241:19, 10320:14, 10332:4, 10337:11 sees [1] - 10338:7 segment [1] - 10348:2 seizure [1] - 10280:5 selective [1] - 10266:4 Self [7] - 10197:22, 10207:8, 10228:20, 10229:20, 10231:20, 10254:9, 10262:16 Self-Defense [7] -10197:22, 10207:8, 10228:20, 10229:20, 10231:20, 10254:9, 10262:16 semantics [2] -10314:23, 10318:19 sense [5] - 10205:1, 10227:15, 10262:4, 10270:15, 10326:20 **sent** [3] - 10341:9, 10341:12, 10341:13 sentence [6] -10270:22, 10270:25, 10271:2, 10271:20, 10281:1, 10281:4 sentences [1] -10239:4 sentiment [2] -10229:13, 10307:7 separate [4] -10239:17, 10243:6, 10244:24, 10336:1 separating [1] -10260:19 set [3] - 10208:22, 10209:1, 10291:11 sets [1] - 10227:20 seven [1] - 10233:4 several [3] - 10233:8, 10234:11, 10304:24 **shared** [7] - 10213:7, 10213:16, 10217:2, 10230:4, 10230:22, 10247:25, 10248:19 **sheds** [1] - 10220:13 shifted [1] - 10291:23 short [1] - 10259:21 **shorter** [1] - 10282:20 shot [2] - 10198:23, 10215:16 **show** [16] - 10201:18, 10245:18, 10282:4, 10286:16, 10292:5, 10330:3, 10330:16, 10333:17, 10334:5, 10335:17, 10338:18, 10339:10, 10339:14,

10343:25, 10345:16 showed [2] -10289:23, 10290:3 showing [2] -10296:13, 10329:13 shown [13] - 10280:1, 10280:4, 10287:4, 10287:7, 10287:8, 10289:15, 10289:21, 10328:23, 10330:18, 10332:2, 10335:15, 10340:13, 10340:22 **shows** [2] - 10339:6, 10351:4 **shrimp** [2] - 10247:4, 10247:6 shut [1] - 10213:4 side [3] - 10233:5, 10282:21, 10349:24 sidebar [12] - 10199:9, 10200:23, 10219:19, 10224:24, 10259:4, 10267:18, 10273:17, 10290:18, 10302:6, 10314:17, 10325:22, 10336:9 sides [4] - 10265:10, 10347:6, 10347:9, 10348:21 signaling [1] -10226:16 significance [3] -10217:8, 10217:10, 10245:14 silent [2] - 10316:14, 10317:17 **simple** [1] - 10335:11 simply [3] - 10238:2, 10275:5, 10336:4 single [2] - 10218:14, 10347:5 sit [1] - 10294:3 sitting [3] - 10293:19, 10321:21, 10334:21 situation [1] -10292:11 six [3] - 10246:6, 10276:6, 10333:19 Sixth [2] - 10193:16, 10345:22 skin [1] - 10350:22 skull [1] - 10218:8 sky [4] - 10351:2, 10351:8, 10351:9, 10351:10 sliced [1] - 10254:11 **slicing** [1] - 10315:10 slightly [1] - 10240:12 small [3] - 10323:13, 10323:15, 10324:13

10327:9, 10327:18,

10327:22, 10328:4,

10328:22, 10329:1,

10329:16, 10330:3,

10291:9, 10291:14, 10291:25, 10292:24, 10293:2, 10298:21, 10298:23, 10299:1,	10299:12, 10299:18, 10299:20, 10302:1, 10302:3, 10302:14,	10299:12, 10299:18, 10299:20, 10302:1,
	10291:25, 10292:24, 10293:2, 10298:21, 10298:23, 10299:1, 10299:12, 10299:18, 10299:20, 10302:1, 10302:3, 10302:14,	10291:25, 10292:24, 10293:2, 10298:21, 10298:23, 10299:1, 10299:12, 10299:18, 10299:20, 10302:1, 10302:3, 10302:14, 10303:8, 10303:23, 10304:1, 10304:3, 10304:6, 10304:9, 10304:23, 10305:3, 10305:5, 10305:8, 10305:24, 10305:25, 10306:17, 10306:12, 10306:15, 10306:20,

```
10330:22, 10331:5,
10331:11, 10331:19,
10331:21, 10332:6,
10332:10, 10332:18,
10332:21, 10333:2,
10333:7, 10333:23,
10334:5, 10337:12,
10337:19, 10338:1,
10338:5, 10338:7,
10338:10, 10338:18,
10338:25, 10341:17,
10341:21, 10342:8,
10342:17, 10343:12,
10344:6. 10344:9.
10347:12. 10347:16.
10347:21
 Smith [53] - 10192:23,
10192:23. 10193:4.
10232:22, 10232:23,
10233:6, 10236:22,
10243:8, 10273:13,
10273:17, 10273:20,
10274:15, 10274:17,
10274:24, 10275:18,
10281:1, 10281:15,
10281:18, 10282:1,
10282:8, 10283:1,
10284:16, 10285:12,
10285:23, 10288:2,
10288:8, 10288:18,
10291:11, 10291:17,
10292:14, 10302:5,
10302:8, 10311:7,
10326:2, 10326:5,
10326:7, 10326:16,
10326:18, 10326:21,
10327:5, 10327:20,
10333:6, 10337:1,
10338:24, 10339:15,
10340:10, 10340:22,
10342:7, 10342:16,
10342:18, 10347:4,
10347:17, 10349:10
 smith [3] - 10315:18,
10341:2, 10344:25
 Smith's [3] - 10252:5,
10336:3, 10346:4
 Smith.....
10275 [1] - 10353:4
 smoothly [1] -
10342:5
 snippets [1] -
10346:25
 so-called [1] -
10232:11
 social [5] - 10325:10,
```

```
10326:14, 10329:7,
10330:24, 10338:2
 solve [1] - 10274:20
 someone [17] -
10238:25. 10239:1.
10239:6. 10256:12.
10290:13, 10297:16,
10307:24, 10308:4,
10308:22, 10309:4,
10309:18, 10322:4,
10330:12, 10332:13,
10335:25, 10339:7,
10341:12
 sometime [2] -
10219:24
 sometimes [6] -
10218:17, 10235:6,
10245:17, 10258:1,
10265:11, 10343:14
 somewhere [3] -
10223:7, 10239:11,
10239:12
 soon [1] - 10236:16
 sorry [19] - 10216:11,
10222:11, 10222:12,
10225:10, 10226:13,
10227:3. 10231:3.
10232:1, 10238:16,
10247:15, 10253:22,
10270:11, 10280:2,
10286:1, 10288:23,
10335:9, 10347:17,
10350:13
 sort [10] - 10230:22,
10242:17, 10247:17,
10247:23, 10252:20,
10259:6, 10261:3,
10261:5, 10282:2,
10349:12
 sounds [4] -
10302:25, 10320:5,
10336:25, 10340:4
 soup [1] - 10346:14
 south [1] - 10323:21
 speaking [3] -
10286:25, 10323:11,
10324:18
 speaks [1] - 10217:12
 special [3] - 10241:16,
10241:17, 10241:18
 Special [1] - 10203:2
 specific [22] -
10224:13, 10224:20,
10228:4, 10240:15,
10301:3, 10301:6,
10310:6, 10312:6,
10312:8, 10317:11,
10318:7, 10318:23,
10319:22, 10321:9,
10321:14, 10322:14,
```

```
10340:20, 10341:6,
10346:18, 10346:23,
10347:13
 specifically [11] -
10228:2, 10228:6,
10240:5, 10280:13,
10283:11. 10294:2.
10298:14. 10304:15.
10311:22, 10312:19,
10321:16
 specificity [1] -
10263:1
 specify [1] - 10317:22
 spectral [1] - 10232:11
 speculate [1] -
10304:12
 speculation [3] -
10219:13, 10234:8,
10321:24
 speculative [3] -
10220:16, 10223:11,
10228:25
 speech [3] - 10299:7,
10300:20, 10301:21
 speeches [1] -
10324:14
 spent [1] - 10254:25
 sphere [1] - 10295:10
 spinning [1] -
10334:12
 spirit [1] - 10232:13
 spit [1] - 10229:13
 splice [1] - 10326:24
 splurge [1] - 10249:15
 spot [1] - 10292:21
 stabbed [2] -
10230:16, 10230:20
 stabbing [3] -
10262:17, 10262:20,
10266:1
 stage [2] - 10323:16,
10323:17
 stand [7] - 10238:14,
10247:2, 10251:9,
10288:17, 10291:6,
10291:8, 10340:9
 standard [2] -
10245:7, 10250:2
 stands [1] - 10262:3
 start [5] - 10195:13,
10214:20, 10279:17,
10337:1, 10346:7
 started [4] - 10195:24,
10249:24, 10293:17,
10310:9
 state [20] - 10201:19,
10202:16, 10214:5,
10220:14, 10220:23,
10221:15, 10221:17,
10221:20, 10221:23,
```

10222:16, 10228:20, 10239:2, 10259:13, 10259:18, 10266:16, 10285:9, 10285:24, 10286:25, 10333:18, 10335:6 state-of-mind [1] -10221:15 Statement [3] -10272:19, 10272:21, 10272:24 statement [75] -10197:12, 10197:25, 10201:24, 10204:6, 10204:10, 10209:10, 10209:13, 10217:22, 10219:22, 10220:1, 10220:17, 10220:19, 10220:21, 10221:3, 10222:2, 10222:4, 10222:7, 10223:10, 10255:19, 10260:14, 10275:6, 10277:11, 10286:2, 10286:4, 10289:5, 10290:23, 10291:2, 10291:21, 10292:13, 10292:19, 10299:9, 10299:13, 10299:24, 10300:2, 10300:12, 10300:17, 10301:22, 10302:13, 10303:2, 10303:17, 10303:19, 10304:24, 10311:8, 10312:22, 10312:25, 10314:19, 10315:3, 10324:23, 10325:7, 10326:13, 10328:13, 10330:19, 10330:20, 10330:23, 10331:7, 10331:12, 10333:8, 10334:16, 10335:6, 10336:23, 10336:24, 10337:2, 10337:5, 10337:7, 10337:8, 10337:17, 10337:18, 10337:25, 10338:1, 10340:8, 10342:24, 10343:10, 10350:9, 10351:12, 10351:20 statements [40] -10197:1. 10202:2. 10202:5, 10203:16, 10204:24, 10214:15, 10220:25, 10227:9, 10246:5, 10273:24, 10274:7, 10274:17, 10285:25, 10291:7, 10298:7, 10314:25, 10328:8, 10328:10, 10330:11, 10330:15,

10331:24, 10331:25, 10332:4, 10333:25, 10334:1, 10334:3, 10334:9, 10334:17, 10336:13, 10340:15, 10340:20, 10345:4, 10345:5, 10345:14, 10345:15, 10349:12, 10349:18, 10349:20, 10349:24, 10351:18 **STATES**[2] - 10192:1, 10192:12 States [3] - 10192:3, 10194:3, 10258:25 stating [1] - 10245:22 statute [1] - 10276:18 stay [1] - 10195:10 stays [1] - 10228:14 steal [1] - 10232:24 stenographic [1] -10352:6 step [10] - 10200:1, 10208:25, 10236:18, 10255:17, 10309:6, 10313:6, 10327:17, 10336:3 step-by-step [1] -10309:6 **steps** [3] - 10309:9, 10335:24, 10341:13 **Steven** [1] - 10193:15 stick [1] - 10342:12 still [10] - 10194:13, 10198:18, 10264:25, 10277:6, 10286:18, 10288:1, 10288:23, 10289:9, 10305:2, 10307:10 stood [1] - 10247:7 **stop** [10] - 10223:4, 10268:3, 10300:24, 10310:22, 10318:4, 10318:6, 10318:9, 10319:4, 10320:1, 10321:9 stopping [1] -10301:10 store [1] - 10309:14 storm [4] - 10224:13, 10248:15, 10285:15, 10287:6 storming [1] -10287:23 **story** [3] - 10337:13, 10337:20, 10351:20 straight [4] - 10288:2, 10288:4, 10288:8, 10288:16 strained [1] -10256:17

strong [2] - 10259:10, 10315:13 stronger [1] -10262:24 **struck** [2] - 10226:13, 10226:16 stuff [4] - 10259:7, 10259:13, 10260:6, 10329:10 stunned [2] -10244:20 stupid [5] - 10325:10, 10326:14, 10329:7, 10330:24, 10338:2 style [1] - 10350:23 subject [2] - 10275:23, 10295:7 submitted [2] -10201:4, 10347:23 subs [1] - 10271:10 substantial [2] -10271:8, 10272:14 suddenly [1] - 10247:5 sugar [1] - 10249:17 suggest [2] - 10246:1, 10248:3 suggested [1] -10340:11 suggesting [1] -10288:13 suggestion [1] -10274:13 suggests [1] -10223:1 sui [1] - 10247:17 Suite [3] - 10192:18, 10192:24, 10193:10 summarize [2] -10337:22, 10337:24 **super** [1] - 10347:12 support [1] - 10234:5 suppose [4] -10249:14, 10334:23, 10335:3, 10350:24 supposed [14] -10233:18, 10234:6, 10299:6, 10300:7, 10300:8, 10300:14, 10304:13, 10304:14, 10304:18, 10321:19, 10323:13, 10323:14, 10323:16, 10324:13 supposedly [1] -

Street [5] - 10192:15,

stricken [1] - 10270:19

strike [5] - 10229:15,

10230:10, 10266:11,

10270:16, 10324:9

10193:2, 10193:4,

10193:10, 10193:13

10222:2 Supreme [2] -10295:13, 10339:14 surprise [3] -10329:18, 10348:15, 10349:8 surrounding [1] -10245:9 survived [1] -10262:22 suspect's [1] -10332:15 sustain [1] - 10262:3 sustained [8] -10195:17, 10224:23, 10225:15, 10231:17, 10250:3, 10270:18, 10321:25, 10322:25 sustaining [1] -10262:11 swallowing [2] -10223:3, 10223:4 sweatshirt [1] -10218:11 sword [2] - 10250:7, 10250:9

Т

tacit [15] - 10233:15, 10233:17, 10233:25, 10234:2, 10234:8, 10234:11, 10234:13, 10234:14, 10234:24, 10235:10, 10237:25, 10241:5, 10241:8, 10242:20, 10243:3 tactic [1] - 10265:10 tactician [1] -10321:16 tail [1] - 10326:23 Tarantino [1] -10273:5 target [1] - 10304:11 Tarrio [46] - 10192:7, 10193:9, 10207:16, 10216:18, 10219:24, 10220:4, 10221:10, 10222:2, 10224:5, 10234:20, 10234:21, 10235:14, 10235:15, 10235:16, 10238:5, 10255:10, 10255:11, 10280:9, 10283:9, 10283:15, 10284:7, 10284:8, 10284:21, 10285:4, 10285:20, 10286:13, 10286:17, 10287:10, 10287:23, 10289:16, 10290:4,

10290:14, 10305:17, 10306:2, 10323:10, 10324:10, 10324:24, 10329:3, 10329:17, 10334:10, 10335:1, 10336:16, 10337:25, 10338:8, 10338:21, 10339:10 Tarrio's [1] - 10220:23 task [1] - 10260:20 technologically [1] -10281:20 technology [1] -10273:13 tee [2] - 10341:4, 10343:7 teed [1] - 10336:7 Telegram [10] -10255:1, 10260:15, 10310:15, 10310:16, 10311:21, 10312:7, 10313:3, 10313:4, 10313:9, 10348:24 telephone [1] -10257:24 ten [6] - 10236:8, 10236:12, 10236:13, 10255:10, 10256:20, 10258:22 ten-minute [2] -10236:8, 10236:12 tenor [1] - 10297:10 term [4] - 10206:18, 10217:15, 10271:8, 10294:7 terms [20] - 10234:3, 10236:23, 10244:18, 10249:12, 10253:2, 10254:9, 10260:16, 10260:19, 10260:24, 10269:25, 10270:4, 10270:21, 10272:22, 10326:4, 10340:23, 10341:23, 10344:2, 10346:17, 10346:21 Terry [1] - 10244:1 testified [17] -10251:4, 10262:15, 10276:25, 10293:4, 10295:9, 10295:13, 10295:18, 10295:21, 10296:3, 10296:12, 10305:17, 10310:15, 10313:6, 10315:3, 10315:4, 10315:6, 10322:11 testifies [5] -10242:25, 10340:9, 10345:5, 10345:8 testify [14] - 10238:10, 10239:10, 10240:6, 10241:7, 10243:11, 10243:24, 10244:3, 10244:4, 10249:3, 10252:17, 10256:16, 10256:19, 10322:23 testifying [6] -10254:7, 10293:13, 10293:14, 10297:23, 10325:4, 10337:9 testimony [46] -10205:15, 10218:5, 10218:13, 10226:20, 10226:21, 10226:23, 10228:7, 10228:11, 10250:1, 10252:17, 10252:20, 10260:25, 10262:17, 10265:2, 10275:19, 10275:21, 10275:23, 10276:9, 10276:11, 10277:18, 10285:17, 10286:4, 10286:19, 10287:18, 10287:19, 10293:9, 10294:9, 10295:7, 10295:11, 10304:25, 10328:7, 10330:14, 10331:14, 10331:18, 10331:20, 10334:18, 10335:3, 10335:19, 10335:25, 10336:14, 10337:7, 10338:14, 10340:21, 10345:9, 10345:17, 10349:17 text [7] - 10205:24, 10243:17, 10255:1, 10255:11, 10255:12, 10255:13, 10257:24 texts [1] - 10210:6 THE [294] - 10192:1, 10192:1, 10192:11, 10194:2, 10194:5, 10194:10, 10194:15, 10194:18, 10194:22, 10195:17, 10195:23, 10196:11, 10197:2, 10197:15, 10198:9, 10199:8, 10199:11, 10201:2, 10201:10, 10201:16, 10202:6, 10203:4, 10203:9, 10203:14, 10204:15, 10204:18, 10205:4, 10205:13, 10205:21, 10207:4, 10208:3, 10208:14, 10209:25, 10210:7, 10212:20, 10214:12, 10214:16, 10217:13, 10218:21,

10219:14, 10219:19,

10219:21, 10220:1, 10220:6, 10220:11, 10220:17, 10221:2, 10221:7, 10221:12, 10222:3, 10222:12, 10223:8, 10223:12, 10223:15, 10223:18, 10224:19, 10224:23, 10225:1, 10225:9, 10225:13, 10225:25, 10226:12, 10226:19, 10227:6, 10227:13, 10227:17, 10228:8, 10229:2, 10229:7, 10229:17, 10230:1, 10230:11, 10230:18, 10231:5, 10231:17, 10232:17, 10232:19, 10232:22, 10232:25, 10233:3, 10233:18, 10234:3, 10234:14, 10234:19, 10234:22, 10235:1, 10235:11, 10235:21, 10235:24, 10236:5, 10236:11, 10236:18, 10237:9, 10237:19, 10238:1, 10238:10, 10238:16, 10239:16, 10239:21, 10239:24, 10240:4, 10240:10, 10241:1, 10241:6, 10241:14, 10241:17, 10242:4, 10242:7, 10242:24, 10243:5, 10244:15, 10244:24, 10246:10, 10246:13, 10246:15, 10246:24, 10247:10, 10247:14, 10247:18, 10247:22, 10248:9, 10248:25, 10249:6, 10249:10, 10249:13, 10249:19, 10249:21, 10250:23, 10251:16, 10252:25, 10253:5, 10253:22, 10254:2, 10254:20, 10255:8, 10255:14, 10256:4, 10256:6, 10256:8, 10256:14, 10256:22, 10256:24, 10257:18, 10258:5, 10258:8, 10258:17, 10258:19, 10258:24, 10259:2, 10259:23, 10260:1, 10260:17, 10260:21, 10262:8, 10262:10, 10263:2, 10263:10, 10264:19, 10265:9, 10265:21, 10266:3, 10266:24, 10267:8,

10267:19, 10267:21, 10268:8, 10268:17, 10268:21, 10269:4, 10270:18, 10271:16, 10272:8, 10273:6, 10273:10, 10273:17, 10273:20, 10274:2, 10274:12, 10274:24, 10275:8, 10275:12, 10280:25, 10281:5, 10281:9, 10281:18, 10282:6, 10282:8, 10282:11, 10282:14, 10282:16, 10283:1, 10284:16, 10285:12, 10285:23, 10286:7, 10286:21, 10287:3, 10287:9, 10287:12, 10287:25, 10288:6, 10288:18, 10288:21, 10288:25, 10289:7, 10289:12, 10290:20, 10291:10, 10291:16, 10292:10, 10292:25, 10299:17, 10302:5, 10302:8, 10302:22, 10303:20, 10303:24, 10304:2, 10304:4, 10305:1, 10305:2, 10305:4, 10306:14, 10311:7, 10311:10, 10312:23, 10314:8, 10314:10, 10314:17, 10315:1, 10315:7, 10321:25, 10322:6, 10322:9, 10322:25, 10325:23, 10326:16, 10327:1, 10327:10, 10327:12, 10327:17, 10327:19, 10328:2, 10328:5, 10328:25, 10329:15, 10330:2, 10330:6, 10331:3, 10331:10, 10331:17, 10331:20, 10331:22, 10332:8, 10332:17, 10332:20, 10333:1, 10333:9, 10334:4, 10334:15, 10336:6, 10336:18, 10336:22, 10337:16, 10337:22, 10338:4, 10338:6, 10338:9, 10338:16, 10338:23, 10339:1, 10339:15, 10340:16, 10342:3, 10342:16, 10342:18, 10343:20, 10344:8, 10344:22, 10344:24, 10345:7, 10345:19, 10345:25, 10346:8, 10347:3,

10347:15, 10347:17, 10348:19, 10350:11, 10350:15, 10351:3, 10351:8, 10351:15, 10351:18 themselves [1] -10344:14 theoretically [1] -10309:8 theory [1] - 10281:21 there'd [1] - 10247:11 therefore [2] -10245:21, 10321:18 therefrom [1] -10245:11 thin [1] - 10315:10 thinking [2] -10202:10, 10216:2 thinks [3] - 10244:10, 10244:11, 10255:5 thoroughly [1] -10208:25 three [2] - 10343:2, 10343:6 throughout [2] -10195:10, 10243:21 throw [1] - 10228:25 thrown [1] - 10324:18 timeframe [2] -10253:20, 10265:5 timestamp [3] -10274:18, 10274:23, 10275:7 timestamps [2] -10343:16, 10348:11 timing [1] - 10221:6 TIMOTHY [1] -10192:11 tip [1] - 10295:10 title [1] - 10212:25 today [27] - 10194:12, 10199:23, 10204:20, 10214:11, 10217:7, 10254:25, 10273:21, 10275:21, 10276:9, 10285:17, 10294:3, 10294:25, 10297:23, 10305:15, 10327:13, 10330:15, 10331:18, 10331:20, 10332:2, 10334:18, 10334:21, 10334:25, 10337:7, 10337:9, 10337:17, 10337:19, 10349:17 together [4] -10230:21, 10230:24, 10235:15, 10297:24 toggled [1] - 10291:20 tomorrow [9] -10204:21, 10205:5,

10260:5, 10260:11, 10327:14, 10343:5, 10350:5, 10351:1, 10351:21 ton [1] - 10263:19 tone [1] - 10330:20 tonight [5] - 10342:25, 10343:6, 10343:8, 10345:11, 10350:17 tons [1] - 10247:5 took [6] - 10200:1, 10207:17, 10244:19, 10262:23, 10272:23, 10292:7 top [5] - 10197:19, 10213:2, 10276:18, 10279:1, 10347:23 topic [1] - 10274:11 topple [1] - 10310:11 totally [4] - 10244:16, 10244:20, 10251:15, 10286:22 Townsend [1] -10192:24 trailing [1] - 10343:3 trains [1] - 10350:16 TRANSCRIPT[1] -10192:10 transcript [30] -10237:15, 10274:18, 10281:14, 10282:1, 10282:5, 10282:18, 10283:4, 10284:13, 10287:5, 10288:2, 10288:9, 10298:22, 10302:18, 10303:16, 10304:7, 10305:21, 10310:25, 10311:4, 10311:23, 10314:6, 10323:6, 10338:24, 10340:24, 10345:11, 10345:12, 10350:4, 10350:6. 10350:18. 10352:5, 10352:6 transcripts [4] -10274:16, 10310:15, 10344:13, 10345:10 transfer [1] - 10310:3 trapped [1] - 10351:1 trauma [1] - 10230:22 trial [6] - 10226:10, 10254:10, 10257:14, 10295:7, 10342:22, 10343:9 TRIAL[2] - 10192:5, 10192:10 **Trial** [1] - 10248:22 Trials [1] - 10232:10

trials [1] - 10232:12

tricked [5] - 10339:7,

troll [1] - 10349:12 **trouble** [1] - 10211:10 true [41] - 10235:4, 10235:6, 10238:12, 10242:1, 10251:20, 10287:2, 10296:18, 10296:23, 10297:3, 10297:7, 10297:21, 10298:4, 10298:7, 10298:12, 10299:21, 10299:22, 10299:23, 10299:24, 10300:2, 10300:6, 10300:12, 10300:13, 10300:18, 10305:11, 10306:23, 10306:24, 10306:25, 10312:3, 10312:5, 10312:6, 10312:15, 10312:22, 10312:25, 10313:2, 10320:19, 10332:18, 10337:8, 10337:13, 10352:5, 10352:6 truly [3] - 10303:2, 10328:13, 10349:14 Trump [3] - 10196:16, 10324:17, 10348:2 Trump's [3] - 10299:7, 10300:20, 10301:21 trust [1] - 10245:18 truth [13] - 10244:14, 10285:8, 10285:19, 10286:11, 10286:15, 10287:23, 10287:24, 10290:18, 10291:25, 10292:5, 10305:15, 10331:23, 10332:2 truthfully [3] -10270:5, 10270:7, 10317:14 try [8] - 10211:6, 10248:22, 10273:22, 10274:5, 10274:7, 10275:2, 10326:18, 10348:25 trying [19] - 10202:24, 10208:22, 10209:1, 10234:10, 10237:6, 10238:4, 10255:4, 10255:17, 10264:11, 10288:22, 10310:2, 10310:5, 10319:15, 10326:8, 10327:24, 10330:3, 10342:5,

10339:8, 10339:12,

trickiness [2] -

tricker [1] - 10253:5

10302:24, 10302:25

tried [1] - 10211:7

10339:13

10351:19 tucked [1] - 10223:6 tune [1] - 10289:1 two [18] - 10204:22, 10210:16, 10221:1, 10224:3, 10234:9, 10234:15, 10242:18, 10242:22, 10243:5, 10243:14, 10245:5, 10246:18, 10247:4, 10260:22, 10291:19, 10311:16, 10326:7, 10343:3 type [5] - 10233:20, 10254:12, 10255:19, 10265:4, 10265:16 types [2] - 10220:24, 10230:14 typical [1] - 10246:16

Į

U.S[3] - 10192:20, 10193:21, 10339:14 ukulele [2] - 10214:11, 10346:16 ultimate [2] - 10252:5, 10270:22 ultimate-issue [1] -10252:5 ultimately [1] -10310:1 um-hum [9] - 10284:3, 10287:12, 10308:1, 10331:10, 10332:17, 10332:20, 10333:1, 10334:4 **Um-hum** [1] -10345:25 unconscious [1] -10245:23 under [12] - 10201:21, 10202:19, 10203:22, 10225:20, 10250:18, 10259:11, 10259:12, 10269:18, 10297:3, 10299:13, 10339:13, 10339:25 understood [11] -10225:13, 10242:16, 10255:23, 10255:25, 10256:1, 10270:13, 10285:14, 10285:20, 10327:1, 10344:2, 10348:3 undertaking [1] -10209:14 unexplicit [2] -10234:25, 10235:6 unfold [1] - 10322:18

unintentional [1] -10194:13 **UNITED** [2] - 10192:1, 10192.12 United [3] - 10192:3, 10194:3, 10258:25 unlawful [6] - 10245:5, 10267:1, 10267:2, 10273:3, 10339:16, 10339:24 Unlawful [1] -10269:12 unless [7] - 10201:24, 10202:2, 10288:16, 10291:11, 10333:17, 10336:13, 10342:4 unspoken [3] -10237:8, 10237:12, 10238:19 unstated [1] - 10249:4 untrue [2] - 10300:19, 10330:19 unusual [1] -10291:18 **up** [56] - 10196:4, 10197:17, 10197:18, 10198:25, 10200:3, 10200:6, 10205:2, 10208:20, 10208:22, 10209:1, 10210:22, 10213:4, 10218:1, 10222:9, 10222:18, 10223:22, 10227:10, 10228:18, 10230:6, 10236:22, 10238:11, 10239:16, 10247:5, 10256:21, 10260:4, 10260:23, 10261:7, 10276:17, 10279:18, 10282:18, 10288:23, 10288:24, 10289:9, 10291:11, 10296:20, 10297:13, 10300:25, 10302:14, 10303:4, 10311:23, 10315:22, 10321:21, 10326:1, 10326:6, 10326:23, 10326:24, 10327:22, 10332:21, 10335:5, 10336:7, 10339:4, 10341:4, 10343:7, 10346:7 upset [2] - 10210:17, 10285:4 user [2] - 10196:15, 10196:24 usual [1] - 10292:18

V

V.....10214 [1] -10353:12 vague [12] - 10229:14, 10231:16, 10233:8, 10233:11, 10239:24, 10250:8, 10253:2, 10253:14, 10253:19, 10258:6, 10258:7, 10263:9 vagueness [3] -10231:17, 10237:21, 10240:22 verbal [1] - 10245:13 version [1] - 10291:5 versus [3] - 10194:3, 10258:25, 10291:21 **via**[1] - 10260:2 Victor [2] - 10214:11, 10217:20 Video [6] - 10216:4, 10216:8, 10216:15, 10216:20, 10216:22, 10217:5 video [16] - 10213:23, 10214:21, 10214:24, 10215:1, 10215:9, 10215:11, 10215:18, 10296:12, 10296:14, 10343:14, 10344:17, 10344:19, 10346:13, 10346:18, 10346:19, 10349:3 **videos** [3] - 10213:6, 10213:15, 10346:19 view [6] - 10252:3, 10310:20, 10318:9, 10320:24, 10340:11, 10348:21 violence [1] -10297:24 violent [1] - 10218:17 virtually [1] - 10268:9 voice [2] - 10215:19, 10215:25 vote [2] - 10318:10, 10320:1 votes [3] - 10316:20, 10319:4, 10322:16 vs [1] - 10192:5

W

10353:2

witness [68] - 10194:6,

10194:20, 10196:4,

10197:23, 10199:2,

10200:20, 10201:12,

10203:17, 10206:22,

10207:23, 10208:10,

10209:18, 10212:14,

wait [7] - 10304:1, 10318:16, 10328:12, 10328:15, 10329:20, 10342:10, 10342:19 waiver [1] - 10272:7 waiving [1] - 10272:9

10246.7 **walk** [1] - 10200:3 walked [1] - 10199:23 walking [1] - 10199:1 wants [4] - 10202:24, 10255:21, 10281:2, 10342:23 war [1] - 10206:11 Washington [9] -10192:7, 10192:16, 10192:19, 10193:2, 10300:14, 10301:9, 10301:14, 10314:13, 10352:14 waste [1] - 10196:3 watch [1] - 10213:25 wavelength [1] -10246:25 ways [6] - 10204:22, 10204:25, 10225:14, 10287:8, 10328:8, 10350:22 weapon [2] -10332:15, 10332:16 week [2] - 10201:5, 10224:3 weeks [3] - 10246:6, 10278:17, 10278:18 welcome [1] -10194:23 West [2] - 10193:13, 10317:21 whale [3] - 10223:4, 10223:6 whole [7] - 10241:4, 10244:5, 10253:19, 10302:11, 10319:24, 10333:11, 10348:9 wife [1] - 10307:25 willing [3] - 10204:7, 10204:12, 10263:6 window [2] -10296:13, 10324:19 Wing [2] - 10317:21, 10317:22 wink [2] - 10247:8, 10247.9 Winter [1] - 10284:25 Witch [2] - 10232:10, 10248:21 WITNESS [1] -

Waldo [2] - 10246:6,

10226:3, 10226:8, 10233:9, 10233:13, 10234:24, 10238:5, 10238:9, 10238:14, 10241:10, 10241:15, 10249:2, 10251:2, 10251:21, 10252:11, 10252:17, 10254:5, 10254:7, 10255:4, 10255:21, 10257:9, 10257:15, 10260:24, 10261:7, 10262:6, 10267:10, 10267:24, 10267:25, 10268:4, 10270:8, 10272:8, 10274:19, 10281:22, 10281:24, 10282:5, 10292:7. 10302:23. 10327:21. 10327:24. 10328:7, 10332:11, 10332:14, 10332:21, 10333:2, 10333:24, 10334:12, 10339:12, 10341:24, 10342:21, 10342:23, 10345:5, 10345:8, 10349:13, 10350:24 witness's [7] -10205:15, 10228:6, 10256:16, 10273:25, 10281:23, 10336:12, 10349:16 witnesses [2] -10246:12, 10251:7 Wolkind [1] - 10210:9 woman [1] - 10198:21 word [17] - 10204:1, 10240:12, 10245:3, 10249:23, 10251:25, 10252:6, 10252:10, 10293:24, 10294:4, 10294:8, 10308:17, 10308:19, 10308:21, 10313:23, 10314:22, 10314:24, 10340:3 wording [2] -10280:10, 10293:22 words [8] - 10213:6, 10214:2, 10214:5, 10217:6, 10235:5, 10287:7, 10293:11, 10336:14 world [3] - 10232:14, 10234:6, 10250:17 worry [1] - 10227:14 wow [3] - 10289:25, 10329:12, 10329:19 wrap [1] - 10227:10 wrap-up [1] -10227:10

write [1] - 10321:18 written [1] - 10293:11 wrote [1] - 10339:11

Υ

year [2] - 10214:10, 10333:19 years [4] - 10217:7, 10217:15, 10256:20, 10276:17 yell [1] - 10350:10 yelling [1] - 10350:15 yellow [1] - 10284:13 yield [2] - 10232:23, 10233:2 York [4] - 10192:18, 10192:25, 10193:16, 10266:16 Youngblood [1] -10339:13 yourself [6] -10264:16, 10264:20, 10282:24, 10322:18, 10333:24, 10348:15 YutYut [3] - 10211:20, 10211:21, 10212:8

Ζ

Zach [1] - 10211:19 **Zachary** [1] - 10192:7